



CHAPTER 6
CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

This page intentionally left blank

CHAPTER 6

CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

6.1 PUBLIC SCOPING

The BLM published the Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare a PEIS to evaluate geothermal leasing in the 12 western states, including Alaska, on lands administered by the BLM and the FS in the *Federal Register* (72 FR 113) on June 13, 2007. The NOI initiated the public scoping process and invited public comments on the content and issues that should be addressed in the PEIS. The BLM and the FS conducted scoping from June 13, 2007 through August 13, 2007. During that period, the BLM and the FS invited the public and interested groups to provide information and guidance, suggest issues that should be examined, and express their concerns and opinions on geothermal leasing in eleven western states and Alaska on public lands administered by the BLM and the FS. During the scoping process, the public was given four means of submitting comments to the BLM and the FS:

1. Traditional mail;
2. Toll-free facsimile transmission; and
3. Electronic mail.
4. This variety of ways to communicate issues and submit comments was provided so as to encourage maximum participation. All comments, regardless of how they were submitted, received equal consideration.

Public meetings, which were held in ten cities in July 2007: Anchorage, Alaska; Boise, Idaho; Denver, Colorado; Missoula, Montana; Phoenix, Arizona; Portland, Oregon; Reno, Nevada; Sacramento, California; Salt Lake City, Utah; and Santa Fe, New Mexico.

The scoping meetings were advertised through the following means: newspaper notices (ten newspapers); the project website; a project newsletter that was

sent to approximately 1,600 recipients; electronic mail messages; newspaper articles and trade publications.

Approximately 175 people attended the scoping meetings and 101 verbal comments were identified and cataloged from these meetings. A total of 79 written comments were received in the form of comment cards submitted at the public meetings (2); letters by US Mail or by hand delivery (16); and by electronic mail (63).

The following agencies, organizations, and industries provided comments, as well as private individuals.

- California Wilderness Coalition
- Calpine Corporation
- Earth Systems Southwest
- Greater Yellowstone Coalition
- Idaho Conservation League
- New Mexico Department of Fish and Game
- Ormat Inc.
- Save Medicine Lake Coalition
- Sierra Club, Oregon Chapter
- Skamania County Public Utility District No. 1
- Utah Environmental Congress
- Utah Office of the Governor, Utah Geological Survey
- United States Environmental Protection Agency
- Western Resource Advocates
- The Wilderness Society and Western Resource Advocates
- Wyoming Game and Fish Department
- Wyoming Outdoor Council

The BLM and FS published a scoping report on the project web site that summarized and categorized the major themes, issues, concerns, and comments expressed by private citizens, government agencies, private firms, and nongovernmental organizations. The BLM and FS considered the comments in developing the alternatives and analytical issues that are contained in this PEIS. Summaries of the individual letters, facsimiles, and electronic comments received during scoping are available within the scoping report. (www.blm.gov/geothermal_eis)

6.2 PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE DRAFT PEIS

In preparing the Final PEIS, the BLM and FS will consider all comments received or postmarked during the 90-day public comment period that will begin when the United States Environmental Protection Agency publishes a Notice of Availability of this Draft PEIS in the Federal Register. The BLM and FS will consider late comments to the extent practicable. The BLM and FS will hold 13 public meetings in the 12 western state project area. Locations and times for the public hearings are provided on the PEIS cover page (Volume I) and will be announced in the Federal Register and local media. Comments can be submitted via e-mail to geothermal_eis@blm.gov; by fax to 1-866-625-0707; or by mail to:

Draft Geothermal Leasing PEIS
C/o EMPSi
944 Market Street, Suite 509
San Francisco, CA 94102

All comments, regardless of how they were submitted, will receive equal consideration. Before including your address, telephone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be advised that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask in your comment to withhold from public review your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. The BLM will always make submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspections in their entirety.

6.3 GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION

The BLM and the FS are working on a government-to-government basis with Native American tribes. As a part of the government's Treaty and Trust responsibilities, the government to government relationship was formally recognized by the federal government on November 6, 2000, with E.O. 13175, "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments," (U.S. President 2000).

The BLM and FS coordinates and consults with Tribal governments, Native communities, and Tribal individuals whose interests might be directly and substantially affected by activities on BLM- and FS-administered lands. It strives to provide the Tribal entities sufficient opportunities for productive participation in BLM and FS planning and resource management decision making.

The BLM and the FS developed a process to offer specific consultation opportunities to "directly and substantially affected" Tribal entities, as required under the provisions of E.O. 13175. Letters were mailed in September 2007 to each tribal executive official of over 400 tribes and pueblos in the western US and Alaska from the Deputy Director of the BLM and Deputy Chief of the

Forest Service (Table 6-1). The letters documented the PEIS process and detailed the pending lease applications that are being assessed in the PEIS, and invited them to participate in the consultation process. Seven tribes provided a response letter. One letter noted that no lease applications were in their area of interest, four letters requested consultation if any lease applications would fall in their areas of interest, and two letters requested consultation and to help participate in the PEIS process. The consultation process will be ongoing throughout the project.

6.4 COORDINATION OF BLM AND FS OFFICES

This PEIS was prepared by the BLM and the FS to evaluate a program that will have BLM- and FS-wide impacts. Weekly conference calls were held to brief BLM and FS staff and to enhance coordination between the project team, the BLM State and District offices, and the FS offices. In addition the project team presented in-person briefings to both regional and headquarters' staff as requested. Coordination with State Office and Field Office staff will continue on issues related to geothermal leasing on BLM- and FS-administered lands through the completion of the project.

6.5 AGENCY COOPERATION, CONSULTATION, AND COORDINATION

From the start of this PEIS process, the BLM and the FS consulted with several federal agencies regarding the purpose and need for the proposed action and the scope of the analysis. The US Department of Energy is serving as cooperating agency with representation on the project core team. The US Geological Survey also worked closely with the core team to provide technical guidance in defining areas of geothermal development potential for electrical generation. The BLM and FS are also coordinating with the Environmental Protection Agency regarding air quality, wetlands, and other natural resources.

The BLM and FS will comply with the requirements of Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act for consultation with the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries) – collectively known as the “Services.” Given the size and scope of the planning area, both of the Services are involved in the Section 7 process for this project. Under Section 7, the BLM and FS must decide whether the proposed action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any listed threatened or endangered species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. If the BLM and FS determine that the action will have no effect on listed species or critical habitat, the agency will make a “no effect” determination and no consultation with the USFWS and NOAA fisheries is required. If the BLM and FS determine that the action “may affect” listed species or critical habitat, the agencies then must make a further determination as to whether the action would be likely to adversely affect listed species or critical habitat. If it is determined that the action is not likely to adversely affect listed species or critical habitat, the BLM and FS will enter into informal consultation with the Services. This process often results in

a letter from the Service concurring in the agency's determination. When an agency determines that an action is likely to adversely affect listed species or critical habitat, the agency must then enter into formal consultation with the Service; the end result of this process is the issuance by the Services of a biological opinion.

In addition the BLM and FS are coordinating with and soliciting input from the State Historic Preservation Offices and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act. This PEIS provides for a phased consultation process related to historic, traditional, and cultural resources.

Dialogues have been initiated with key state agencies involved in the promotion, analysis, and permitting of geothermal development projects including state geological surveys, state energy offices, and state energy regulatory bodies. Coordination with research institutes, universities, and stakeholders groups, including business and geothermal industry groups is ongoing.

In addition, the BLM initiated activities to coordinate and consult with the governors of each of the 12 states and with state agencies. Prior to the issuance of the ROD and the approval of proposed plan amendments, the governor of each state will be given the opportunity to identify any inconsistencies between the proposed plan amendments and state or local plans and to provide recommendations in writing (during the 60-day consistency review period).

6.6 POTENTIAL ADOPTION OF THE PEIS BY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

The PEIS provides an analysis of the positive and negative environmental, social, and economic impacts associated with geothermal leasing on BLM-administered lands in the western United States. It identifies potential measures that may be undertaken to avoid, mitigate, or minimize potential impacts and proposes specific policies and BMPs to govern geothermal leasing. The information contained in the PEIS and the decisions represented in the proposed policies and BMPs may be relevant to geothermal leasing on other lands, including other federal, private, state-owned, and Tribal lands. They may also be relevant to decisions regarding other related activities, including development of new transmission lines, substations, and other facilities.

As a cooperating agency, the DOE may elect to adopt this PEIS, or a portion of this PEIS, at some time in the future. Other agencies may elect to adopt this PEIS as well. The CEQ regulations provide specific guidance on the process by which one agency can adopt another agency's final NEPA document even though it did not participate as a cooperating agency (40 CFR 1506.3). According to the CEQ in its March 23, 1981 "Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ's National Environmental Policy Act Regulations," Question 30, "If the proposed action for which the EIS was prepared is substantially the same as the proposed action of the adopting agency, the EIS may be adopted as long as it is

recirculated as a final EIS and the agency announces what it is doing. This would be followed by the 30-day review period and issuance of a Record of Decision by the adopting agency. If the proposed action by the adopting agency is not substantially the same as that in [46 FR 18036] the EIS (i.e., if an EIS on one action is being adapted for use in a decision on another action), the EIS would be treated as a draft and circulated for the normal public comment period and other procedures” (46 FR 55, 18026-18038).

Individual organizations should consider their own NEPA implementing regulations or comparable programmatic requirements to evaluate the potential benefits associated with implementation of all or portions of the PEIS.

**Table 6-1
Consultation Invitation Letter Mailing List**

Agdaagux Tribe of King Cove	Chevak Native Village
Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians	Chickaloon Native Village
Ak Chin Indian Community Council	Chicken Ranch Rancheria
Akiachak Native Community (IRA)	Chignik Lagoon Council
Akiak Native Community (IRA)	Chignik Lake Village Council
Alatna Village	Chilkat Indian Village (Klukwan) (IRA)
Aleut Community of St. Paul Island	Chilkoot Indian Association (IRA)
Algaaciq Native Village	Chinik Eskimo Community
Allakaket Village	Chippewa Cree Business Committee
Alturas Rancheria	Chitina Traditional Indian Village Council
Angoon Community Association (IRA)	Chuloonawick Native Village
Anvik Village	Circle Native Community (IRA)
Arapaho Business Committee	Cloverdale Rancheria
Arctic Village Council	Cocopah Tribal Council
Asa'carsarmiut Tribe	Coeur d'Alene Tribal Council
Atqasuk Village	Cold Springs Rancheria
Augustine Band of Mission Indians	Colorado River Tribal Council
Barona Band of Mission Indians	Colusa Rancheria
Battle Mountain Band Council	Colville Business Council
Bear River Band of Rohnerville Rancheria	Confederated Salish & Kootenai Tribes, Tribal Council
Beaver Village Council	Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians
Benton Paiute Reservation	Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation
Berry Creek Rancheria	Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon
Big Lagoon Rancheria	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
Big Pine Paiute Tribe of the Owens Valley	Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation, Tribal Council
Big Sandy Rancheria	Coquille Indian Tribe
Big Valley Rancheria	Cortina Rancheria
Birch Creek Tribal Council	Cow Creek Government Offices
Bishop Paiute Tribe	Cowlitz Indian Tribe
Blackfeet Tribal Business Council	Coyote Valley Reservation
Blue Lake Rancheria	Craig Community Association (IRA)
Bridgeport Indian Colony	Crow Tribal Council
Buena Vista Rancheria	Curyung Tribal Council
Burns Paiute Tribe, General Council	Douglas Indian Association (IRA)
Cabazon Tribal Business Committee	Dresslerville Community Council
Cahto Tribal Executive Committee	Dry Creek Rancheria
Cahuilla Band of Mission Indians	Duckwater Tribal Council
California Valley Miwok Tribe	Egegik Village
Campo Band of Mission Indians	Eklutna Native Village
Carson Community Council	Ekwok Village
Cedarville Rancheria	Elem Indian Colony
Central Council Tlingit & Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska	
Chalkyitsik Village Council	
Cheesh-Na Tribal Council	
Chemehuevi Tribal Council	
Chenega IRA Council	

Elim IRA Council	Kaktovik Village
Elk Valley Rancheria	Kalispel Business Committee
Elko Band Council	Kaltag Tribal Council
Ely Shoshone Tribal Council	Karuk Tribe of California
Emmonak Village	Kenaitze Indian Tribe (IRA)
Enterprise Rancheria	Ketchikan Indian Community Tribal Council
Evansville Village	King Island Native Community (IRA)
Ewiiapaayp Band of Kumeyaay Indians	King Salmon Tribe
Fallon Paiute Shoshone Tribal Business Council	Klamath General Council
Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria	Klawock Cooperative Association
Fort Belknap Community Council	Knik Village
Fort Bidwell Reservation	Kobuk Traditional Council
Fort Hall Business Council	Kokhanok Village
Fort Independence Reservation	Kongiganak Traditional Council
Fort McDermitt Tribal Council	Kootenai Tribal Council
Fort McDowell Yavapai Tribal Council	Koyukuk Native Village
Fort Mojave Tribal Council	La Jolla Band of Luiseno Indians
Fort Peck Tribal Executive Board	La Posta Band of Mission Indians
Gambell IRA Council	Larsen Bay Tribal Council
Gila River Indian Community Council	Las Vegas Tribal Council
Goshute Business Council	Lesnoi Village, Woody Island Tribal Council
Greenville Rancheria	Levelock Village
Grindstone Rancheria	Lime Village Traditional Council
Guidiville Rancheria	Lone Pine Paiute Shoshone Reservation
Gulkana Village	Los Coyotes Band of Cahuilla & Cupeno Indians
Habematolel Pomo of Upper Lake	Louden Tribal Council
Havasupai Tribal Council	Lovelock Tribal Council
Healy Lake Village	Lower Elwha Tribal Council
Hoh Tribal Business Committee	Lower Lake Rancheria
Holy Cross Village	Lummi Indian Business Council
Hoonah Indian Association (IRA)	Lytton Rancheria
Hoopa Valley Tribal Council	Makah Indian Tribal Council
Hopi Tribal Council	Manchester - Point Arena Band of Pomo Indians
Hopland Reservation	Manley Hot Springs Village
Hualapai Tribal Council	Manokotak Village
Hughes Village	Manzanita Band of Mission Indians
Huslia Village Council	Mary's Igloo Traditional Council
Hydaburg Cooperative Assn. (IRA)	McGrath Native Village Council
Igiugig Village	Mechoopda Indian Tribe of the Chico Rancheria
Inaja-Cosmit Reservation	Mentasta Lake Tribal Council
Inupiat Community of Arctic Slope (IRA)	Mesa Grande Band of Mission Indians
lone Band of Miwok Indians	Mescalero Apache Tribe
Iqurmiut Traditonal Council	Metlakatla Indian Community
Ivanoff Bay Village Council	Middletown Rancheria
Jackson Rancheria	Moapa Business Council
Jamestown S'Klallam Tribal Council	Mooretown Rancheria
Jamul Indian Village	Morongo Band of Mission Indians
Jicarilla Apache Nation	Muckleshoot Tribal Council
Kaguyak Village	Naknek Native Village
Kaibab Paiute Tribal Council	Native Village of Afognak

Native Village of Akhiok
 Native Village of Akutan
 Native Village of Aleknagik
 Native Village of Ambler
 Native Village of Atka
 Native Village of Barrow Inupiat Traditional Government
 Native Village of Belkofski
 Native Village of Bill Moore's Slough
 Native Village of Brevig Mission
 Native Village of Buckland (IRA)
 Native Village of Cantwell
 Native Village of Chignik
 Native Village of Chuathbaluk
 Native Village of Council
 Native Village of Crooked Creek
 Native Village of Deering (IRA)
 Native Village of Diomede (IRA) (aka Inalik)
 Native Village of Eagle (IRA)
 Native Village of Eek
 Native Village of Ekuk
 Native Village of Eyak
 Native Village of False Pass
 Native Village of Fort Yukon (IRA)
 Native Village of Gakona
 Native Village of Georgetown
 Native Village of Goodnews Bay
 Native Village of Hamilton
 Native Village of Hooper Bay
 Native Village of Kanatak (IRA)
 Native Village of Karluk (IRA)
 Native Village of Kasigluk
 Native Village of Kiana
 Native Village of Kipnuk
 Native Village of Kivalina (IRA)
 Native Village of Kluti-Kaah (aka Copper Center)
 Native Village of Kotzebue (IRA)
 Native Village of Koyuk (IRA)
 Native Village of Kwigillingok
 Native Village of Kwinhagak (IRA)
 Native Village of Marshall
 Native Village of Mekoryuk (IRA)
 Native Village of Minto (IRA)
 Native Village of Nanwalek (aka English Bay)
 Native Village of Napaimute
 Native Village of Napakiak (IRA)
 Native Village of Napaskiak
 Native Village of Nikolski (IRA)
 Native Village of Noatak (IRA)
 Native Village of Nuiqsut
 Native Village of Nunam Iqua
 Native Village of Nunapitchuk (IRA)
 Native Village of Ouzinkie
 Native Village of Paimiut
 Native Village of Perryville Tribal Council
 Native Village of Pitka's Point
 Native Village of Point Hope (IRA)
 Native Village of Point Lay (IRA)
 Native Village of Port Heiden
 Native Village of Savoonga (IRA)
 Native Village of Shaktoolik (IRA)
 Native Village of Shishmaref (IRA)
 Native Village of Shungnak (IRA)
 Native Village of South Naknek
 Native Village of St. Michael (IRA)
 Native Village of Stevens (IRA)
 Native Village of Tanana (IRA)
 Native Village of Tatitlek (IRA)
 Native Village of Tazlina
 Native Village of Tetlin (IRA)
 Native Village of Tyonek (IRA)
 Native Village of Unalakleet (IRA)
 Native Village of Venetie Tribal Government (IRA)
 Native Village of Wales (IRA)
 Native Village of White Mountain (IRA)
 Navajo Nation
 Nelson Lagoon Tribal Council
 Nenana Native Association
 New Koliganek Village Council
 New Stuyahok Village
 Newhalen Village
 Newtok Traditional Council
 Nez Perce Tribal Executive Committee
 Nightmute Traditional Council
 Nikolai Village
 Ninilchik Traditional Council
 Nisqually Indian Community Council
 Nome Eskimo Community
 Nondalton Village
 Nooksack Indian Tribal Council
 Noorvik Native Community (IRA)
 North Fork Rancheria
 Northern Cheyenne Tribal Council
 Northway Village
 Northwestern Band of Shoshone Nation
 Nulato Tribal Council
 Nunakauyarmiut Tribe
 Ohkay Owingeh

Ohogamuit Traditional Council	Quartz Valley Reservation
Organized Village of Grayling (IRA)	Quechan Tribal Council
Organized Village of Kake (IRA)	Quileute Tribal Council
Organized Village of Kasaan (IRA)	Quinault Indian Nation - Business Committee
Organized Village of Kwethluk (IRA)	Ramah Navajo Chapter
Organized Village of Saxman (IRA)	Ramona Band of Mission Indians
Orutsararmuit Native Council	Rampart Village
Oscarville Tribal Council	Redding Rancheria
Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah Tribal Council	Redwood Valley Reservation
Pala Band of Mission Indians	Reno-Sparks Tribal Council
Pascua Yaqui Tribal Council	Resighini Rancheria
Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians	Rincon Band of Mission Indians
Pauloff Harbor Village	Robinson Rancheria
Pauma/Yuima Band of Mission Indians	Round Valley Reservation
Pechanga Band of Mission Indians	Ruby Tribal Council
Pedro Bay Village Council	Rumsey Rancheria
Petersburg Indian Association (IRA)	Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community Council
Picayune Rancheria of Chukchansi Indians	Samish Indian Nation
Pilot Point Tribal Council	San Carlos Tribal Council
Pilot Station Traditional Village	San Juan Southern Paiute Council
Pinoleville Reservation	San Manuel Band of Mission Indians
Pit River Tribal Council	San Pasqual Band of Diegueno Indians
Platinum Traditional Village Council	Santa Rosa Band of Cahuilla Indians
Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe	Santa Rosa Rancheria
Port Graham Village Council	Santa Ynez Band of Mission Indians
Port Lions Traditional Tribal Council	Santa Ysabel Band of Mission Indians
Portage Creek Village Council	Sauk-Suiattle Tribal Council
Potter Valley Tribe	Scammon Bay Traditional Council
Pueblo of Acoma	Scotts Valley Rancheria
Pueblo of Cochiti	Selawik IRA Council
Pueblo of Isleta	Seldovia Village Tribe (IRA)
Pueblo of Jemez	Shageluk Native Village (IRA)
Pueblo of Laguna	Sherwood Valley Rancheria
Pueblo of Nambe	Shingle Springs Rancheria
Pueblo of Picuris	Shoalwater Bay Tribal Council
Pueblo of Pojoaque	Shoshone Business Committee
Pueblo of San Felipe	Shoshone-Paiute Business Council
Pueblo of San Ildefonso	Siletz Tribal Council
Pueblo of Sandia	Sitka Tribe of Alaska (IRA)
Pueblo of Santa Ana	Skagway Village
Pueblo of Santa Clara	Skokomish Tribal Council
Pueblo of Santo Domingo	Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians General Council
Pueblo of Taos	Sleetmute Traditional Council
Pueblo of Tesuque	Smith River Rancheria
Pueblo of Zia	Snoqualmie Tribal Organization
Pueblo of Zuni	Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians
Puyallup Tribal Council	Solomon Traditional Council
Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribal Council	South Fork Band Council
Qagan Tayagungin Tribe of Sand Point Village	
Qawalangin Tribe of Unalaska	

Southern Ute Tribe	Village of Dot Lake
Spokane Business Council	Village of Iliamna
Squaxin Island Tribal Council	Village of Kalskag
St. George Traditional Council	Village of Kotlik
Stebbins Community Association (IRA)	Village of Lower Kalskag
Stewart Community Council	Village of Old Harbor
Stewarts Point Rancheria	Village of Red Devil
Stillaguamish Board of Directors	Village of Salamatoff
Summit Lake Paiute Tribal Council	Village of Stony River
Sun'aq Tribe of Kodiak	Village of Wainwright
Suquamish Tribal Council	Walker River Paiute Tribal Council
Susanville Indian Rancheria	Washoe Tribal Council
Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	Wells Indian Colony Band Council
Sycuan Band of the Kumeyaay Nation	White Mountain Apache Tribe
Table Mountain Rancheria	Winnemucca Tribal Council
Takotna Village	Wiyot Tribe
Tanacross Village Council	Woodfords Community Council
Telida Village	Wrangell Cooperative Assn. (IRA)
Teller Traditional Council	Yakama Nation
Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone Tribal Council	Yakutat Tlingit Tribe
Timbi-sha Shoshone Tribe	Yavapai-Apache Community Council
Tohono O'odham Nation	Yavapai-Prescott Board of Directors
Tonto Apache Tribal Council	Yerington Paiute Tribe
Torres-Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians	Yomba Tribal Council
Traditional Village of Togiak	Yupit of Andreafski
Trinidad Rancheria	Yurok Tribe
Tulalip Board of Directors	
Tule River Reservation	
Tuluksak Native Community (IRA)	
Tuntutuliak Traditional Council	
Tununak IRA Council	
Tuolumne Rancheria	
Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians	
Twin Hills Village Council	
Ugashik Traditional Village Council	
Umkumiut Native Village	
Unga Tribal Council	
United Auburn Indian Community	
Upper Skagit Tribal Council	
Ute Business Committee	
Ute Mountain Ute Tribe	
Venetie Village Council	
Viejas Band of Mission Indians	
Village of Alakanuk	
Village of Anaktuvuk Pass	
Village of Aniak	
Village of Atmautluak	
Village of Chefornak	
Village of Clarks Point	

This page intentionally left blank.