



1/15/06

Brian Amme
Vegetation EIS Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
P.O. Box 12000
Reno, NV 89520-0006

RE: BLM PEIS Comments

Brian,

1 Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the BLM's Draft Vegetation Treatments on Bureau of Land Management Lands in 17 Western States Programmatic EIS, or (*PEIS*).

2 As a manager representing a private industrial landowner perspective in Western Oregon, I support alternative B as it provides for the greatest **long term** benefits to all landowners with the least impacts.

3 Noxious and non-native weed management is a reality in our checkerboard ownership. In my opinion, given the current conditions we presently see, all landowners have a stewardship responsibility to employ a functional, cost effective, and environmentally acceptable yet results oriented weed control strategy.

4 I support Alternative B because the alternative recognizes that herbicides are one of the best tools for use in correcting many unnatural and harmful conditions caused by non-native and noxious weeds. Attention to detail in the plan indicates that the BLM will use herbicides in a responsible and effective manner. Alternative B also has an excellent methodical process in place to evaluate new herbicides when they become available.

5 I would like to compliment you and your team on this effort. I'm impressed with the thoroughness of your EIS and feel BLM has done an outstanding job of putting together a team of experts and professionals to develop the alternatives. Their consultation and cooperation with other agencies strengthens and enhances the plan. They have correctly labeled Alternative B (The preferred alternative), because it provides for the best, preferred long term outcome.

Sincerely,

Phil Adams
Smith River Forester
Roseburg Resources Co