FINAL
Environmental Assessment for the Rands Butte Gas Development Project

Volume 2
Environmental Assessment

May 2010

The BLM manages more land – 253 million acres – than any other Federal agency. This land, known as the National System of Public Lands, is primarily located in 12 Western States, including Alaska. The Bureau, with a budget of about $1 billion, also administers 700 million acres of sub-surface mineral estate throughout the nation. The BLM's multiple-use mission is to sustain the health and productivity of the public lands for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations. The Bureau accomplishes this by managing such activities as outdoor recreation, livestock grazing, mineral development, and energy production, and by conserving natural, historical, cultural, and other resources on public lands.

BLM/WY/PL-10/018+1310

WY-100-EA09-43

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

Pinedale Field Office

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

EA # WY-100-EA09-43

Rands Butte Gas Development Project

May 2010

Mission Statement

It is the mission of the Bureau of Land Management to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the public lands for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations.

BLM/WY-100-EA09-43

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

Pinedale Field Office

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Rands Butte Gas Development Project

Prepared for Bureau of Land Management
Pinedale Field Office
Pinedale, Wyoming

Prepared by

SWCA Environmental Consultants
1043 Coffeen Avenue, Suite D
Sheridan, Wyoming 82801
(307) 673-4303
www.swca.com

May 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED 1
1.1 Introduction 1
1.2 Overview of the RBPA 4
1.3 Purpose and Need 5
1.4 BLM Actions, Connected Actions, and the Decision to Be Made 6
1.4.1 BLM Actions 6
1.4.2 Connected Actions 7
1.4.3 Decision to be Made 8
1.5 Federal and Other Relevant Regulations and Permits 9
1.6 Wyoming BLM Mitigation Guidelines and Practices for Surface-Disturbing and Disruptive Activities 13
1.7 Public Scoping 13
1.8 Key Issues and BLM NEPA Response 14
2.0 ALTERNATIVES INCLUDING THE PROPOSED ACTION 19
2.1 Introduction 19
2.2 No Action Alternative 19
2.3 Alternative 1 (Proposed Action) 35
2.3.1 Alternative 1 Project Components with BLM Jurisdiction 39
2.3.2 Alternative 1 Project Components with Private/State Jurisdiction 50
2.3.3 Construction Practices 50
2.4 Alternative 2 51
2.4.1 Alternative 2 Project Components with BLM Jurisdiction 54
2.4.2 Alternative 2 Project Components with Private/State Jurisdiction 55
2.5 Alternative 3 (BLM Preferred Alternative) 57
2.5.1 Alternative 3 Project Components with BLM Jurisdiction 57
2.5.2 Alternative 3 Project Components with Private or State Jurisdiction 62
2.6 Alternative 4 63
2.6.1 Alternative 4 Project Components with BLM Jurisdiction 67
2.6.2 Alternative 4 Project Components with Private or State Jurisdiction 68
2.7 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Consideration 69
2.7.1 Partial Use of Wind Energy 70
2.7.2 Use of Solar Energy 70
2.7.3 Year-round Drilling 71
2.7.4 Water Disposal to Existing Madison Formation Well 71
2.7.5 Completion and Use of Existing Riley Ridge Unit Madison Formation Wells 71
2.7.6 Location of M&HRF on Federal Land Outside the Riley Ridge Unit 72
2.7.7 Alternative State Land Locations for the M&HRF 72
2.8 Projects and Activities Potentially Contributing to Cumulative Effects 73
3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT 77
3.1 Introduction 77
3.2 Geology, Minerals, and Geohazards 77
3.2.1 Geology of the RBPA 77
3.2.2 Mineral Resources 81
3.2.3 Leasable Resources 81

3.2.4 Geohazards 84
3.3 Soils 93
3.3.1 General Soil Survey Information for the RBPA 93
3.3.2 Soils Reported in Riley Ridge Technical Report 98
3.3.3 Soils Map of Wyoming Ecoregions 100
3.3.4 Problematic Soil Conditions and Characteristics 101
3.4 Paleontological Resources 103
3.4.1 Location and Classification of Paleontological Resources in the RBPA 103
3.4.2 Records Search Results 106
3.4.3 Field Survey Results 106
3.4.4 PFYC Class 5 Geologic Units 107
3.4.5 PFYC Class 3 Geologic Units 108
3.4.6 PFYC Class 2 Geological Units 110
3.5 Groundwater and Surface Water Resources 110
3.5.1 Surface Water Introduction 110
3.5.2 Surface Water Resources 111
3.5.3 Groundwater Resources 117
3.6 Vegetation Resources and Noxious Weeds 122
3.6.1 Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plants 127
3.7 Wetlands, Riparian Resources, and Floodplains 128
3.7.1 Riparian and Wetland Communities 128
3.8 Threatened and Endangered Species and Special Status Species 133
3.8.1 USFWS Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species 133
3.8.2 BLM Sensitive Species 140
3.9 Wildlife and Fisheries Resources 149
3.9.1 Big Game Species 149
3.9.2 Small Mammals 161
3.9.3 Reptiles and Amphibians 162
3.9.4 Birds – Non-special Status Species 162
3.9.5 Fishes 162
3.10 Land Use 164
3.10.1 Relationship to Plans, Policies, and Programs 165
3.10.2 Oil and Gas Development 165
3.10.3 Other Industry 166
3.10.4 Ranching/Agriculture 166
3.10.5 Residences 166
3.11 Livestock and Range Resources 166
3.11.1 Brucellosis 171
3.12 Health and Safety 172
3.12.1 Regulatory Setting 172
3.12.2 Health and Safety Guidance Pertaining to the RBPA 173
3.13 Transportation 176
3.14 Socioeconomic Resources 179
3.14.1 Population and Housing 179
3.14.2 Employment and Income 182
3.14.3 Median Family Income 184

3.14.4 Cost of Living 184
3.14.5 Public Services and Infrastructure 185
3.14.6 Government Revenue Sources 186
3.14.7 County Government Expenditures 189
3.15 Recreation Resources 189
3.15.1 Recreation Management Direction 190
3.15.2 Fishing 193
3.15.3 Back-Country Activities (Motorized and Non-Motorized) 193
3.15.4 Lander Road Historic Trail 195
3.16 Visual Resources 195
3.16.1 Scenic Landscape of the RBPA 195
3.16.2 BLM Direction for Visual Resources 197
3.16.3 Viewer/User Groups 201
3.17 Air Quality 205
3.17.1 Other Agency Laws and Regulations 205
3.17.2 Pollutants of Concern 206
3.17.3 Existing Air Quality 209
3.18 Light and Glare 216
3.19 Noise 217
3.20 Cultural and Historic Resources 219
3.20.1 Area of Potential Effect 219
3.20.2 Cultural Resources Identification 220
3.21 special designationS and management areas 225
3.21.1 Beaver Creek ACEC 225
3.21.2 Rock Creek ACEC 226
3.21.3 Lake Mountain WSA 226
3.22 Environmental Justice 226
3.22.1 Minority Populations 227
3.22.2 Poverty Rates 228
3.22.3 Environmental Justice Conclusions 228
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS 229
4.1 Geology, Minerals, and Geohazards 229
4.1.1 Impact Criteria 229
4.1.2 No Action Alternative 229
4.1.3 Alternative 1 230
4.1.4 Alternative 2 236
4.1.5 Alternative 3 237
4.1.6 Alternative 4 238
4.1.7 Cumulative Impacts 239
4.1.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 241
4.2 Soils 242
4.2.1 Impact Criteria 242
4.2.2 No Action Alternative 244
4.2.3 Alternative 1 244
4.2.4 Alternative 2 248
4.2.5 Alternative 3 251

4.2.6 Alternative 4 254
4.2.7 Cumulative Impacts 258
4.2.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 259
4.3 Paleontological Resources 261
4.3.1 Impact Criteria 261
4.3.1 No Action Alternative 266
4.3.2 Alternative 1 266
4.3.3 Alternative 2 267
4.3.4 Alternative 3 268
4.3.5 Alternative 4 268
4.3.6 Cumulative Impacts 269
4.3.7 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 270
4.4 Groundwater and Surface Water Resources 272
4.4.1 Impact Criteria 272
4.4.2 No Action Alternative 273
4.4.3 Alternative 1 275
4.4.4 Alternative 2 281
4.4.5 Alternative 3 282
4.4.6 Alternative 4 283
4.4.7 Cumulative Impacts 284
4.4.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 285
4.5 Vegetation Resources and Noxious Weeds 287
4.5.1 Impact Criteria 288
4.5.2 No Action Alternative 288
4.5.3 Alternative 1 289
4.5.4 Alternative 2 291
4.5.5 Alternative 3 292
4.5.6 Alternative 4 294
4.5.7 Cumulative Impacts 295
4.5.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 296
4.6 Wetlands, Riparian Resources, and Floodplains 297
4.6.1 Impact Criteria 297
4.6.2 No Action Alternative 302
4.6.3 Alternative 1 302
4.6.4 Alternative 2 303
4.6.5 Alternative 3 303
4.6.6 Alternative 4 304
4.6.7 Cumulative Impacts 304
4.6.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 305
4.7 Threatened and Endangered Species and Special Status Species 307
4.7.1 Introduction 307
4.7.2 Impact Criteria for USFWS Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species 308
4.7.3 USFWS Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species 312
4.7.4 BLM Sensitive Species 330
4.8 Wildlife and Fisheries Resources 348
4.8.1 Impact Criteria 348

4.8.2 Significance Criteria....350
4.8.3 No Action Alternative....352
4.8.4 Alternative 1....358
4.8.5 Alternative 2....373
4.8.6 Alternative 3....377
4.8.7 Alternative 4....381
4.8.8 Cumulative Impacts....385
4.8.9 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities....387
4.9 Livestock and Range Resources....390
4.9.1 Impact Criteria....390
4.9.2 No Action Alternative....391
4.9.3 Alternative 1....392
4.9.4 Alternative 2....397
4.9.5 Alternative 3....398
4.9.6 Alternative 4....399
4.9.7 Cumulative Impacts....399
4.9.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities....401
4.10 Health and Safety....402
4.10.1 Impact Criteria....402
4.10.2 Impacts Discussion....406
4.10.3 Risks to Health and Safety Associated with Hydrogen Sulfide....406
4.10.4 Risks to Health and Safety Associated with Other Hazardous Substances....411
4.10.5 Other Hazards....411
4.10.6 Cumulative Impacts to Health and Safety....413
4.10.7 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities....413
4.11 Transportation....415
4.11.1 Impact Criteria....416
4.11.2 No Action Alternative....416
4.11.3 Alternative 1....418
4.11.4 Alternative 2....422
4.11.5 Alternative 3....423
4.11.6 Alternative 4....423
4.11.7 Cumulative Impacts....424
4.11.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities....424
4.12 Socioeconomic Resources and Environmental Justice....426
4.12.1 Impact Criteria....426
4.12.2 No Action Alternative....427
4.12.3 Alternative 1....429
4.12.4 Alternative 2....435
4.12.5 Alternative 3....436
4.12.6 Alternative 4....436
4.12.7 Cumulative Impacts....436
4.12.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities....437
4.13 Recreation Resources....437
4.13.1 Impact Criteria....437
4.13.2 No Action Alternative....438

4.13.3 Alternative 1 439
4.13.4 Alternative 2 443
4.13.5 Alternative 3 443
4.13.6 Alternative 4 444
4.13.7 Cumulative Impacts 444
4.13.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 444
4.14 Visual Resources 445
4.14.1 Impact Criteria 445
4.14.2 No Action Alternative 447
4.14.3 Alternative 1 448
4.14.4 Alternative 2 454
4.14.5 Alternative 3 459
4.14.6 Alternative 4 460
4.14.7 Cumulative Impacts 463
4.14.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 464
4.15 Air Quality 466
4.15.1 Impact Criteria 466
4.15.2 No Action Alternative 470
4.15.3 Alternative 1 471
4.15.4 Alternative 2 472
4.15.5 Alternative 3 473
4.15.6 Alternative 4 473
4.15.7 Cumulative Impacts 474
4.15.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 475
4.16 Light and Glare 476
4.16.1 Impact Criteria 476
4.16.2 No Action Alternative 477
4.16.3 Alternative 1 477
4.16.4 Alternative 2 479
4.16.5 Alternative 3 479
4.16.6 Alternative 4 479
4.16.7 Cumulative Impacts 480
4.16.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 480
4.17 Noise 480
4.17.1 Impact Criteria 480
4.17.2 No Action Alternative 481
4.17.3 Alternative 2 486
4.17.4 Alternative 3 486
4.17.5 Alternative 4 486
4.17.6 Cumulative Impacts 487
4.17.7 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 487
4.18 Cultural and Historic Resources 488
4.18.1 Impact Criteria 488
4.18.2 No Action Alternative 490
4.18.3 Alternative 1 490
4.18.4 Alternative 2 493

4.18.5 Alternative 3 495
4.18.6 Alternative 4 496
4.18.7 Cumulative Impacts 496
4.18.8 Mitigation and Monitoring Opportunities 497
4.19 Summary of Environmental Consequences of the Alternatives 499
4.19.1 Summary of the Consequences of No Action 499
4.19.2 Summary of the Consequences of Alternative 1 499
4.19.3 Summary of the Consequences of Alternative 2 503
4.19.4 Summary of the Consequences of Alternative 3 505
4.19.5 Summary of the Consequences of Alternative 4 507
5.0 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION 509
5.1 List of Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals Contacted 509
5.2 List of Preparers 513
6.0 LITERATURE CITED 515
7.0 GLOSSARY AND ACRONYMS 541

LIST OF TABLES

Table **Page**
1-1. Major Federal, State, and Local Permits, Approvals, and Authorizing Actions for the Rands Butte Gas Development Project. 9
1-2. Key Issues and Concerns Identified During Project Scoping. 14
2-1. No Action Project Components and Disturbance Calculations (Assumed). 23
2-2. Summary of No Action Alternative Construction and Operations Workforce. 31
2-3. Alternative 1 Project Components, by Jurisdiction. 37
2-4. Summary of Alternative 1 Construction and Operations Workforce. 44
2-5. Alternative 2 Project Components, by Jurisdiction. 52
2-6. Alternative 3 Project Components, by Jurisdiction. 59
2-7. Alternative 4 Project Components, by Jurisdiction. 64
2-8. Existing Riley Ridge Unit Madison Wells. 71
2-9. Current Land Disturbance in the RBPA. 73
3-1. The Richter Scale. 87
3-2. Soil Families of the Soils Map of Wyoming found in the RBPA. 94
3-3. Acreage and Percent Composition of Soil Map Units within the RBPA. 97
3-4. Acreage and Percent Composition of Soils Categorized by Geomorphic Group within the RBPA. 99
3-5. Acreages of Geologic Units within the RBPA. 104
3-6. Sixth-order HUCs within the RBPA. 112
3-7. Precipitation Values for the Pinedale Basin. 113
3-8. WDEQ Beneficial Use Classification for Surface Waters within the RBPA. 115
3-9. Water Quality Sampling Average Results, with Priority Pollutant Standards. 116
3-10. Permitted Wells in the RBPA. 121
3-11. Groundwater Quality Parameters with Primary and Secondary EPA Standards. 122
3-12. Landcover Types in the Rands Butte Area. 124
3-13. Landcover Types in the Williams Pipeline Area. 125
3-14. Potential Invasive Plants in the RBPA. 127

3-15. PFC for Streams Surveyed in the Project Area. 129
3-16. Cover Types of Waters of the U.S. in the RBPA, Based on NWI. 132
3-17. USFWS Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species Listed for Lincoln and Sublette Counties, Wyoming. 134
3-18. BLM Special Status Species for the PFO. 140
3-19. Estimated Acreages of Big Game Seasonal Ranges in the RBPA. 154
3-20. Elk Observations in Winter Ranges, 1995–2008. 155
3-21. Comparison of Land Use in the RBPA. 164
3-22. Permitted Use for Grazing Allotments in the RBPA. 167
3-23. PFC for Grazing Allotments in the RBPA. 168
3-24. List of Hazardous and Extremely Hazardous Substances Likely to Occur at Oil and Gas Development Sites in the RBPA. 175
3-25. Structures within 5 Miles of Project Components. 176
3-26. 2007 ADT along Primary Highways Surrounding the RBPA. 179
3-27. Historic, Current, and Projected Population Forecasts for the RBPA, Wyoming, and United States, 1980–2020. 180
3-28. Housing Unit Estimates by County, 2000–2007. 181
3-29. Average Earnings per Job for Lincoln and Sublette Counties, 1980–2006. 183
3-30. Lincoln and Sublette Counties Comparative Cost of Living Index 185
3-31. VRM Classification in the RBPA. 200
3-32. BLM Distance Zones, Average Distances, and Descriptions. 201
3-33. National Ambient Air Quality Standards. 206
3-34. Existing Air Quality 2006–2008. 210
3-35. Sources of Visibility Reducing Compounds in Class I Areas near Pinedale. 212
3-36. Summary of Current Atmospheric Deposition. 212
3-37. Representative Background Acid Sensitive Lakes near the Proposed Project. 215
3-38. Comparison of Measured Noise Levels with Commonly Heard Sounds. 218
3-39. Known Oil and Gas Noise Levels within the RBPA. 218
3-40. Typical Noise Levels for Construction and Operations Equipment. 218
3-41. Areas in the Viewshed APE. 220
3-42. Private Lands in the APE Reviewed in File Search. 221
3-43. Cultural Resource Count by Era and Alternative/Component. 223
3-44. NRHP Eligibility for Sites Identified in the RBPA. 224
3-45. Sites Determined or Recommended Eligible for Nomination to the NRHP Listed by Project Alternative. 224
3-46. Minority Populations for the RBPA. 227
3-47. Poverty Rates for the RBPA. 227
4-1. Alternative 1 Landslide or Slumping Hazard. 230
4-2. Alternative 2 Landslide or Slumping Hazard. 236
4-3. Alternative 3 Landslide or Slumping Hazard. 237
4-4. Alternative 4 Landslide or Slumping Hazard. 239
4-5. Surface Disturbance Anticipated under Each Alternative on BLM and Non-federal Land. 244
4-6. Disturbance of Soil Units and Soil Families Anticipated under Alternative 1. 246
4-7. Disturbance of Soil Units and Soil Families Anticipated under Alternative 2. 249
4-8. Disturbance of Soil Units and Soil Families Anticipated under Alternative 3. 252

4-9. Disturbance of Soil Units and Soil Families Anticipated under Alternative 4. 255
4-10. Summary of Surface Disturbance Acreage for Each Alternative by Geologic Unit and Land Owner 263
4-11. Summary of Surface Disturbance Acreage for Each Alternative by Infrastructure Type and Geologic Unit. 264
4-12. Summary of Impacts by Jurisdiction – Paleontological Resources. 265
4-13. Cimarex Water Rights for Haul Water. 279
4-14. Acres of Disturbance by Landcover Type for Alternative 1. 289
4-15. Acres of Disturbance by Landcover Type for Alternative 2. 291
4-16. Acres of Disturbance by Landcover Type for Alternative 3. 292
4-17. Acres of Disturbance by Landcover Type for Alternative 4. 294
4-18. Acres of Disturbance for Wetland Cover Types Based upon Jurisdiction. 300
4-19. Perennial and Ephemeral Stream Crossings by Pipelines/Fiber Optic Lines and Transmission Lines. 315
4-20. Short-term and Long-term Acres of Impacts to Greater Sage-grouse. 316
4-21. BLM Greater sage-grouse Stipulations. 318
4-22. BLM Seasonal Wildlife Stipulations. 333
4-23. Number of Nests or Winter Roosts within 0.5 Mile of Each Alternative. 334
4-24. Short-term and Long-term Impacts to Sagebrush Obligate Species. 336
4-25. Significance Thresholds for Mule Deer, Pronghorn, and Moose. 351
4-26. Acres of Disturbance to Mule Deer Crucial Winter Range by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Direct Habitat Loss, and the Percentage of Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 354
4-27. Acres of Disturbance to Mule Deer Migratory Routes by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Direct Habitat Loss, and the Percentage of Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 355
4-28. Acres of Disturbance to Pronghorn Crucial Winter Range by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Direct Habitat Loss, and the Percentage of Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 356
4-29. Acres of Disturbance to Pronghorn Migration Routes by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Direct Habitat Loss, and the Percentage of Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 357
4-30. Acres of Disturbance to Moose Crucial Winter Range by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Direct Habitat Loss, and the Long-term Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 360
4-31. Acres of Disturbance to Elk Habitat in the Riley Ridge Winter Range Complex by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Direct Habitat Loss and the Long-term Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 361
4-32. Acres of Disturbance to Elk Parturition Areas by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Direct Habitat Loss and the Long-term Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 362
4-33. Acres of Disturbance to Elk Migration Corridors by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Direct Habitat Loss, and the Long-term Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 363
4-34. Acres of Disturbance to Elk Crucial Winter Range in the Riley Ridge Winter Range Complex by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Indirect Effects from

Noise Associated with Project-Related Facilities and Activities, and the Long-term Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 365
4-35. Acres of Disturbance to Elk Parturition Areas by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Indirect Effects from Noise Associated with Project-Related Facilities and Activities, and Long-term Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 366
4-36. Acres of Disturbance to Elk Migration Corridors by Alternative, as Defined by Acres of Indirect Effects from Noise Associated with Project-Related Facilities and Activities, and Long-term Disturbance to that Habitat within the RBPA. 367
4-37. Acres of Disturbance and AUMs Affected for Grazing Allotments in the RBPA. 393
4-38. Risk Matrix with Consequence and Probability Rankings. 403
4-39. Hydrogen Sulfide Physical Effects at Specified Concentrations. 405
4-40. Fatality Rates for Job Classifications. 405
4-41. Injury Rates for Job Classifications. 406
4-42. ALOHA Model Output for Climatic Conditions with Levels of Concentration Distance. 410
4-43. Length of Time and Numbers of Heavy Equipment Loads and Additional Traffic Required for Completing each Project Element. 418
4-44. Contribution of Project-Related Traffic to Annual Traffic Volumes Recorded along U.S. 189. 420
4-45. Length of Time and Numbers of Heavy Equipment Loads and Additional Traffic Required for Completing each Project Element. 421
4-46. Contribution of Project-Related Traffic to Annual Traffic Volumes Recorded along U.S. 189. 422
4-47. Length of Time and Numbers of Heavy Equipment Loads and Additional Traffic Required for Completing each Project Element. 423
4-48. Population Centers within 150 Miles of the RBPA. 427
4-49. Projected Employment Associated with No Action and Alternatives 1, 2, and 3. 430
4-50. Projected Employment Associated with Alternative 2. 435
4-51. Comparison of Visual Contrast Ratings by Alternative and Affected Areas. 447
4-52. Surface Disturbance by VRM Class for Alternative 1. 449
4-53. Surface Disturbance by VRM Class for Alternative 2. 455
4-54. Surface Disturbance by VRM Class for Alternative 3. 459
4-55. Surface Disturbance by VRM Class for Alternative 4. 460
4-56. Average Emission Rates in Pounds per Megawatt Energy Produced. 468
4-57. Estimated Emissions During Construction Phase, by Alternative and Year. 470
4-58. Expected Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Electrical Generation Options in Tons per Year. 473
4-59. Example of Noise Reduction over Distance from 95 dB Source. 482
4-60. Estimated Noise Levels for Project Components. 482
4-61. Estimated Noise Levels for Project Components. 485
4-62. Currently Known Cultural Resource Sites Considered for Project Effects by Alternatives. 490
4-63. Owners of Project Components and the Significant Cultural Resource Sites Considered for Impacts. 498
4-64. Summary of the Relative Impacts of the Alternatives 500

LIST OF MAPS

Map **Page**
1-1. Rands Butte Project Area location with Rands Butte Area and Williams Pipeline Area. 2
2-1. No Action Alternative infrastructure detail. 21
2-2. Alternatives 1 and 2 infrastructure detail. 46
2-3. Alternative 3 infrastructure detail. 58
2-4. Alternative 4 infrastructure detail. 66
2-5. La Barge Platform Infill Project Area. 75
3-1. Oil and gas fields, existing wells, and pipeline infrastructure in the RBPA. 82
3-2. Location of complex slope movements in the western portion of the RBPA. 92
3-3. Soil characteristics within the Rands Butte Area. 95
3-4. Soil characteristics within the Williams Pipeline Area. 96
3-5. Vegetation cover types within the RBPA. 123
3-6. Cover types of waters of the U.S. in the Rands Butte Area alignment, based on NWI. 130
3-7. Cover types of waters of the U.S. in the Williams Pipeline Area alignment, based on NWI. 131
3-8. Rands Butte Project Area and Williams Pipeline Area pronghorn habitat range. 150
3-9. Rands Butte Project Area and Williams Pipeline Area mule deer habitat range. 151
3-10. Rands Butte Project Area and Williams Pipeline Area moose habitat range. 152
3-11. Rands Butte Area and Williams Pipeline Area elk habitat range. 153
3-12. Riley Ridge Elk Herd 2000-2002 kernal density analysis. 158
3-13. Riley Ridge Elk Herd 2009 mid-winter kernal density analysis. 159
3-14. Rands Butte Area allotments and parturition areas. 169
3-15. Williams Pipeline Area allotments and parturition areas. 170
3-16. Roads in the Rands Butte Project Area. 177
3-17. Rands Butte Area VRM Classes. 198
3-18. Williams Pipeline Area VRM Classes. 199
4-1. Alternative 1 Noise Emission Zone. 483
4-2. Alternative 2 Noise Emission Zone. 484

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure **Page**
2-1. Major Project components and jurisdictions of No Action Alternative. 22
2-2. Alternative 1 major Project components and jurisdictions. 36
2-3. Alternative 2 major project components and jurisdictions. 51
2-4. Alternative 4 major Project components and jurisdictions. 63
3-1. Generalized stratigraphic column of the western Green River Basin 78
3-2. Madison structure map. 79
3-3. Generalized geologic cross section of the area. 85
3-4. Green River tectonic features. 86
3-5. Regional earthquake probability. 88
3-6. UBC seismic zone map. 89
3-7. Federal and state Class I areas in Wyoming. 211
4-1. Proposed Nonattainment Area for the 8-hour ozone standard. 467

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

Photograph **Page**
3-1. KOP 1, existing view from intersection of CR 142 and the Lander Road Historic Trail, looking southwest. 203
3-2. KOP 2, existing view from near the Lander Road Historic Trail, looking southwest. 203
3-3. KOP 3, existing view from SH 350, looking due south. 204
3-4. KOP 8, existing view from CR 142, looking southwest. 204
4-1. Visual Simulation of Alternatives 1 and 3 from KOP 2 at approximately 4 to 8 miles to the M&HRF. 452
4-2. Visual Simulation of Alternative 2 from KOP 2 at approximately 8 miles to the M&HRF. 457
4-3. Visual simulation of Alternative 4 from KOP 2 at approximately 8 miles to the EGS and M&HRF. 462

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix
A Helium Resource Report
B Project Design Schematics
C Wyoming Special User Permit
D Air Quality Permit CT-8093
E Cimarex Protection Plans
F ALOHA Hazardous Gas Dispersion Model
G BLM Pinedale Field Office RMP Mitigation Guidelines and Operating Standards Applied to Surface Disturbing and Disruptive Activities
H Cimarex Drilling Plans