

928 Sapphire St #B  
San Diego, CA 92109  
December 30, 2005

**Comment on BLM Programmatic EIS for pesticide Vegetation Treatments in 17 Western States**

Brian Amme, Project Manager  
BLM, P.O. Box 12000  
Reno, NV 89520-0006

See FL-0001

Mr. Amme,

In response to the Bureau of Land Management's proposal for pesticide applications to public lands in western states, I am begging BLM to choose Option 3 (C) in its proposal, wherein alternative control methods, other than pesticides, would be used. As a user (and citizen owner) of these public lands, I am deeply concerned with allowing such a large use of pesticides on public lands, including National Monuments and National Conservation areas. The health effects would be devastating to humans and wildlife.

Although your proposal claims care would be taken in applying the pesticides in a controlled manner, these chemicals are known to drift much further than anticipated and cause unexpected health and ecological impacts. The pesticides that would be used include persistent and mobile chemicals, including known developmental and reproductive toxins.

U.S. biologists, ecologists and wildlife managers have a vast array of alternative vegetation management tools to choose from, without having to resort to applying toxic chemicals to our public lands. **Please choose Option 3 (C)-this is critical. Do not poison our beautiful land.**

Thank you for your care and consideration,

Sincerely,



Janice Stanger