

**STATE PROTOCOL AGREEMENT BETWEEN
THE UTAH STATE DIRECTOR OF THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
AND THE UTAH STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER (SHPO)
REGARDING THE MANNER IN WHICH THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
(BLM) WILL MEET ITS RESPONSIBILITIES UNDER THE NATIONAL HISTORIC
PRESERVATION ACT (NHPA) AND THE NATIONAL PROGRAMMATIC
AGREEMENT (PA) AMONG THE BLM, THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC
PRESERVATION (COUNCIL), AND THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF STATE
HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICERS (NC SHPO)**

This Protocol Agreement (Protocol) supplements the above-referenced national PA, and pertains to Sections 106, 110, 111 (a) and 112 (a) of the NHPA. It describes specific procedures regarding how the Utah SHPO and the BLM will interact and cooperate under the national PA. The goals of this Protocol and the national PA are to enhance planning for and management of historic properties under the BLM's jurisdiction or control and to ensure appropriate consideration of historic properties outside BLM's jurisdiction, but which may be affected by its actions. Undertakings involving non-federal lands for which BLM is considered the lead agent shall be considered federal actions and will be subject to requirements outlined in this Protocol. This agreement does not apply to tribal lands as defined in NHPA. The following are the agreed-upon procedures of the Protocol.

I. RELATIONSHIP OF THIS PROTOCOL AGREEMENT TO OTHER AGREEMENTS

All general compliance agreements not including on-going project specific programmatic agreements or MOAs, are terminated. Any BLM manager in Utah who elects not to follow the process set forth in this Protocol will comply with 36 CFR 800 procedures regarding individual undertakings until his or her difficulties with applying the Protocol are resolved following procedures detailed in Section IX (A), after which use of this Protocol will resume.

SHPO and BLM agree that (1) BLM conducts continuing programs and carries out specific undertakings that involve land disturbance and modification of the built and natural environments, and; (2) BLM bears legal responsibility for carrying out such undertakings consistent with the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), and that; (3) BLM's undertakings, including actions assisted, licensed, permitted, approved, funded, or authorized by BLM, being "undertakings" as defined in the Code of Federal Regulations [36 CFR 800.16(y)], are numerous, complex and far-reaching in their effects on lands and properties in Utah.

The following procedures will be implemented by the BLM under this Protocol to fulfill its responsibilities under the above-mentioned authorities.

II. ADMINISTRATIVE INTERACTION AND REPORTING PROCEDURES

BLM will send project logs (Attachment A) to the SHPO at the time BLM prepares its annual report to the Secretary of the Interior, usually in November or December. BLM will also prepare a summary report (Attachment B) that describes the implemented actions taken in the previous fiscal year and actions that are anticipated in the coming fiscal year. This report will be due to the SHPO by October 30th of each year, and will include information as outlined in Attachment B. By November 30th of each year, the SHPO will prepare a report that assesses the overall

effectiveness of BLM's implementation of this Protocol and makes recommendations for actions to be taken by BLM. The BLM will consider SHPO's assessments and recommendations for future actions and will apply them to the plan for the following fiscal year, as appropriate. If SHPO is not satisfied with BLM's response, procedures Section IX (A), below may be followed.

The SHPO, a BLM line manager, BLM's Deputy Preservation Officer for Utah, and the BLM Utah Cultural Resources Specialists will meet annually in November, or more often as needed, to discuss pertinent issues. The Council will be invited to participate. At the Annual meeting, the SHPO and BLM will exchange information relevant to the goals and objectives set forth in this Protocol. Other meetings to address emerging issues and their effects on historic properties may be arranged as necessary.

III. BLM AND SHPO INVOLVEMENT IN THE BLM STATE MANAGEMENT PROCESSES

BLM shall provide the SHPO the opportunity to participate at the development stage and all subsequent phases of land use planning in accordance with 43 CFR 1610.3 (Coordination with Other Federal Agencies, State and Local Governments, and Indian Tribes). BLM will provide the SHPO with all land management plans (e.g., Resource Management Plans, Cultural Resource Management Plans), special use plans (e.g., Fire Management Plans) and appropriate NEPA documents. Such plans will document methods to gain public input.

IV. COOPERATIVE PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT AND ACTIVITIES

A. Data Sharing and Information Management

1. Reporting. BLM will document all Undertakings. BLM will submit to the SHPO copies of all fieldwork reports for historic property inventories and Intermountain Antiquities Computer Site Forms (IMACS) as soon as possible after completion of the work, but not later than three months following completion of the fieldwork. If a final report will not arrive at the SHPO's office within the three month deadline, the BLM will notify the SHPO in writing, and will include in the correspondence a plan for completion and the expected date of submission.

BLM will review the work of permitted contractors and will ensure that Utah State Report Guidelines and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines (Secretary's Standards) are met in all documentation prepared by contractors and by all BLM staff.

All "backlog" documentation that exists in BLM files and which predates the signing of this Protocol will be submitted to the SHPO within twelve months of the implementation of this Protocol. Elimination of the backlog documentation is a condition of continuing field office certification. If the documentation will not arrive at the SHPO's office before the deadline, BLM will notify SHPO in writing, and will include in the correspondence a plan for completion and the expected date of submission.

2. Data exchange. The SHPO and BLM shall exchange information on a constant basis regarding the location and evaluation of cultural resources. Each agency will assure that such locational information is protected from unauthorized use. As appropriate, information exchange will be through the development of an automated database, managed by the SHPO. BLM will assist the SHPO in developing the system by providing financial, personnel, hardware and software resources, as funding becomes available (Memorandum of Understanding, February of 1996).

The SHPO will provide the BLM with automated cultural resources information and with reasonable amounts of hard copy information not yet available in the database, as requested by the BLM. Charges may be assessed and are subject to negotiation at the annual November meeting.

3. Maintenance of files. BLM and SHPO will support and maintain a fully compatible and up-to-date database. The BLM and SHPO will incorporate the results of project-specific surveys into the database as the results are produced. The review and analysis will be performed by BLM and SHPO annually, in time for the yearly meeting.

B. State-Level Historic Preservation Training

The SHPO will be offered the opportunity to assist the BLM in on-going training of field managers and supervisors, as well as of cultural resources staff, for certification purposes. Training resources might include, but are not limited to: Section 106 and Section 110 Training, planning documents, NAGPRA, and other training as necessary.

C. Public Outreach and Participation

BLM will develop and implement plans in support of public education and community outreach, along with cooperative stewardship and site protection, in consultation with SHPO. BLM will continue with its Project Archaeology Program and other Heritage Education efforts.

BLM will seek and consider the views of the public and Indian Tribes when carrying out the actions under the terms of this Protocol. BLM may coordinate this public participation requirement with those of the NEPA and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA), along with other pertinent statutes. Interested parties shall be invited to consult in the review process [Section VII (B) below] if they have interests in a BLM undertaking or action on historic properties. Such interested parties may include, but are not limited to, local governments, especially those with historic preservation ordinances or resolutions (Attachment D); grantees, permittees, or owners of affected lands or land surfaces; and other interested parties, as determined by the BLM and SHPO.

V. NATIVE AMERICAN PARTICIPATION

BLM will comply with the NHPA, and the Native American Graves Protection Act (NAGPRA) and other applicable statutes if a property is subject to those laws. BLM will seek and consider the views of Indian tribes in accordance with the requirements of these and other statutes, regulations and policy directives including Executive Orders, Manuals, and memoranda.

VI. IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES

A. Identification

BLM will make reasonable efforts to identify all historic properties and sacred sites on BLM-administered lands and private lands where a BLM undertaking will occur within Utah. BLM will ensure that project-specific surveys and other efforts to identify historic properties are conducted in accordance with appropriate professional standards, as defined in the Secretary's Standards, and the BLM's 8100 Manual.

B. Evaluation

During all inventories, BLM will ensure that historic properties identified are evaluated in a manner consistent with the Secretary's Standards, 36 CFR Part 60.4 and BLM's 8100 Manuals.

VII. SHPO Review Parameters

BLM shall complete inventory, evaluation and assessment of effects and the written documentation of these findings before proceeding with project implementation. Most of BLM's undertakings are routine in nature, and will normally be permitted to proceed and will not await submission of formal documentation to SHPO. For other undertakings, as described in Section V11 (A), below, BLM will consult with SHPO prior to implementation of the action. BLM will discuss the issue with SHPO in cases where there is any uncertainty.

A. Review Thresholds

A. At a minimum, the BLM will request the review of the SHPO along with the Council (as determined by the national PA) in the following situations:

- (1) non-routine interstate and/or interagency projects or programs;
- (2) undertakings that directly and adversely affect National Historic Landmarks or National Register eligible properties of national significance.
- (3) highly controversial undertakings, when Council review is requested by the BLM, SHPO, an Indian Tribe, a local government, or an applicant for a BLM authorization.

B. The BLM will request the review of SHPO in the following situations:

- (1) undertakings affecting National Register eligible or listed properties.
- (2) land exchanges, land sales, Recreation and Public Purpose leases, and transfers.
- (3) when BLM professional staff lack the appropriate regional experience or professional expertise, and until performance is mutually acceptable to the BLM Deputy Preservation Officer and SHPO.
- (4) when BLM's professional cultural resources staff wishes to bring a particular project to the attention of SHPO.

C. At a minimum, the BLM will not request the review of the SHPO in the following situations (except for the four circumstances at (B)above):

- (1) No Potential to Effect determinations by qualified BLM staff.
- (2) No Historic Properties Affected; no sites present, determined by qualified BLM staff.
- (3) No Historic Properties Affected; no eligible sites present, determined by qualified BLM staff.

(4) No Historic Properties Affected; eligible sites present, but not affected as defined by 36CFR800.4.

When the above review thresholds are met, the following process will be undertaken.

B. Review Process

BLM will make determinations of eligibility according to 36 CFR Part 60.4 and effects according to criteria set forth in 36 CFR 800.5. BLM will confer with SHPO whenever questions about eligibility and/or effect arise. As appropriate, BLM shall invite interested parties to consult.

BLM will provide documentation in the form of complete and accurate IMACS site forms and inventory reports, as appropriate, to the SHPO, on all projects and undertakings. An informational letter (Attachment D, informational) will accompany this documentation. The SHPO may comment, in writing, on BLM's findings. The BLM will respond, in writing, to any SHPO comments. Both parties will include such comments and responses in the annual report that assesses effectiveness of the Protocol under Section 11.

Inventory will be documented following the Secretary's Standards, BLM procedures and 8100 Manual. Prompt transmission of this documentation will assure an updated database and will occur no later than three months after completion of fieldwork as described in Part IV (A) (1) above.

If a historic property will be affected, BLM will determine whether an MOA or a Treatment Plan is appropriate, in consultation with SHPO, and will document this in the concurrence letter (Attachment D, concurrence). When an adverse effect cannot be avoided through project redesign, BLM will prepare and implement an MOA or Treatment Plan for each property, group of properties, or class of properties that have been determined eligible for inclusion in the NRHP. The Treatment Plan or MOA will take into account the national policies set forth in Section 2 of the NHPA, as amended, and current professional standards. BLM and SHPO will jointly prepare MOAs. BLM will afford the SHPO 15 working days in which to comment upon Treatment Plans. If the SHPO and the BLM cannot reach agreement, dispute resolution procedures will be followed [Part IX (A)].

C. BLM Review

Within six months after signing of this Protocol, BLM and SHPO will meet to review the implementation of this Protocol.

BLM's Deputy Preservation Officer will conduct reviews of each field office (Attachment E), at least annually, in sufficient detail, to determine:

- (1) whether a qualified professional cultural resources staff is present;
- (2) whether undertakings are receiving appropriate cultural resource consideration;
- (3) whether project documentation is being completed and sent to SHPO in a timely manner;
- (4) whether cultural resource identification, evaluation and treatment has occurred before undertakings proceed;
- (5) whether final reports of treatment are being completed and sent to the SHPO; (7) whether follow-up monitoring, where required by avoidance stipulations, MOA or treatment plan specifications, is being completed.

D. Monitoring

The SHPO may monitor projects through field visits and inspection of records. The BLM will cooperate with the SHPO's monitoring activities.

E. Discoveries

In the event that potentially eligible historic properties are discovered during the course of ground disturbance and cannot be avoided, work in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will cease. BLM will evaluate the site and, in consultation with the SHPO, select the appropriate mitigation option. The BLM will implement the mitigation in a timely manner. The process will be fully documented (in reports, site forms and photographs), and the documentation will be forwarded to the SHPO. Large-scale projects will include a discovery process in the treatment plan. If any discovery involves NAGPRA materials, BLM will follow specific requirements of NAGPRA (43 CFR 10).

VIII. STAFFING

A. BLM will strive to hire professional staff that meet manual requirements. Field offices will employ at least one full-time, permanent professional, or will make arrangements to have their workload covered by a qualified professional from another office, or will work with Utah State Office and the SHPO to agree on temporary measures to cover the professional staffing needs of that office.

B. State Certification

BLM-Utah will ensure that expertise in prehistoric archaeology, historic archaeology, industrial archaeology, history, architectural history, historic architecture, Native American coordination, public outreach/heritage education and Traditional Cultural Properties (identification, evaluation and treatment) is available to all BLM-Utah staff (Attachment F). If BLM determines that it does not employ a staff member with a particular skill, it will obtain that expertise for the purpose of determining National Register eligibility, effects, and treatment for the cultural resources in question. The BLM may request the assistance of SHPO staff in such cases or may obtain the necessary expertise through contracts, BLM personnel from other states, or cooperative arrangements with other agencies.

When personnel changes occur, e.g., staff specialists or managers leave, field office certification will be reviewed. Until positions are filled and training is completed, BLM will ensure that qualified personnel are available to conduct the tasks outlined in this Protocol. If decertification is a possibility, the procedures in Section 8 of the national PA will be followed. Certification training topics will include, at a minimum, the national PA, the Protocol, and a review of the Handbook.

IX. DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURES, AMENDMENTS, AND TERMINATION

A. Dispute Resolution Procedures

Should the BLM or the SHPO object, in writing, within 30 days, to an action taken by the other party to this Protocol, they will consult to resolve the objection. If the dispute cannot be resolved, BLM and SHPO will mutually determine a course of action. Options might include consultation with the National Preservation Board, the Council or alternative dispute resolution procedures. If alternative arrangements are not mutually agreeable, the dispute will be referred to the Council.

B. Amendments to the Protocol

The BLM or the SHPO may request amendment of this Protocol at any time, whereupon the parties will consult to consider such amendment. Amendments will become effective upon signature of both parties and will be attached hereto.

C. Termination of the Protocol

The BLM or the SHPO may terminate this Protocol by providing thirty (30) days written notice to the other party, providing that the parties consult during this period to seek agreement on amendments or other actions that would avoid termination. Either may request the assistance of State Director, the Preservation Board, and/or the Council. In the event of termination, the BLM will operate under the provisions of 36 CFR Part 800 as described in Section 1.

X. OTHER STATE-SPECIFIC PROCEDURES


BLM will follow procedures and adhere to policies detailed in BLM Utah Manual Guidance: the Procedures (Attachment C) and other supplemental manual guidance, along with IMACS site forms. BLM and SHPO will jointly develop and revise handbooks and other guidance as necessary.

XI. ATTACHMENTS


Attachments may be added to this Protocol with the mutual approval of the SHPO and the BLM. Referenced attachments are:

- A. Example Project Log Page
- B. Outline of Topics Covered in Colorado BLM/SHPO Annual Report
- C. Procedures for Professionals
- D. Cover Letters
- E. BLM Review Form
- F. Professional Certification Information

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT


3/7/01
 By _____ Date _____

UTAH STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER


March 7, 2001
 By _____ Date _____

ATTACHMENT A: EXAMPLE ANNUAL PROJECT LOG PAGE

(NOTE: THE INFORMATION BELOW IS FICTITIOUS AND ONLY FOR EXAMPLE PURPOSES)

Resource Area: Moab Field Office
 Dates: From October 2001 through October 2002

NUMBER	WORK DONE BY	PROJECT NAME/REPORT TITLE	LEGAL DESCRIPTION/ QUAD	BLM ACRES	NON-BLM ACRES	SITES			SITES AVOIDED	SITES MITIGATED	SHPO CORRESPONDENCE		
						NR	NE	E			INFORMATIONAL (DATE SENT)	CONCURRENCE	
												DATE SENT	RESPONSE RECEIVED
01-UT-BL-001-b	BLM	First Trail ROW	T11S R77W S. 4, 9	3.67	0								
01-UT-BL-002-b	Jones	AT&T Communications Buried Cable	T11SR70W S. 17	2.8	5.9		5FN111-115	5FN116	1	0			
01-UT-BL-003-b	Schmidt	MW Operating Company Well and Access	T1N R72W S. 24		8.34								

NR = National Register; NE = Not Eligible; E = Eligible

ATTACHMENT B: OUTLINE OF TOPICS TO BE COVERED IN THE UTAH BLM/SHPO ANNUALREPORT

- \$ BLM-implemented actions taken in the previous fiscal year and actions that are anticipated in the coming fiscal year**
- \$ Review of the goals and objectives set forth in this Protocol**
- \$ Emerging issues and their effects on historic properties**
- \$ Results of BLM and SHPO reviews of field office needs, including training and support**
- \$ Progress on public participation and outreach plans**
- \$ Results of field office reviews and SHPO monitoring**
- \$ Statistics BLM sends to Congress (mutually agreed upon by BLM and SHPO)**
- \$ SHPO comments and BLM responses**
- \$ NEPA logs by field office**

ATTACHMENT C: DRAFT HANDBOOKS 8110 AND 8120

ATTACHMENT D: COVER LETTERS

INFORMATIONAL



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
FIELD OFFICE
ADDRESS

IN REPLY REFER TO:
8100
(UT-)

DATE

State Historic Preservation Officer
Utah State Historical Society
300 Rio Grande
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1182

PART I. Project Description

County:
Project Number:

The following undertaking is located in T* R*, Section * in * County. Project Name: *. This undertaking involves *. Because this undertaking does not exceed any of the review thresholds listed in Part V11 (A) of the Protocol, this letter is for informational purposes only.

PART 11. Determination of Eligibility to the National Register of Historic Places.

BLM has made the following determinations of eligibility and effect:

DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY					DETERMINATION OF EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES
SITE NUMBER	NOT ELIGIBLE	NEED DATA	ELIGIBLE	ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	NO HISTORIC PROPERTIES AFFECTED

A narrative discussing not eligible, need data, and eligible cultural resources is attached.

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, * FIELD OFFICE

BY FIELD OFFICE ARCHAEOLOGIST

DATE

ATTACHMENT D: COVER LETTERS

CONCURRENCE



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

***FIELD OFFICE**
ADDRESS

IN REPLY REFER TO:
8100
(UT-)

DATE

State Historic Preservation Officer
Utah State Historical Society
300 Rio Grande
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1182

PART I. Project Description

County:
Project Number

The following undertaking is located in T* R*, Section * in * County. Project Name: *. This undertaking involves This undertaking:

	(1) is a non-routine interstate and/or interagency project or program
	(2) directly affects a National Register eligible or listed property
	(3) has been determined by BLM, the SHPO or the Council to be highly controversial
	(4) is one of the following: a land exchange, land sale, Recreation and Public Purpose lease, or transfer
	(5) is one which we wish to bring to your attention

PART II. Determination of Eligibility to the National Register of Historic Places.

BLM requests your concurrence on the following determinations of eligibility and effect:

DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY					DETERMINATION OF EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES		
SITE NUMBER	NOT ELIGIBLE	NEED DATA	ELIGIBLE	ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	NO EFFECT	NO ADVERSE EFFECT	ADVERSE EFFECT

A narrative discussing not eligible, need data, and eligible cultural resources, along with a draft ____ treatment plan/ ____ MOA is attached.

Please review the enclosed documentation, then sign and return this letter with your comments within ten working days.

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, * FIELD OFFICE

BY FIELD OFFICE ARCHAEOLOGIST DATE

BY FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DATE

UTAH STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

_____ Concur _____ Do Not Concur

BY DATE

COMMENTS:

Enclosures

- 1. Site forms and statement of site significance /or reason for site noneligibility.
- 2. Cultural Resources Inventory Report
- 3. Draft Treatment Plan or MOA

ATTACHMENT E: BLM REVIEW FORM

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
CULTURAL RESOURCES PROGRAM CERTIFICATION BLM REVIEW FORM

FIELD OFFICE:

AUDITOR(S):

DATE:

FISCAL YEAR:

* Field Office

BLM Deputy Historic Preservation Officer

CRITERION	YES / NO	COMMENTS
Is a qualified professional cultural resources staff present?		
Are undertakings receiving cultural resource consideration?		
Is project documentation completed and being sent to SHPO in a timely manner?		
Are cultural resources staff making accurate professional judgements?		
Have cultural resource identification, evaluation and treatment occurred before undertakings proceed?		
Are final reports of treatment being completed and sent to the SHPO?		
Is follow-up monitoring, where necessary, being completed and documented?		

Attach documentation as necessary. Attachments might include cultural resource log pages, NEPA registers, SHPO concurrence documents, and monitoring reports.

ATTACHMENT F: BLM PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION INFORMATION

I. PROFESSIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES STAFF

Utah State Office

Garth Portillo
Jeanne Moe
Julie Howard

Salt Lake Field Office

Laird Naylor
Lori Hunsaker
Peter Ainsworth

Monticello Field Office

Dale Davidson
Nancy Shearin
Kathy Huppe

Grand Staircase Escalante National Monument/ Kanab Field Office

Doug McFadden
Mathew Zweifel

Vernal Field Office

Blaine Phillips

Price Field Office

Blaine Miller

Fillmore Field Office

Erik Kreusch

Richfield Field Office

Craig Harmon

Cedar City Field Office

Gardiner Dalley

St. George Field Office

Gardiner Dalley
Geraldyn McEwen

Moab Field Office

Bruce Louthan
Bill Wyatt

II. Professional Capabilities

The table on the following page details the areas of expertise that are relevant to managing cultural resources in Utah. Since some offices possess proficiency in areas that are lacking in others, skills will be shared among them.

If no specialists currently on staff possess a particular skill, it will be obtained from an outside source. Such sources might include, but are not limited to, the Utah State Historic Preservation Office, the National Park Service, and private contractors.

III. Training and Professional Development

One of BLM-Utah's visions is to maximize the skills available in each location. To this end, cultural resource specialists will be provided with travel funds and tuition to attend professional training courses covering disciplines where they and their managers have identified deficiencies. In addition, specialists will be strongly encouraged to attend professional conferences, with funds for travel and meeting registration set aside yearly in the annual work plan. Sources for training include: federal programs, university courses and private sector training.

BLM CERTIFIED OFFICES

AREA OF EXPERTISE	FIELD OFFICES										
	CEDAR CITY	GSENM	FILL-MORE	KANAB	MOAB	MONTI-CELLO	PRICE	RICH-FIELD	SALT LAKE	ST. GEORGE	VERNAL
ARCHAEOLOGY: PREHISTORIC	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
ARCHAEOLOGY: HISTORIC	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
ARCHAEOLOGY: INDUSTRIAL	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
HISTORY	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
HISTORIC ARCHITECTURE/ ARCHITECTURAL HISTORY	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
NATIVE AMERICAN COORDINATION/ TRADITIONAL CULTURAL PROPERTIES	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
PUBLIC OUTREACH/ HERITAGE EDUCATION	X/4	X/4	X/4	X/4	X/4	X/4	X/4	X/4	X/3	X/4	X/4
COLLECTIONS MANAGEMENT	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

KEY:

X = Field Office possesses in-house expertise

1 = Field Office will seek assistance from another Field Office within BLM

2 = Field Office will seek assistance from the Utah Historical Society

3 = Field Office will seek technical assistance from the Utah State Office

4= These offices have trained facilitators for "Intrigue of the Past"