CONTENTS

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1-1

1.1 Objectives 1-4

1.2 Agency Outreach, Coordination, and Review 1-5

1.3 Methodology 1-5

2.0 TECHNICAL APPROACH 2-1

2.1 Overview of Assessment Approach 2-1

2.2 Air Quality Modeling 2-1

2.3 Receptor Grids and Analyses 2-2

2.4 Emissions Input Data 2-6

3.0 PREDICTED FUTURE CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 3-1

3.1 Modeled Cumulative Impacts for 2010 3-1
3.1.1 Impacts on Ambient Air Quality 3-1
3.1.1.1 Impacts at Near-field Receptors in Wyoming 3-2
3.1.1.2 Impacts at Near-field Receptors in Montana 3-6
3.1.2 Air Quality Impacts at Class I Area Receptors 3-6
3.1.3 Air Quality Impacts at Sensitive Class II Area Receptors 3-11
3.1.4 Impacts on Visibility 3-11
3.1.5 Impacts on Acid Deposition 3-25
3.1.6 Impacts on Sensitive Lake Acid Neutralizing Capacity 3-25
3.1.7 Analysis of Hazardous Air Pollutant Impacts 3-31

3.2 Projected Cumulative Impacts for 2015 and 2020 3-31
3.2.1 Impacts on Ambient Air Quality 3-34
3.2.1.1 Wyoming Near-field Impacts 3-34
3.2.1.2 Montana Near-field Impacts 3-35
3.2.2 Impacts at Class I Area Receptors 3-35
3.2.3 Impacts at Sensitive Class II Areas 3-35
3.2.4 Visibility Impacts 3-35
3.2.5 Impacts on Acid Deposition and Sensitive Lake Acid Neutralizing Capacity 3-36
3.2.6 Impact of Hazardous Air Pollutant Emissions 3-36

4.0 REFERENCES 4-1

LIST OF TABLES

2-1 Emissions Calculations for 2010 by Source Group....2-7
3-1 Summary of Modeled Air Quality Impacts....3-1
3-2 Modeled Impacts on Visibility at Indicated Receptors for the Base Year (2002)....3-17
3-3 Modeled Visibility Impacts for the 2010 Lower Production Scenario....3-19
3-4 Modeled Visibility Impacts for the 2010 Upper Production Scenario....3-20
3-5 Change in Modeled Visibility Impacts – 2010 Lower Production Scenario Less the Base Year (2002)....3-21
3-6 Change in Modeled Visibility Impacts – 2010 Upper Production Scenario Less the Base Year (2002)....3-23
3-7 Modeled Deposition for Nitrogen and Sulfur for the Base Year (2002)....3-26
3-8 Change in Modeled Deposition of Sulfur and Nitrogen Compounds – 2010 Lower Production Scenario....3-28
3-9 Change in Modeled Deposition for Nitrogen and Sulfur – 2010 Upper Production Scenario....3-29
3-10 Modeled Impacts on Acid Neutralizing Capacity of Sensitive Lakes – 2010 Production Scenarios....3-30
3-11 Modeled Acute Concentrations of Hazardous Air Pollutants from All Sources....3-32
3-12 Modeled Annual Concentrations of Hazardous Air Pollutants from All Sources....3-33
3-13 Projected Development Levels for 2015 and 2020 by Source Group....3-34

LIST OF FIGURES

1-1 Montana and Wyoming Study Area 1-3
2-1 Modeling Domain, Study Areas, Population Centers, and Sensitive Areas 2-3
2-2 Receptor Locations for Sensitive Areas and Near-field Receptors 2-5
3-1 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, and PM_{10} at Wyoming Near-field Receptors 3-3
3-2 24-hour PM_{10} Concentrations for Near-field Receptors 3-4
3-3 Annual PM_{10} Concentrations for Near-field Receptors 3-5
3-4 Annual NO_2 Concentrations for Near-field Receptors 3-7
3-6 Change in Modeled 1-hour Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, and PM_{10} at Montana Near-field Receptors 3-9
3-7 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, and PM_{10} at the Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation 3-10
3-8 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, and PM_{10} at the Washakle Wilderness Area 3-12
3-9 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, and PM_{10} at the Wind Cave National Park 3-13
3-10 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, and PM_{10} at the Crow Indian Reservation 3-14
3-11 Change in Modeled Concentrations of NO_2, SO_2, and PM_{10} at the Cloud Peak Wilderness Area 3-15