

APPENDIX E

for the

**November 2012 Lease Parcels
(DOI-BLM-WY-040-EA1-130)**

Master Leasing Plan Checklist

Master Leasing Plan (MLP) Checklist for Oil and Gas Lease Parcels (Rawlins November 2012 Parcels)

Washington Office Instruction Memorandum 2010-117 introduces the Master Leasing Plan (MLP) concept as a mechanism for completing the additional planning, analysis, and decision-making that may be necessary for areas meeting the listed criteria. *The MLP process will be conducted through the NEPA process using an interdisciplinary team that will coordinate and/or consult with the public and other stakeholders that may be affected by the BLM's MLP decisions. The MLP will ordinarily be initiated as a land use plan amendment. However, if it is anticipated that the likely outcome of the MLP will not result in the creation of new lease stipulations or changes to existing RMP decisions warranting a plan amendment, it may not be necessary to initiate the MLP as a plan amendment. The MLP process may also be combined with a plan revision process if schedules permit. **The preparation of an MLP is required when all FOUR of the following criteria are met:***

- *A substantial portion of the area to be analyzed in the MLP is not currently leased.*
- *There is a majority Federal mineral interest.*
- *The oil and gas industry has expressed a specific interest in leasing, and there is a moderate or high potential for oil and gas development confirmed by the discovery of oil and gas in the general area.*
- *Additional analysis or information is needed to address likely resource or cumulative impacts if oil and gas development were to occur where there are:*
 - *multiple-use or natural/cultural resource conflicts;*
 - *impacts to air quality;*
 - *impacts on the resources or values of any unit of the National Park System, national wildlife refuge, or National Forest wilderness area, as determined after consultation or coordination with the NPS, the FWS, or the FS; or*
 - *impacts on other specially designated areas.*

Parcel #	Parcel in an Area that is Substantially Unleased (Yes/No)	Parcel in an area with a Majority Federal Mineral Interest (Yes/No)	There is a Confirmed Moderate to High Potential for O&G Development Based on the RMP Mineral Occurrence and Development Potential Report (Yes/No)	Additional Analysis Needed to Address Resource or Cumulative Impacts if O&G Development were to occur				MLP Need? (Yes/No)	Rationale
				Multiple-use or Resource Conflicts (Yes/No)	Impacts to Air Quality (Yes/No)	Impacts to NPS, FWS Refuge, or FS Wilderness Areas (Yes/No)	Impacts on Other Specially Designated Areas (Yes/No)		
WY-1211-									
001	No	No	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Few federal minerals in area
002	No	No	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Few federal minerals in area
003	No	No	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Cherokee & Overland trail	No	Checkerboard Federal Minerals
004	No	No	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Seminole Reservoir	No	Checkerboard Federal Minerals / Borders Existing Lease
005	No	No	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Seminole Reservoir	No	Checkerboard Federal Minerals / Borders Existing Lease
006	No	No	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Seminole Reservoir	No	Checkerboard Federal Minerals / Borders Existing Lease

007	No	No	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Seminole Reservoir	No	Checkerboard Federal Minerals / Borders Existing Lease
008	No	Yes	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals
009	No	Yes	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Majority Federal Leased Minerals
010	No	Yes	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Cow Butte/Wild Cow WHMA. Cherokee Trail	No	Majority Federal Leased Minerals
011	No	Yes	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Cow Butte/Wild Cow WHMA. Cherokee Trail	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals
012	No	Yes	Yes-Moderate	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Cherokee Trail	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals
013	No	Yes	Yes-Moderate	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Cherokee Trail	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals
014	No	No	Yes-Moderate	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard Federal Minerals / Borders Existing Lease
015	No	Yes	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals/Low Potential
016	No	Yes	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals/Low Potential
017	No	Yes	No-low	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals/Low Potential
018	No	Yes	Yes-Moderate	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Adobe Town WSA	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals
019	No	Yes	Yes-Moderate	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Adobe Town WSA	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals
020	No	Yes	Yes-Moderate	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals
021	No	Yes	Yes-Moderate	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Adobe Town WSA	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals
022	No	Yes	Yes-Moderate	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-Adobe Town WSA	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals
023	No	Yes	Yes-Moderate	Yes	Yes	No	Yes-	No	Majority Leased Federal Minerals

Master Leasing Plan (MLP) Checklist for Oil and Gas Lease Parcels (Rock Springs November 2012 Parcels)

Washington Office Instruction Memorandum 2010-117 introduces the Master Leasing Plan (MLP) concept as a mechanism for completing the additional planning, analysis, and decision-making that may be necessary for areas meeting the listed criteria. *The MLP process will be conducted through the NEPA process using an interdisciplinary team that will coordinate and/or consult with the public and other stakeholders that may be affected by the BLM's MLP decisions. The MLP will ordinarily be initiated as a land use plan amendment. However, if it is anticipated that the likely outcome of the MLP will not result in the creation of new lease stipulations or changes to existing RMP decisions warranting a plan amendment, it may not be necessary to initiate the MLP as a plan amendment. The MLP process may also be combined with a plan revision process if schedules permit. **The preparation of an MLP is required when all FOUR of the following criteria are met:***

- *A substantial portion of the area to be analyzed in the MLP is not currently leased.*
- *There is a majority Federal mineral interest.*
- *The oil and gas industry has expressed a specific interest in leasing, and there is a moderate or high potential for oil and gas development confirmed by the discovery of oil and gas in the general area.*
- *Additional analysis or information is needed to address likely resource or cumulative impacts if oil and gas development were to occur where there are:*
 - *multiple-use or natural/cultural resource conflicts;*
 - *impacts to air quality;*
 - *impacts on the resources or values of any unit of the National Park System, national wildlife refuge, or National Forest wilderness area, as determined after consultation or coordination with the NPS, the FWS, or the FS; or*
 - *impacts on other specially designated areas.*

Parcel #	Parcel in an Area that is Substantially Unleased (Yes/No)	Parcel in an area with a Majority Federal Mineral Interest (Yes/No)	There is a Confirmed Moderate to High Potential for O&G Development Based on the RMP Mineral Occurrence and Development Potential Report (Yes/No)	Additional Analysis Needed to Address Resource or Cumulative Impacts if O&G Development were to occur				MLP Need? (Yes/No)	Rationale
				Multiple-use or Resource Conflicts (Yes/No)	Impacts to Air Quality (Yes/No)	Impacts to NPS, FWS Refuge, or FS Wilderness Areas (Yes/No)	Impacts on Other Specially Designated Areas (Yes/No)		
WY-1211-									
36	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
37	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
38	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
39	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
40	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
41	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
42	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
43	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
44	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
45	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
46	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
47	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard
48	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Checkerboard

49	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Remove all the portions of the parcel in JMH Area 3 (no leasing for federal minerals). Offer for lease the portion of the parcel in T27 R101 Section 2 (JMH Area1).
50	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Remove the portion of the parcel within T28 R101 Sec 7 of the Windriver Front SRA East (no leasing area for federal minerals). The remainder of parcel 50 can be offered for lease.
51	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Defer based on ongoing analysis of the MLP. Meets criteria for wilderness review checklist.
52	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Defer based on ongoing analysis of the MLP. Meets criteria for wilderness review checklist.
53	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Defer based on ongoing analysis of the MLP. Meets criteria for wilderness review checklist.
54	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Defer based on ongoing analysis of the MLP. Meets criteria for wilderness review checklist.
55	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Defer based on ongoing analysis of the MLP.
56	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Defer based on ongoing analysis of the MLP.
57	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defer based on ongoing analysis of the MLP. Meets criteria for wilderness review checklist.
58	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defer based on ongoing analysis of the MLP. Meets criteria for wilderness review checklist.
59	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Entirely split estate in an area outside of any SMAs.
60	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defer based on ongoing analysis of the MLP.
61	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Defer based on ongoing analysis of the MLP.
62	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.

63	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
64	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
65	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
66	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
67	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
68	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
69	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
70	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
71	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
72	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
73	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
74	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
75	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
77	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.
78	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Surrounded wholly or partially by existing leases.

Master Leasing Plan (MLP) Checklist for Oil and Gas Lease Parcels (Kemmerer November 2012 Parcels)

Washington Office Instruction Memorandum 2010-117 introduces the Master Leasing Plan (MLP) concept as a mechanism for completing the additional planning, analysis, and decision-making that may be necessary for areas meeting the listed criteria. *The MLP process will be conducted through the NEPA process using an interdisciplinary team that will coordinate and/or consult with the public and other stakeholders that may be affected by the BLM's MLP decisions. The MLP will ordinarily be initiated as a land use plan amendment. However, if it is anticipated that the likely outcome of the MLP will not result in the creation of new lease stipulations or changes to existing RMP decisions warranting a plan amendment, it may not be necessary to initiate the MLP as a plan amendment. The MLP process may also be combined with a plan revision process if schedules permit. **The preparation of an MLP is required when all FOUR of the following criteria are met:***

- *A substantial portion of the area to be analyzed in the MLP is not currently leased.*
- *There is a majority Federal mineral interest.*
- *The oil and gas industry has expressed a specific interest in leasing, and there is a moderate or high potential for oil and gas development confirmed by the discovery of oil and gas in the general area.*
- *Additional analysis or information is needed to address likely resource or cumulative impacts if oil and gas development were to occur where there are:*
 - *multiple-use or natural/cultural resource conflicts;*
 - *impacts to air quality;*
 - *impacts on the resources or values of any unit of the National Park System, national wildlife refuge, or National Forest wilderness area, as determined after consultation or coordination with the NPS, the FWS, or the FS; or*
 - *impacts on other specially designated areas.*

Parcel #	Parcel in an Area that is Substantially Unleased (Yes/No)	Parcel in an area with a Majority Federal Mineral Interest (Yes/No)	There is a Confirmed Moderate to High Potential for O&G Development Based on the RMP Mineral Occurrence and Development Potential Report (Yes/No)	Additional Analysis Needed to Address Resource or Cumulative Impacts if O&G Development were to occur				MLP Need? (Yes/No)	Rationale
				Multiple-use or Resource Conflicts (Yes/No)	Impacts to Air Quality (Yes/No)	Impacts to NPS, FWS Refuge, or FS Wilderness Areas (Yes/No)	Impacts on Other Specially Designated Areas (Yes/No)		
WY-1211-									
079	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Nearest producing well is approximately 1 mile away. Not in an area that is substantially unleased. The Kemmerer RMP/EIS was recently completed – May, 2010. Decisions on where to lease and not lease are current.
080	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Nearest producing well is approximately 2.6 miles away. Not in an area that is substantially unleased. The Kemmerer RMP/EIS was recently completed – May, 2010. Decisions on where

									to lease and not lease are current.
081	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Nearest producing well is approximately 5.9 miles away. Not in an area that is substantially unleased. The Kemmerer RMP/EIS was recently completed – May, 2010. Decisions on where to lease and not lease are current. Not in an area of confirmed moderate to high potential for oil and gas development
082	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Nearest producing well is approximately 5.9 miles away. Not in an area that is substantially unleased. The Kemmerer RMP/EIS was recently completed – May, 2010. Decisions on where to lease and not lease are current.
083	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Nearest producing well is approximately 1 mile away. Not in an area that is majority Federal minerals. The Kemmerer RMP/EIS was recently completed – May, 2010. Decisions on where to lease and not lease are current.