

February 8, 2006

Brian Amme, Project Manager
BLM, P.O. Box 12000
Reno, NV 89520-0006

See FL-0001

Re: Comment on BLM Programmatic EIS for pesticide Vegetation Treatments in 17 Western States

Mr. Brian Amme,

I have reviewed the Bureau of Land Management's proposal for pesticide applications to public lands in western states. I am urging BLM to choose Option 3 (C) in its proposal, wherein alternative control methods, other than pesticides, would be used. As a user (and citizen owner) of these public lands, I am deeply concerned with allowing such a large use of pesticides on public lands, including National Monuments and National Conservation areas.

An integral part of this proposal involves aerial spraying of toxic pesticides, which increases negative impacts on non-targeted vegetation, wildlife, and people, including recreationists, tourists, and native peoples (pesticide application areas include Alaska, where native fishing and plant gathering is widespread). Although the proposal claims care would be taken in applying the pesticides in a controlled manner, these chemicals are known to drift much further than anticipated and cause unexpected health and ecological impacts. The pesticides that would be used include persistent and mobile chemicals, including known developmental and reproductive toxins.

U.S. biologists, ecologists and wildlife managers have a vast array of alternative vegetation management tools to choose from, without having to resort to applying toxic chemicals to our public lands. Please choose Option 3 (C).

Thank you for your care and consideration. I know that you must be getting rather tired of the volume (hopefully) of concerned citizens regarding this issue, but it is important and we need your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Katherine I. Peters, CRS, GRI
Sales Associate
Sotheby's International Realty
185 W. Broadway
P.O. Box 3550
Jackson, WY 83001
Email: Kathy.peters@sothebysrealty.com
307-739-8018