CONTENTS

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1-1

1.1 Objectives 1-4

1.2 Agency Outreach, Coordination, and Review 1-4

1.3 Methodology 1-4

2.0 TECHNICAL APPROACH 2-1

2.1 Overview of Assessment Approach 2-1

2.2 Air Quality Modeling 2-1

2.3 Meteorological Data and Analyses 2-2

2.4 Emissions Input Data 2-5

3.0 PREDICTED CUMULATIVE AIR IMPACTS 3-1

3.1 Modeled Cumulative Impacts for Base Year and 2015 3-1
3.1.1 Impacts on Ambient Air Quality 3-2
3.1.1.1 Impacts at Near-field Receptors in Wyoming 3-3
3.1.1.2 Impacts at Near-field Receptors in Montana 3-7
3.1.2 Air Quality Impacts at Class I Area Receptors 3-7
3.1.3 Air Quality Impacts at Sensitive Class II Area Receptors 3-11
3.1.4 Impacts on Visibility 3-17
3.1.5 Impacts on Acid Deposition 3-25
3.1.6 Impacts on Sensitive Lake Acid Neutralizing Capacity 3-25
3.1.7 Analysis of Hazardous Air Pollutant Impacts 3-29

3.2 Comparison to Original Study 3-31
3.2.1 Impacts on Ambient Air Quality 3-32
3.2.1.1 Wyoming Near-field Impacts 3-32
3.2.1.2 Montana Near-field Impacts 3-32
3.2.2 Impacts at Class I Area Receptors 3-33
3.2.3 Impacts at Sensitive Class II Areas 3-33
3.2.4 Visibility Impacts 3-33
3.2.5 Impacts on Acid Deposition and Sensitive Lake Acid Neutralizing Capacity 3-33
3.2.6 Impact of Hazardous Air Pollutant Emissions 3-34

4.0 REFERENCES 4-1

Appendix A – National and State Ambient Air Quality Standards for the PRB Coal Review

LIST OF TABLES

2-1 Emissions Calculations for 2015 by Source Group....2-7
3-1 Summary of Modeled Air Quality Impacts....3-1
3-2 Modeled Impacts on Visibility at Indicated Receptors for the Base Year (2004)....3-19
3-3 Modeled Visibility Impacts for the 2015 Lower Production Scenario....3-21
3-4 Modeled Visibility Impacts for the 2015 Upper Production Scenario....3-22
3-5 Change in Modeled Visibility Impacts – 2015 Lower Production Scenario Less the Base Year (2004)....3-23
3-6 Change in Modeled Visibility Impacts – 2015 Upper Production Scenario Less the Base Year (2004)....3-24
3-7 Modeled Deposition of Nitrogen and Sulfur for the Base Year (2004)....3-26
3-8 Change in Modeled Deposition of Nitrogen and Sulfur – 2015 Lower Production Scenario....3-27
3-9 Change in Modeled Deposition of Nitrogen and Sulfur – 2015 Upper Production Scenario....3-28
3-10 Modeled Impacts on Acid Neutralizing Capacity of Sensitive Lakes – 2015 Production Scenarios....3-29
3-11 Modeled Maximum Acute Concentrations of Hazardous Air Pollutants from All Sources....3-30
3-12 Modeled Maximum Annual Concentrations of Hazardous Air Pollutants from All Sources....3-30
3-13 Comparison of Projected Development Levels by Source Group....3-31

LIST OF FIGURES

1-1 Montana and Wyoming Study Area 1-3
2-1 Modeling Domain, Study Areas, Population Centers, and Sensitive Areas 2-3
2-2 Receptor Locations for Sensitive Areas and Near-field Receptors 2-4
3-1 Changes in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, PM_{10}, and $PM_{2.5}$ at Wyoming Near-field Receptors 3-4
3-2 24-hour PM_{10} Concentrations for Near-field Receptors – 2015 Upper Production Scenario 3-5
3-3 Annual PM_{10} Concentrations for Near-field Receptors – 2015 Upper Production Scenario 3-6
3-4 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, PM_{10}, and $PM_{2.5}$ at Montana Near-field Receptors 3-8
3-5 Change in Modeled 1-hour Concentrations of NO_2 and SO_2 at Montana Near-field Receptors 3-9
3-6 1-hour NO_2 Concentrations for Montana Near-field Receptors – 2015 Upper Production Scenario 3-10
3-7 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, PM_{10}, and $PM_{2.5}$ at Northern Cheyenne IR 3-12
3-8 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, PM_{10}, and $PM_{2.5}$ at Washakie WA 3-13
3-9 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, PM_{10}, and $PM_{2.5}$ at Wind Cave NP 3-14
3-10 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, PM_{10}, and $PM_{2.5}$ at Big Horn Canyon NRA 3-15
3-11 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, PM_{10}, and $PM_{2.5}$ at Crow IR 3-16
3-12 Change in Modeled Concentrations of 1-hour NO_2 at Big Horn Canyon NRA and Crow IR 3-18