

Number of Organizational Units in Good Safety, Health, and Environmental Condition

	Total Number of Org. Units	Number of Organizational Units in Good Condition															FY 2015 Percent of Organizational Units in Good Condition (rounded)
		FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	FY 06	FY 07	FY 08	FY 09	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15	
Alaska	6	0	3	3	3	3	4	5	4	4	5	6	5	5	6	6	100%
Arizona	8	1	5	7	6	6	5	6	7	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	100%
California	17	2	7	9	14	11	11	13	12	13	14	13	16	15	15	15	88%
Colorado	10	10	10	9	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	9	90%
Eastern States	3	0	0	1	2	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	67%
Idaho	11	4	8	6	10	8	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	100%
Montana/Dakotas	10	8	10	7	10	8	10	10	9	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	100%
Nevada	7	6	5	4	5	5	6	4	7	6	5	6	6	7	6	6	86%
New Mexico/OK/TX	10	5	8	8	10	8	8	8	10	9	10	10	10	9	10	10	100%
Oregon/Washington	11	3	3	8	7	10	10	10	8	10	9	11	11	11	11	9	82%
Utah	11	5	7	8	11	9	9	10	10	11	11	10	11	10	11	11	100%
Wyoming	11	4	7	11	10	11	10	10	11	11	11	11	10	10	8	11	100%
Washington Office	5	1	4	3	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	100%
Totals	120	49	77	84	103	94	99	105	107	111	111	113	115	114	113	113	94%

- (1) A **RED** number in above table indicates the State or Washington Office had two or more of its organizational units in that fiscal year NOT rated in good safety, health, and environmental condition.
- (2) A **GREEN** number in above table indicates the State or Washington Office had all or all, but one of its organizational units in that fiscal year rated in good safety, health, and environmental condition.
- (3) The performance goal for FY 2015 was to have 95% of the BLM's organizational units rated in good safety, health, and environmental condition which is one percent higher than last fiscal year's performance.
- (4) The above data includes audits performed from October 1993 through June 2015 and responses from the field on the findings from those audits through July 2015.
- (5) FY 2015 is the eleventh fiscal year in which an organizational unit must have all its high priority traditional CASHE findings completed to be rated in good condition.
- (6) FY 2015 is the second fiscal year in which an organizational unit must have all its high priority CASHE findings completed to be rated in good condition.
- (7) In FY 2016 and subsequent years an organizational unit must have zero incomplete high priority traditional CASHE findings and zero incomplete high priority RI CASHE findings to be rated in good condition.