TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter Page

ABSTRACT

DEAR READER LETTER

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. INTRODUCTION 1-1

1.1 Introduction 1-1
1.2 Purpose and Need 1-4
1.3 Description of the Utah Sub-region Greater Sage-Grouse Planning Area 1-4
1.3.1 Planning Area Overview 1-4
1.3.2 Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat Classifications 1-5
1.3.3 Regional Context 1-9
1.4 Land Uses 1-10
1.5 Planning Processes 1-10
1.5.1 BLM Planning Process 1-10
1.5.2 Forest Service Planning Process 1-13
1.6 Scoping and Identification of Issues For Development of the Draft Alternatives 1-14
1.6.1 The Scoping Process 1-14
1.6.2 Issues Identified for Consideration in the Utah Sub-region Greater Sage-Grouse Land Use Plan Amendments 1-15
1.6.3 Issues Considered but Not Further Analyzed 1-17
1.7 Development of Planning Criteria 1-19
1.7.1 Planning Criteria 1-19
1.8 Relationship to Other Policies, Plans, and Programs 1-21
1.8.1 Programmatic Documents 1-21
1.8.2 Tribal Plans 1-22
1.8.3 State Plans 1-22
1.8.4 Local Plans 1-23
1.8.5 Endangered Species Recovery Plans and Habitat Conservation Plans 1-24
1.8.6 Activity Plans and Amendments 1-24

TABLE OF CONTENTS *(continued)*

Chapter Page

1.9 Relationship to other Documents 1-25
1.9.1 Conservation Objectives Team Report 1-25
1.9.2 Baseline Environmental Report 1-26
1.9.3 Secretarial Order 3336 1-26
1.9.4 Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Documents 1-26
1.10 National Greater Sage-Grouse Planning Strategy 1-27
1.11 Description of the Public Comment Process and Development of the Proposed LUPA/Final EIS 1-27
1.12 Changes Between the Draft LUPA/EIS and Proposed LUPA/Final EIS 1-28

2. PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES 2-1

2.1 Changes Between the Draft LUPA/EIS and Proposed LUPA/Final EIS 2-1
2.2 Introduction 2-5
2.3 Introduction to Draft Alternatives 2-6
2.3.1 Components of Alternatives 2-6
2.3.2 Purpose of Alternatives Development 2-6
2.4 Alternative Development Process for the Utah Greater Sage-Grouse Land Use Plan Amendment 2-7
2.4.1 Develop a Range of Reasonable Alternatives 2-7
2.4.2 Resulting Range of Alternatives in Draft LUPA/EIS 2-8
2.5 BLM/Forest Service Resource Programs for Addressing GRSG Threats 2-8
2.6 Proposed Plan Amendments 2-11
2.6.1 Development of Proposed LUPA 2-11
2.6.2 BLM Proposed Plan Amendment 2-13
2.6.3 Forest Service Proposed Plan Amendment – Utah Portions of the Planning Area 2-41
2.6.4 Forest Service Proposed Plan Amendment – Wyoming Portions of the Planning Area 2-55
2.7 Adaptive Management, Monitoring, and Mitigation 2-68
2.7.1 Adaptive Management Plan 2-68
2.7.2 Monitoring for the Greater Sage-grouse Planning Strategy 2-69
2.7.3 Regional Mitigation 2-70
2.7.4 Boundary Adjustments 2-72

2.8 Draft LUPA/EIS Alternatives 2-73
2.8.1 Alternative A (No Action) 2-73
2.8.2 Alternative B 2-73
2.8.3 Alternative C 2-73
2.8.4 Alternative D 2-74
2.8.5 Alternative E 2-74
2.9 Summary Comparison of Proposed Plans and Draft Alternatives 2-76
2.10 Detailed Description of Draft Alternatives 2-83
2.10.1 How to Read Table 2.4 2-83
2.11 Alternatives Considered but Not Analyzed in Detail 2-237
2.11.1 Increased Livestock Grazing 2-237
2.11.2 Make GRSG Habitat Available for Oil Shale and Tar Sands Leasing 2-237
2.11.3 Citizen Proposed Alternatives 2-238
2.11.4 Adoption of the State of Utah's Sage-Grouse Management Areas as Priority Habitat for all Alternatives 2-238
2.11.5 Use of Other Habitat Maps 2-239
2.11.6 County Sage-Grouse Management Plans 2-240
2.11.7 Conservation Objectives Team Report 2-241
2.11.8 BLM Policies and Regulations 2-241
2.11.9 USFWS-Listing Alternative 2-241
2.12 Summary Comparison of Environmental Consequences 2-241

TABLES

Page

1.1 Acres of Greater Sage-Grouse Mapped Occupied Habitat by Land Ownership 1-7
1.2 Mapped Occupied Greater Sage-Grouse Habitat by County 1-8
2.1 USFWS Threats to GRSG and Their Habitat, Applicable BLM and Forest Service Proposed Plan Amendments Resource Program Areas Addressing these Threats..... 2-9
2.2 Summary of Allocation Decisions of the BLM and Forest Service Proposed Plans 2-77
2.3 Comparative Summary of Allocation Decisions of the Proposed Plan Amendments and Draft Alternatives..... 2-80
2.4 Description of Draft Alternatives A, B, C1, C2, D, E1, and E2..... 2-84
2.5 Summary of Environmental Consequences 2-242

FIGURES

Page

1.1 BLM and Forest Service GRSG Planning Strategy Sub-region/EIS Boundaries 1-2
1.2 Nine-step Planning Process..... 1-11

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

	Full Phrase
ACEC	area of critical environmental concern
AML	appropriate management level
APD	application for permit to drill
AUM	animal unit month
BER	Baseline Environmental Report
BLM	United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management
BMP	best management practice
BSU	biologically significant unit
°C	degrees Celsius
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
COA	condition of approval
COT report	Conservation Objectives Report
CSU	controlled surface use
DOI	United States Department of the Interior
EIS	environmental impact statement
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ERMA	extensive recreation management area
ESA	Endangered Species Act of 1973
ESD	ecological site description
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
FIAT	fire and invasives assessment tool
FLPMA	Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976
Forest Service	United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service
FRCC	fire regime condition class
GHMA	general habitat management area
GIS	geographic information systems

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS *(continued)*

	Full Phrase
GRSG	Greater Sage-Grouse
HMA	herd management area
IM	Instruction Memorandum
kV	kilovolt
LRMP	land and resource management plan
LUP	land use plan
LUPA	land use plan amendment
MZ	Management Zone
MW	megawatt
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
NFMA	National Forest Management Act of 1976
NOI	notice of intent
NRCS	United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service
NSO	no surface occupancy
NTT	Greater Sage-Grouse National Technical Team
OHV	off-highway vehicle
PAC	priority area for conservation
$PM_{2.5}$	particulate matter with a diameter less than or equal to 2.5 microns
PM_{10}	particulate matter with a diameter less than or equal to 10 microns
PHMA	priority habitat management area
RDF	required design feature
RFD	reasonably foreseeable development
RMP	resource management plan

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS *(continued)*

	Full Phrase
ROD	record of decision
ROW	right-of-way
SFA	sagebrush focal area
SGIT	Sage-Grouse Implementation Team
SGMA	Sage-Grouse Management Area
SITLA	State of Utah School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration
SRMA	special recreation management area
SRP	special recreation permit
SUA	special use authorization
SUP	special use permit
TL	timing limitation
UDWR	Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
US	United States
USC	United States Code
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USFWS	United States Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VDDT	Vegetation Dynamics Development Tool
VRM	visual resource management
WAFWA	Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies
WGFD	Wyoming Game and Fish Department
WSA	wilderness study area

This page intentionally left blank.