



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
Monticello Field Office
P.O. Box 7
Monticello, Utah 84535
<http://www.blm.gov/utah/monticello>



IN REPLY REFER TO:
3100
(UT-090)

FEB 10 2009

BLM - UT - 950
2009 FEB 13 PM 9:28

Memorandum

To: Deputy State Director, Lands and Minerals (UT-922)
Attn: Teresa Thompson

From: Tom Heinlein, Field Office Manager

Subject: Quarterly Oil and Gas Lease Sale for March 24, 2009

The Monticello Field Office has reviewed 38 parcels for the March 2009 lease sale. We have reviewed the parcels for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) adequacy and land use plan conformance. The results of our review are documented in the attached Documentation of Land Use Plan Conformance and Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA) worksheet.

These 38 parcels could be offered for sale in conformance with the management decisions in the Monticello Field Office Resource Management Plan (RMP). Impacts of leasing were adequately analyzed in the RMP/EIS. All 38 parcels are recommended for sale with the lease stipulations found in Appendix B of the attached DNA and the lease notices identified in Section F. of the DNA.

I conclude and recommend that the 38 parcels (65,008 acres) within the Monticello Field Office be offered for lease sale. The parcels recommended for sale are:

UT0309-113	UT0309-114	UT0309-115	UT0309-116	UT0309-117
UT0309-118	UT0309-138	UT0309-139	UT0309-140	UT0309-141
UT0309-142	UT0309-143	UT0309-144	UT0309-145	UT0309-147
UT0309-148	UT0309-149	UT0309-167	UT0309-168	UT0309-169
UT0309-170	UT0309-171	UT0309-172	UT0309-173	UT0309-178A
UT0309-180	UT0309-181	UT0309-182	UT0309-183	UT0309-184
UT0309-185	UT0309-186	UT0309-187	UT0309-188	UT0309-189
UT0309-191	UT0309-195	UT0309-196		

Tom Heinlein
Tom Heinlein, Field Office Manager

2/9/2009
Date

Attachment:
DNA #UT-090-09-14

Worksheet

Documentation of Land Use Plan Conformance and Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA)

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

UT-090-09-14 DNA

This Worksheet is to be completed consistent with the "Guidelines for using the DNA Worksheet," located at the end of the worksheet. The signed CONCLUSION at the end of this worksheet is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal analysis process and does not constitute an appealable decision. However, it constitutes an administrative record to be provided as evidence in protest, appeals and legal procedures.

A. BLM Office: Monticello Field Office (U-090)

Lease/Serial/Case File No.: _____

Proposed Action Title/Type: March 24, 2009 Oil & Gas Lease Sale

Location of Proposed Action: As described below.

Description of the Proposed Action:

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) proposes to offer 39 parcels in a competitive oil and gas lease sale to be held on March 24, 2009. The 38 parcels, totaling approximately 65,008 acres, are located in the north eastern and south eastern part of the Monticello Field Office area (See maps, Appendix A). The parcels are located on public land administered by the BLM, and on split estate lands (private surface, with federal minerals). In all cases, the minerals are owned by the federal government and administered by the BLM.

BLM administered land

Parcels on BLM administered lands would be offered for lease in accordance with the lease categories and stipulations in the Monticello Field Office Resource Management Plan (RMP) of 2008. The three categories of stipulations that could be applied to lease parcels are: Category 1) no surface occupancy (NSO), Category 2) timing limitations (TL), Category 3) controlled surface use (CSU). For the purposes of this DNA, category 4 would refer to lands open to Oil and Gas Leasing but not subject to stipulation categories 1-3, and would be available for leasing under standard terms and conditions.

Split estate land

Split-estate lands (private surface/federal minerals) and lands administered by other federal agencies are not managed by the BLM. The surface owner or surface management agency (SMA) manages the surface. The BLM administers the operational aspects of oil and gas leases. As stated in the RMP on split-estate lands, lease stipulations would consist of those necessary to comply with non-discretionary federal laws, such as the Endangered Species Act. The one exception to this would be the stipulations developed for Gunnison Sage-grouse (*Centrocercus minimus*). Mitigation measures would also be applied to protect other resource values such as VRM class, recreation, and nonfederally protected fish and wildlife species consistent with Section 6 of the standard lease terms. These mitigation measures would be developed during site-specific environmental analysis and would be attached as conditions of approval (COA) in consultation with the surface owner or SMA (RMP Chapter 2, pg. 24).

Appendix B contains the legal description of each lease parcel and the lease stipulations that would be attached as prescribed in the RMP.

If a parcel is not taken by competitive bidding, then it may be taken by a non-competitive sale for two years after the competitive offer. A lease may be held for 10 years (43CFR 3120.2-1), after which the lease would expire unless oil or gas is produced in paying quantities. A producing lease would be held indefinitely by paying production.

A lessee's right to explore and drill for oil and gas, at some location on Category 2, 3 and 4 leases, is implied by

issuance of the lease. A lessee must submit an application for permit to drill (APD) to the BLM for approval and must possess a BLM approved APD prior to drilling. An appropriate NEPA document is prepared prior to approval of the APD. Following BLM's approval of an APD, a lessee may produce oil and gas from a lease without additional approval.

We have considered the proposed action and find that the existing NEPA documents are adequate because: (1) the current proposed action was previously analyzed (or is part of an earlier proposal that was analyzed); (2) resource conditions and other relevant circumstances have not changed significantly, and there is no significant new information germane to the proposed action; (3) there is no suggestion by the public of a significant new and appropriate alternative; and (4) the Critical Elements of the Human Environment were also considered (Appendix C). Any resources that may be affected have been adequately analyzed in the existing NEPA documentation.

Applicant (if any):

B. Conformance with the Land Use Plan (LUP) and Consistency with Related Subordinate Implementation Plans

LUP Name: Monticello Field Office Resource Management Plan Date Approved November 18, 2008

Other document: Monticello Planning Area, Reasonably Foreseeable Development Scenario for Oil and Gas Activity Date Prepared July 1, 2005

Other document San Juan County Master Plan Date Approved July 8, 1996

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUPs because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decisions:

The RMP designates that lands in the Monticello FO be available for lease subject to the stipulation categories, standard terms and conditions, or closed to leasing (RMP table 2.1). The RMP prescribes special conditions (lease stipulations) for lands in categories 1, 2 and 3 to protect other resources or resource values and are summarized as follows:

Areas identified as NSO (no surface occupancy) are open to oil and gas leasing but surface disturbing activities cannot be conducted on the surface of the land. Access to oil and gas deposits would require horizontal drilling from outside the boundaries of the NSO areas.

Areas identified as TL (time limitations) are open to oil and gas leasing but would be closed to surface disturbing activities during identified time frames. This stipulation would not apply to operation and maintenance activities, including associated vehicle travel, unless otherwise specified.

Areas identified as CSU (controlled surface use) are open to oil and gas leasing but would require that proposals for surface disturbing activities be authorized according to the controls and constraints specified.

Page 27 of the San Juan County Master Plan contains an objective for responsible natural resource use and development. With respect to the mineral industry, the county will continue to support the growth and development of these industries as opportunities present themselves and new technologies develop.

C. Identify applicable NEPA document(s) and other related documents that cover the proposed action.

List by name and date all applicable NEPA documents that cover the proposed action.

- 1.) Monticello Field Office Resource Management Plan Final Environmental Impact Statement, November 18, 2008

List by name and date other documentation relevant to the proposed action (e.g., source drinking water assessments, biological assessment, biological opinion, watershed assessment, allotment evaluation, rangeland

health standard's assessment and determinations, and monitoring the report).

- 1.) Monticello Field Office Reasonably Foreseeable Development Scenario For Oil and Gas Activity, 2005.

D. NEPA Adequacy Criteria

1. **Is the current proposed action substantially the same action (or is a part of that action) as previously analyzed?**

Yes

No

Documentation of answer and explanation:

The Monticello Field Office RMP/EIS analyzed the impacts of oil and gas leasing under five alternatives. The proposed action is part of the proposed plan and implements the decisions made in the RMP (ROD, 2008).

2. **Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the current proposed action, given current environmental concerns, interests, resource values, and circumstances?**

Yes

No

Documentation of answer and explanation:

The Monticello Field Office RMP/EIS analyzed the impacts of oil and gas leasing on all lands in the resource area under five alternatives to compare impacts of different degrees of development with various category restrictions (lease stipulations) for each alternative. The five alternatives balanced uses and conflicts between various resources and ranged from maximizing oil and gas development to minimizing production in favor of other resource values.

The Monticello Field Office RMP/EIS considered and eliminated from further analysis the "No Leasing" alternative. Refer to chapter 2.3.4 (pg. 2-194 and 2-195) for a detailed description of the justification for eliminating the "No Leasing" alternative.

3. **Is the existing analysis adequate in light of any new information or circumstances (including, for example, riparian proper functioning condition (PFC) reports; rangeland health standards assessments; Unified Watershed Assessment categorizations; inventory and monitoring data; most recent Fish and Wildlife Service lists of threatened, endangered, proposed, and candidate species; most recent BLM lists of sensitive species)? Can you reasonably conclude that all new information and all new circumstances are insignificant with regard to analysis of the proposed action?**

Yes

No

Documentation of answer and explanation:

A review of the critical elements and other resources/concerns is documented in the Interdisciplinary Team Analysis Record Checklist for the proposed action (Appendix C). A more detailed discussion is provided below for the following:

Cultural Resources

A cultural resource records search was done by the Monticello Field Office (MFO) archaeologist that covered the Area of Potential Effect (APE). The APE is defined as the total acres within each lease parcel being considered in the March 2009 lease sale. Previous cultural resource surveys and recorded cultural properties were identified from the records search. The results of the records search indicate a low to moderate density of cultural properties in the APE. Based on acres previously surveyed, and due to the expected site type, size, density of occurrence and predicted density clusters, it has been determined that reasonable development could occur on these proposed parcels, without impact to eligible cultural properties (Cultural Resources Class I Inventory Report, Appendix E). It is concluded that at least one well could be located on each parcel without affecting cultural resources because of the ability to avoid or otherwise mitigate potential impacts to cultural properties. This conclusion forms the basis for BLMs determination of no effect to historical properties which was made to the Utah State Historic Preservation Office (USHPO). Refer to Appendix D.

In addition, lease operations would be subject to the standard lease terms and special lease stipulations contained in the Monticello RMP/EIS. MFO will ensure that all of the requirements of the RMP for the protection of cultural resources are met. This would include a cultural resource survey, Native American consultation, USHPO consultation and other measures to meet BLM's legal responsibility.

Threatened, Endangered or Sensitive Animal Species

The BLM considers raptor species to be sensitive animals. Raptors are protected by the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, the Bald Eagle Protection Act of 1940, and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Salt Lake City Field Office has developed guidelines for raptor protection titled "Utah Field Office Guidelines for Raptor Protection from Human and Land Use Disturbances". These guidelines identify potential effects and develop mitigation measures. Field surveys would be done at the time lease operations are proposed. If nesting raptors are found within the zone of disturbance, these guidelines (which are subject to modification on a site-specific and project-specific basis dependent on knowledge of the birds; topography and habitat features; and level of the proposed activity) would be followed in coordination with Utah Division of Wildlife Resources (UDWR) and the USFWS. A lease notice would be attached to each lease parcel addressing raptor management requirements. Specific mitigating requirements would be included as conditions of approval at the lease development stage.

There are no other new circumstances, information, or listing of species that have arisen or changed that would require additional analysis. Neither wildlife resource conditions, land use planning objectives, or designations for these areas have changed since the original analysis.

4. Do the methodology and analytical approach used in the existing NEPA document(s) continue to be appropriate for the current proposed action?

Yes

No

Documentation of answer and explanation:

The methodology and approach used in the RMP/EIS are still appropriate for the current proposed action. A systematic, interdisciplinary process was used to analyze impacts. The methods of extraction, land requirements for exploration and development and the Reasonably Foreseeable Development (RFD) Scenario have not changed substantially since the RMP/EIS was completed.

The RFD was prepared to predict Oil and Gas Development within the Monticello Field Office Planning Area, including BLM lands as well as all other lands, for the next 15 years. This was used as a base line for assessing the impacts of various levels of Oil and Gas development under the 5 alternatives contained in the RMP/EIS.

The RFD assumptions for impact analysis in the RMP/EIS are still valid. The RMP analyzed impacts from drilling an average of 5 oil and gas wells per year for the next 15 years on BLM lands (RMP/EIS pg. 4-112). The RMP/RFD further refined the predicted activity levels based on relation to the development potential of the geologic provinces. The Paradox Fold and Fault Belt and the Blanding Sub-basin have high development potential, and the Monument Upwarp has low to moderate development potential. All of the parcels are within the Paradox Fold and Falt Belt and the Blanding Sub-basin geological provinces.

5. Are the direct and indirect impacts of the current proposed action substantially unchanged from those identified in the existing NEPA document(s)? Do the existing NEPA documents analyze impacts related to the current proposed action at a level of specificity appropriate to the proposal (plan level, programmatic level, project level)?

Yes

No

Documentation of answer and explanation:

Impacts from the current lease proposal and subsequent lease operations would be the same as those analyzed in the RMP/EIS. This is because the proposed action is within the purview analyzed in the RMP/EIS. The existing resource conditions and values have not changed since analysis in the EIS. The EIS used a somewhat general approach in analyzing impacts but these were tied to specific resources/values as present in specific areas. Leasing categories were established to meet management objectives for protecting certain resources/values in particular areas. Appropriate mitigation (stipulations) was designed for each of these categories.

6. Can you conclude without additional analysis or information that the cumulative impacts that would result from implementation of the current proposed action are substantially unchanged from those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)?

Yes

No

Documentation of answer and explanation:

As discussed in the answers to Questions (D.4) and (D.5), the RMP/EIS and RFD addressed reasonable future oil and gas activity. This included analysis of the potential collective and cumulative impacts of oil and gas development for up to 5 wells per year in the field office area. Because the reasonably foreseeable level of oil and gas activity analyzed previously is still appropriate and additional connected, cumulative or similar actions are not anticipated to exceed the threshold activity level previously analyzed, and because resource conditions and other relevant circumstances have not changed significantly, and there is no significant new information germane to the proposed action, the potential cumulative impacts are substantially unchanged from those analyzed in the RMP/EIS.

7. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the current proposed action?

Yes

No

Documentation of answer and explanation:

The public and other agencies were afforded extensive time for review and comment of the RMP and EIS. Numerous public meetings, agency and government coordination meetings and public comment periods were held during the preparation of the RMP/EIS. Public comment period for the draft RMP/EIS totaled 90 days and protest period for the final RMP/EIS totaled 30 days.

The BLM, Utah State Office also posts notification of all oil and gas lease sales on the Environmental Notification Bulletin Board (ENBB).

Native American Religious Concerns

On January 26, 2009 the Monticello Field Office sent letters to the Red Mesa Navajo Chapter, Aneth Navajo Chapter, Cultural Preservation Office of the Hopi Tribe, Pueblo of Santa Clara, Pueblo of Laguna, Pueblo of

Acoma, Ute Mountain Ute Tribe, Pueblo of Zia, White Mesa Ute Council, and Pueblo of Zuni, (Appendix D). Also, on January 26, 2009, the Monticello Field Office sent letters to the Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department. To date, no expressions of concern about the lease parcels have come forward from these Native American groups and none are anticipated based on consultation done for previous oil and gas lease parcels in the vicinity of the proposed lease parcels. If any concerns are raised by the tribes, those concerns will be addressed. Consultation will be considered complete if tribal response presents no objection or if response is not received seven (7) days prior to the date of the proposed sale. Additional consultation will be conducted should site-specific use authorization requests for a lease be received.

Utah State Historic Preservation Office (USHPO)

On February 6, 2009 the BLM, Monticello Field Office (MFO) sent a letter to the Utah State Historic Preservation Office (USHPO), in which a determination of *no effect to historic properties* was made for cultural resources located within the proposed lease parcels (Appendix D). At this time the BLM, Monticello Field office had not received concurrence from the USHPO with respect to the cultural resources determination for the March 2009 proposed oil and gas lease sale (Appendix D).

E. Interdisciplinary Analysis: Identify those team members conducting or participating in the preparation of this worksheet.

Table 1- Interdisciplinary Team

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Resource Represented</u>
Brian Quigley	Recreation Planner	Wilderness, Recreation, ACEC, Wild and Scenic Rivers
Tammy Wallace	Wildlife Biologist	T&E Animals, Water Quality, Air Quality, Fish and Wildlife
Jeff Brown	Petroleum Engineering Tech.	Hazardous Materials
Laura Kochanski Laird Naylor	Archaeologist Archaeologist	Cultural Resources, Paleontology, Native American Religious Concerns
Jed Carling	Range Conservationist	Invasive, Non-native Species, Woodland/Forestry
Clifford Giffen	Natural Resource Specialist	Prime or Unique Farmland
Paul Curtis	Range Conservationist	T&E Plants, Floodplains, Wetlands/Riparian Areas, Rangeland Health Standards, Grazing, Vegetation, Soils
Maxine Deeter	Realty Specialist	Visual Resources, Lands/Access
Clifford Giffen	Team Leader	Environmental Justice, Socio-economics

F. Mitigation Measures: List any applicable mitigation measures that were identified, analyzed, and approved in relevant LUPs and existing NEPA document(s). List the specific mitigation measures or identify an attachment that includes those specific mitigation measures. Document that these applicable mitigation measures must be incorporated and implemented.

Lease stipulations would be attached in accordance with the lease category restrictions prescribed in the Monticello Field Office RMP (Appendix B of the final RMP/ROD). In addition, lease notices would be attached to parcels as appropriate. Additional mitigation would result from site specific analysis at the time lease operations are proposed. These mitigation measures would be stipulated as conditions of approval consistent with section 6 of the standard lease terms. Appropriate lease stipulations and lease notices are described in appendix F and are summarized in Table 2.

If a lease is issued, the BLM would retain authority to modify or deny lease activities pursuant to nondiscretionary statutes such as the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended and the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. Pursuant to IM 2002-174 (May 21, 2002) and IM 2005-03 (October 5,

2004), the following two lease stipulations would be attached to all lease parcels.

"The lease areas may now and hereafter contain plants, animals, or their habitats determined to be threatened, endangered, or other special status species. BLM may recommend modifications to exploration and development proposals to further its conservation and management objective to avoid BLM-approved activity that would contribute to a need to list such species or their habitat. BLM may require modifications to approve or disapprove proposed activity that is likely to result in jeopardy to the continued existence of a proposed or listed threatened or endangered species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of a designated or proposed critical habitat. BLM will not approve any ground-disturbing activity until it completes its obligations under applicable requirements of the Endangered Species Act as amended, 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq. including completion of any required procedure for conference or consultation."

"This lease may be found to contain historic properties and/or resources protected under the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), American Indian Religious Freedom Act, Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, E.O. 13007, or other statutes and executive orders. The BLM will not approve any ground disturbing activities that may affect any such properties or resources until it completes its obligations under applicable requirements of the NHPA and other authorities. The BLM may require modification to exploration or development proposals to protect such properties, or disapprove any activity that is likely to result in adverse effects that cannot be successfully avoided, minimized or mitigated."

**Table 2
Utah Lease Stipulations and Lease Notices for the March 2009 Lease Sale**

Parcel #(s)	Utah RMP Stipulation and Lease Notice #	Purpose
All parcels except: UT0309-139, 140, 168, 178A, 183, 184, 196	UT-090-05-CSU/NSO	Fragile Soils/Slopes
UT0309-182, 183, 184, 196	UT-090-17-CSU	Gunnison Sage-grouse – Lek Habitat
UT0309-182, 183, 184, 196	UT-090-18-CSU	Gunnison Sage-grouse – Year Round Habitat
UT0309-113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 138, 142, 143, 144, 145, 178A, 180, 185, 191	UT-090-19-TL	Crucial Deer Winter Range
UT0309-143, 144, 145, 168, 169, 170, 171, 181, 182, 183, 191, 195	UT-090-20-TL	Elk Winter Range
All parcels except: UT0309-117, 139, 140, 142, 182, 183, 184, 196	UT-090-21-CSU/TL	Mexican Spotted Owl
UT0309-113, 115, 116, 117, 118, 148, 172, 185	UT-090-22-CSU/TL	Southwestern Willow Flycatcher and Western Yellow Billed Cuckoo
UT0309-113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 138, 140, 143, 144, 147, 149, 172, 185, 189	UT-090-23-CSU/TL	Bald Eagle
All Parcels	UT-090-28	Air Quality
All parcels except: UT0309-178A	UT-090-LN01 (Lease Notice)	Gunnison's Prairie Dog
All parcels	UT-090-LN02 (Lease Notice)	Raptors
UT0309-138, 139, 140, 141, 142	UT-090-LN03 (Lease Notice)	Antelope Fawning Habitat
All Parcels	IM 2002-174 and IM 2005-03	T&E Species and Cultural Resources

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that:

This proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan.

Determination of NEPA Adequacy

- The existing NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of NEPA.
- The existing NEPA documentation does not fully cover the proposed action.

Bruce D. Daulton Acting for

Signature of the Responsible Official


2/9/2009

Date

APPENDIX A – MAPS


Maps sent as .pdf files with draft

March 09 O&G Sale




	march2009		Bureau of Land Management (BLM)		Indian Reservation (IR)
	Township & Range		US Forest Service (USFS)		State
	Field Office Boundary		National Park Service (NPS)		Private


March 09 O&G Sale



March 09 O&G Sale




UT0309-113




	march2009		Bureau of Land Management (BLM)		Indian Reservation (IR)
	Township & Range		US Forest Service (USFS)		State
	Field Office Boundary		National Park Service (NPS)		Private

UT0309-114




	march2009		Bureau of Land Management (BLM)		Indian Reservation (IR)
	Township & Range		US Forest Service (USFS)		State
	Field Office Boundary		National Park Service (NPS)		Private


UT0309-115




UT0309-116




UT0309-117




UT0309-118




UT0309-138




UT0309-139





UT0309-140




UT0309-141




UT0309-142




UT0309-143




UT0309-144




UT0309-147




UT0309-148




UT0309-149




UT0309-167




UT0309-168




UT0309-169



UT0309-170




UT0309-171




	march2009		Bureau of Land Management (BLM)		Indian Reservation (IR)
	Township & Range		US Forest Service (USFS)		State
	Field Office Boundary		National Park Service (NPS)		Private


UT0309-172




UT0309-173




UT0309-178A




UT0309-179




UT0309-180




UT0309-181




UT0309-182




UT0309-183




UT0309-184




UT0309-185




UT0309-186




UT0309-187




UT0309-188



UT0309-191




UT0309-195



	march2009		Bureau of Land Management (BLM)		Indian Reservation (IR)
	Township & Range		US Forest Service (USFS)		State
	Field Office Boundary		National Park Service (NPS)		Private

UT0309-196



	march2009		Bureau of Land Management (BLM)		Indian Reservation (IR)
	Township & Range		US Forest Service (USFS)		State
	Field Office Boundary		National Park Service (NPS)		Private

APPENDIX B – PARCELS AND LEASE STIPULATIONS

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT0309-113

T. 37 S., R. 22 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 13: NENE, S2NE, SWSW, SE;
Sec. 22: SESW, NESE, S2SE;
Sec. 23: E2NE, SW;
Sec. 24: All;
Sec. 25: E2, E2NW, SW;
Sec. 26: S2NE, W2, SE;
Sec. 27: NENW.

2,520.00 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range - Portions of: E2SE4, SW4SE4, SE4SW4 of Sec. 22; SW4 of Sec. 23; S2 of Sec. 24; S2NE4, Sec. 26; NE4NW4 of Sec. 27. All of: E2, E2NW4, SW4 of Sec. 25; S2, NW4 of Sec. 26.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-22-CSU/TL: Special Status Species – Southwestern Willow Flycatcher/(Western) Yellow-billed Chuckoo – Portions of: S2NE4 of Sec. 13; SE4SW4, SW4SE4 of Sec. 22; W2E2 of Sec. 24; W2E2 of Sec. 25; NE4NW4 of Sec. 27.

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat - Portions of: SE4SW4, Sec. 22; NE4NW4, Sec. 27

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-114

T. 37 S., R. 22 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 20: S2SW;
Sec. 29: S2NE.

160.00 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes: Portions of: All.

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range - All of: S2SW4 of Sec. 20; portion of: S2NE4 of Sec. 29.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat - All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-115

T. 37 S., R. 22 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 27: S2SW, SWSE;

Sec. 34: W2NE, SENW, S2SW, SWSE;

Sec. 35: All.

1,000.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO - Fragile Soils/Slopes: Portions of: All

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range - Portions of: S2SW4 of Sec. 27; SE4NW4 of Sec. 34; S2S2 of Sec. 35. All of: SW4SE4 of Sec. 27; W2NE4 of Sec. 34; N2, N2S2 of Sec. 35.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-22-CSU/TL: Special Status Species – Southwestern Willow Flycatcher/(Western) Yellow-billed Chuckoo – Portions of: SE4SW4 of Sec. 27 and SE4NW4, SW4SE4 of Sec. 34.

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – All of: SW4SW4 of Sec. 27; SW4SW4 of Sec. 34.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-116

T. 38 S., R. 22 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 1: Lots 1, 2, S2NE, SE;

Sec. 11: All;

Sec. 12: E2;

Sec. 13: N2, SW;

Sec. 24: NE;

Sec. 25: NE.

2,078.14 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range –Portion of: Lot 1 of Sec. 1.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-22-CSU/TL: Special Status Species – Southwestern Willow Flycatcher/(Western) Yellow-billed Chuckoo – Portions of: N2, NE4SE4 of Sec. 11; N2 of Sec. 13; E2 NE4 of Sec. 24.

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portion of the SW4SW4, Sec. 11.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-117

T. 38 S., R. 22 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 3: All.

637.04 Acres

San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range –Portion of: SW4SW4 of Sec. 3.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-22-CSU/TL: Special Status Species – Southwestern Willow Flycatcher/(Western) Yellow-billed Chuckoo – Portions of: Lots 1 and 2, SE4NE4, NE4SE4 of Sec 3.

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portion of W2, SW4SE4 of Sec. 3.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-118

T. 38 S., R. 22 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 21: W2;

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

Sec. 27: S2;
Sec. 28: NE;
Sec. 33: W2, SE;
Sec. 34: All.

1,920.00 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range – Portions of - W2 of Sec. 21; NW4NW4 of Sec. 33.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-22-CSU/TL: Special Status Species – Southwestern Willow Flycatcher/(Western) Yellow-billed Chuckoo – Portions of the W2NE4 of Sec. 28.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-138 (2 year window application UTU76055, needs current DNA)

T. 30 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 1: Lots 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, SWNE, W2SE;
Secs. 3, 4, 5 and 6: All;
Sec. 8: NE, N2NW, E2SE;
Sec. 9 and 11: All;
Sec. 12: NE;
Sec. 13: E2E2, SWSE;
Secs. 14, 15 and 23: All;
Sec. 27: NE, W2NW, SENW, S2SW, NWSE;
Sec. 28: SESE;
Sec. 29: S2;
Secs. 30, 31 and 33: All;
Sec. 34: SW.

9,654.01 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Moab Field Office

Note: The following stipulations and lease notices apply only to that portion of the parcel that is within the Monticello Field Office Area.

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range – All of: Lots 2, 3, 4, of Sec. 30; NW4, S2 of Sec. 31;
Portions of: Lot 1, E2SW4, SW4SE4 of Sec. 30; NE4 of Sec. 31.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: Portions of: Sec. 30 and 31.

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portions of: SE4NE4, SE4 of Sec. 22; SW4 of
Sec.23, W2NE4 of Sec. 27.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT-090-LN03: Antelope Fawning Ground – All BLM land

UT0309-139

T. 30 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 10: All;

Sec. 12: W2NW, SENW, SW;

Sec. 13: W2NE, NW, NESW, NWSE.

1,240.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Moab Field Office

Note: The following stipulations and lease notices apply only to that portion of the parcel that is within the Monticello Field Office Area.

Stipulations:

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT-090-LN03: Antelope Fawning Ground – All

UT0309-140

T. 30 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake

Secs. 21 and 22: All;

Sec. 27: N2SW, NESE, S2SE;

Sec. 28: NE, N2NW, SWNW, SW, N2SE, SWSE;

Sec. 29: N2.

2,360.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Moab Field Office

Note: The following stipulations and lease notices apply only to that portion of the parcel that is within the Monticello Field Office Area.

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

Stipulations:

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portions of: SE4, SE4NE4 of Sec. 22; E2SE4 of Sec. 27.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT-090-LN03: Antelope Fawning Ground – All

UT0309-141

T. 31 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 1: Lots 1-4, S2N2, N2S2, S2SW;

Sec. 12: NESE, S2SE;

Sec. 13: N2NE;

Sec. 15: S2.

1,080.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT-090-LN03: Antelope Fawning Ground –SE4SW4, SW4SE4 of Sec. 15.

UT0309-142

T. 31 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 3: Lots 1-4, S2N2, N2S2, S2SW, SWSE;

Sec. 4: All;

Sec. 5: Lots 1, 2, S2NE;

Sec. 8: NE, E2W2.

1,750.85 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range – Portions of: W2SW4 of Sec. 4; Lot 2, S2NE4 of Sec. 5; NE4, E2W2 of Sec. 8.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN03: Antelope Fawning Ground – All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT0309-143

T. 32 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 1: Lots 1, 8, 9, 13-15, SWNE, S2SW, W2SE;

Sec. 11: All.

1,102.95 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range – Portions of: NW4NW4 of Sec. 11.

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – All of: Lots 1, 8, 9, 13 – 15, SW4NE4, W2SE4 of Sec. 1; Sec. 11.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portions of: Lots 1, 8, 9, SW4NE4, W2SE4 of Sec. 1; SE4NE4, E2SE4 of Sec. 11.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-144

T. 32 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake

Secs. 7, 17 and 18: All;

Sec. 19: Lots 1-4, E2W2.

2,330.60 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range – All of: Lots 1 – 4, N2NE4, E2NW4, NE4SW4, NW4SE4 of Sec. 7; Sec. 17; SE4NE4, NE4NW4, SE4 of Sec. 18; Lots 1 – 4, E2W2 of Sec. 19.

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – Portions of: NE4, S2 of Sec. 17; SE4SE4 of Sec. 18; SE4NW4, E2SW4 of Sec. 19.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portions of: Lots 1 – 4, NE4NE4, W2NE4, E2NW4, NE4SW4, NW4SE4 of Sec. 7; NE4, SW4, NW4SE4 of Sec. 8; NW4 of Sec. 17; SE4NE4, W2SE4, of Sec. 18; Lot 1, NE4NW4 of Sec. 19. All of: NW4 of Sec. 8; NE4NW4 of Sec. 18.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-145

T. 32 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 15: All;

Sec. 19: E2;

Secs. 20 and 21: All.

2,240.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range – All of: E2 of Sec. 19; NW4 of Sec. 20. Portions of: NE4, SW4 of Sec. 20.

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – All of: Sec. 15; SE4 of Sec. 19; Sec. 20; N2N2, SW4NW4, W2SW4, SE4SW4, SW4SE4 of Sec. 21. Portions of: NE4 of Sec. 19.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-147

T. 38 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

Sec. 4: Lots 3, 4, S2NW, SW;
Sec. 9: SE;
Sec. 10: SW;
Sec. 13: NE, S2;
Sec. 14: S2;
Sec. 15: All.

2,078.41 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portions of: E2SE4 of Sec. 9; SW4 of Sec. 10;
N2NE4 of Sec. 13.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-148

T. 38 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 29: W2E2, W2;
Sec. 30: All;
Sec. 31: E2.

1,427.00 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: Sec. 30: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-22-CSU/TL: Special Status Species – Southwestern Willow Flycatcher/(Western)
Yellow-billed Chuckoo – Portions of Lots 1 – 4 of Sec. 30.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT0309-149

T. 40 S., R. 23 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 19: E2SE;
Sec. 20: SW, W2SE;
Sec. 21: SWNE.

360.00 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portions of: SW4, W2SE4 of Sec. 20; E2SE4 of Sec. 19.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-167

T. 31 S., R. 24 E., Salt Lake
Secs. 3, 9 and 10: All.

1,935.56 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-168

T. 31 S., R. 24 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 7: Lots 1-4, E2E2, E2NW, SWSE;
Secs. 8 and 17: All;
Sec. 18: Lot 1, E2.

2,158.40 Acres

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – Portion of: SE4SE4 of Sec. 17.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-169

T. 31 S., R. 24 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 19: Lot 4, E2, E2W2;
Secs. 20 and 21: All;
Sec. 28: N2, N2SE.

2,213.03 Acres

San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – Portions of: NE4, SW4 of Sec. 20; N2NE4 of Sec. 21; All of: SE4 of Sec. 20; S2NE4, SE4, W2 of Sec. 21; N2, N2SE4 of Sec. 28.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-170

T. 31 S., R. 24 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 29: N2, SW, N2SE;
Sec. 30: Lot 1, E2, E2W2;
Sec. 31: Lot 4, E2, E2W2.

1,623.21 Acres

San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – All of: N2, SW4, N2SE4 of Sec. 29; E2, E2W2, SW4SW4 of Sec. 31. Portions of: NE4, SE4, SE4SW4 of Sec. 30.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-171

T. 32 S., R. 24 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 5: Lots 3-6, 10-15, SW, W2SE;

Sec. 6: All;

Sec. 8: NW, NESW.

1,769.07 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – All of: Lots 3 – 6, 10 – 14, N2SW4, SW4SW4 of Sec. 5; Sec. 6; NW4NW4 of Sec. 8.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-172

T. 38 S., R. 24 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 23: S2;

Sec. 24: S2;

Secs. 25 and 26: All.

1,920.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-22-CSU/TL: Special Status Species – Southwestern Willow Flycatcher/(Western) Yellow-billed Chuckoo – Portions of: NE4SE4, W2SE4 of Sec. 24.

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portions of S2 of Sec. 23; SW4 of Sec. 24; N2NW4, S2S2 of Sec. 25; NE4NE4, S2S2 of Sec. 26. All of: NW4SE4, SW4SE4 of Sec 24; NW4NE4, S2N2, N2S2 or Sec. 25; NW4NE4, N2NW4, S2N2, N2S2 of Sec. 26.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-173

T. 38 S., R. 24 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 30: Lots 3, 4, SENE, E2SW, SE.

348.08 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-178A

T. 30 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 20: All

640 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range – All of: NE4, E2SE4 of Sec. 20. Portions of: E2NW4, NW4SE4 of Sec. 20.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-180

T. 31 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 4: Lots 1-4, S2N2, N2S2;
494.68 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes – Portions of: All

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range – All of: Lots 1 – 4, S2N2, N2SE4 of Sec 4; Portions of: N2SW4 of Sec. 4.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-181

T. 31 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake
Secs. 7 and 8: All;
Sec. 17: N2, E2SE;
Sec. 18: Lots 1-4, NE, E2W2, W2SE;
2,206.40 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – Portions of: SE4, E2SW4 of Sec. 7; S2 of Sec. 8; Lot 3, E2NW4 of Sec. 18. All of: E2SE4 of Sec. 17; Lot 4, NE4, E2SW4, W2SE4 of Sec. 18.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-182

T. 32 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 14: SWNW, SW;
Secs. 15 and 22: All;
Sec. 23: NWNE, N2SE;
Sec. 24: S2NE.

1,680.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-17-CSU: Gunnison Sage-grouse Lek Habitat – All of: NW4, SW4 (private surface) of Sec. 22; NW4NE4, N2SE4 of Sec. 23; S2NE4 of Sec. 24. Portions of: SW4 of Sec. 14; E2SE4, SW4 of Sec. 15; E2 of Sec. 22.

UT-090-18-CSU: Gunnison Sage-grouse Year Round Habitat - All of: NW4, SW4 (private surface) of Sec. 22; NW4NE4, N2SE4 of Sec. 23; S2NE4 of Sec. 24. Portions of: SW4 of Sec. 14; E2SE4, SW4 of Sec. 15; E2 of Sec. 22.

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – All BLM surface within the parcel.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-183

T. 32 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 26: W2NE, W2;
Sec. 27: All;
Sec. 34: N2;
Sec. 35: N2.

1,680.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-17-CSU: Gunnison Sage-grouse Lek Habitat – All of: W2NE4 of Sec. 26; W2E2, W2 of Sec. 27; W2NE4, NW4 of Sec. 34; E2NE4, SW4NE4, S2NW4 of Sec. 35. Portions of: E2NW4, E2SW4, SW4SW4 of Sec. 26; E2E2 of Sec. 27; E2NE4 of Sec. 34; NW4NE4, N2NW4 of Sec. 35.

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-18-CSU: Gunnison Sage-grouse Year Round Habitat – All of: W2NE4 of Sec. 26; W2E2, W2 of Sec. 27; W2NE4, NW4 of Sec. 34; E2NE4, SW4NE4, S2NW4 of Sec. 35. Portions of: E2NW4, E2SW4, SW4SW4 of Sec. 26; E2E2 of Sec. 27; E2NE4 of Sec. 34; NW4NE4, N2NW4 of Sec. 35.

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – All of: W2NE4, W2 of Sec. 26; SE4NE4, N2NW4, SW4NW4, NW4SW4, E2SE4 of Sec. 27; E2NE4, NW4NE4 of Sec. 34; N2 of Sec. 35. Portions of: SW4SW4 of Sec. 27; SW4NE4, N2NW4 of Sec. 34.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-184

T. 33 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 13: SE;

Sec. 19: NE;

Sec. 24: SW.

480.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-17-CSU: Gunnison Sage-grouse Lek Habitat – All

UT-090-18-CSU: Gunnison Sage-grouse Year Round Habitat –All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-185

T. 38 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake

Secs. 18, 19 and 20: All;

Sec. 30: Lots 1, 2, NE, E2NW, E2SE;

Sec. 31: Lots 3, 4, E2SW.

2,435.49 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range – Portions of: E2NW4 of Sec. 18.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-22-CSU/TL: Special Status Species – Southwestern Willow Flycatcher/(Western) Yellow-billed Chuckoo – Portions of: Lots 2 – 4 of Sec. 19; E2NW4 of Sec. 30.

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portions of: NW4NE4, E2NW4, SE4SW4 of Sec. 18; W2E2 of Sec. 19; W2NE4, SE4SE4 of Sec. 30; lots 3 and 4 of Sec. 31. All of: Lot 4 of Sec. 18; W2 of Sec. 19; E2NW4 of Sec. 30.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-186

T. 38 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake
Secs. 21 and 29: All.

1,280.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-187

T. 38 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 33: S2.

320.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-188

T. 39 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 3: All;

Sec. 4: Lots 1-3, S2NE, NESE;

Sec. 9: S2;

Sec. 10: All.

1,841.77 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-189

T. 39 S., R. 25 E., Salt Lake

Secs. 17, 19, 20 and 21: All.

2,553.04 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-23-CSU/TL: Bald Eagle habitat – Portions of: W2NW4, NW4SW4 of Sec. 17; Lot 3, E2NW4 of Sec. 19. All of: Lots 1 and 2 of Sec. 19.

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-191

T. 31 S., R. 26 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 19: W2NE, SENE, S2;
Sec. 20: S2NE, NW, N2SW, SWSW;
Sec. 21: S2NW;
Sec. 30: W2;
Sec. 31: W2E2;
Sec. 33: S2;
Sec. 34: W2NW, SW.

1,920.00 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Moab Field Office

Note: The following stipulations and lease notices apply only to that portion of the parcel that is within the Monticello Field Office Area.

Stipulations:

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: All

UT-090-19-TL: Deer winter range – Portions of: NW4NE4, SE4NE4 of Sec. 19; N2NW4, SE4NE4 of Sec. 20; S2NW4 of Sec. 21.

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – Portions of: NW4NE4, S2NE4 of Sec. 19; N2NW4, SE4NE4 of Sec. 20; SW4NW4 of Sec. 21; W2E2 of Sec. 31; SW4 of Sec. 33; W2NW4, NE4SW4 of Sec. 34.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-195

T. 32 S., R. 26 E., Salt Lake
Sec. 23: Lots 1-4;
Sec. 26: Lots 1-4;
Sec. 27: E2E2, W2SW, SESW, SWSE;
Sec. 28: S2SE;
Sec. 33: NENE, S2NE, NESE;
Sec. 34: N2, N2SW, SESW, W2SE;
Sec. 35: Lots 1, 2.

1,250.59 Acres
San Juan County, Utah
Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

MARCH 2009 PRELIMINARY OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE LIST

UT-090-05-CSU/NSO: Fragile Soils/Slopes - Portions of: Portions of: All

UT-090-20-TL: Elk winter range – All of: S2SE4 of Sec. 28; E2NE4, SW4NE4, NE4SE4 of Sec. 33: N2, N2SW4, SE4SW4. W2SE4 of Sec. 34.

UT-090-21-CSU/TL: Mexican Spotted Owl - Portions of: All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

UT0309-196

T. 33 S., R. 26 E., Salt Lake

Sec. 19: SWSE;

Sec. 30: W2NE, SENE;

Sec. 31: NENE, S2NE, SENW.

320.00 Acres

San Juan County, Utah

Monticello Field Office

Stipulations:

UT-090-17-CSU: Gunnison Sage-grouse Lek Habitat – All

UT-090-18-CSU: Gunnison Sage-grouse Year Round Habitat – All

UT-090-28: Air Quality

Lease Notices:

UT-090-LN01: Gunnison's Prairie Dog – Portions of: All

UT-090-LN02: Raptors – All

APPENDIX C – ID TEAM ANALYSIS RECORD CHECKLIST

INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM ANALYSIS RECORD CHECKLIST

Project Title: Parcels for March 2009 Oil and Gas Lease Sale

NEPA Log Number: UT-090-09-14

File/Serial Number:

Project Leader: Cliff Giffen

FOR EAs/CXs: NP: not present; NI: resource/use present but not impacted; PI: potentially impacted
FOR DNAs only: NC: no change (anticipated resource impacts not changed from those analyzed in the NEPA document on which the DNA is based)

STAFF REVIEW OF PROPOSAL:

NP/NI/PI NC	Resource	Date Reviewed	Signature	Review Comments (required for all NIs and PIs. PIs require further analysis.)
CRITICAL ELEMENTS				
NC	Air Quality	2/2/09	S. Wallace	Leasing of lands for energy development, including parcels proposed in this sale, was analyzed in the RMP/EIS. Air quality was analyzed using a qualitative comparative emissions analysis in the RMP and BLM determined there would be no significant effect to air quality or air quality related values based on the reasonable foreseeable development scenario provided in the analysis. Resource conditions with respect to air quality and air quality related values have not changed significantly and there is no significant new information germane to the proposed lease sale action. Planning for and conducting this lease sale will not cause any significant air quality impacts, including the formation of ozone. Future potential oil and gas activities in the Monticello Field Office may require additional quantification of air quality impacts through appropriate NEPA analysis once adequate development assumptions are identified through project specific proposals for well development.
NP	Areas of Critical Environmental Concern	2/9/09	Cliff Giffen	None of the lease parcels are with any RMP designated ACEC. None of the lease parcels are within any ACEC that was designated previous to the 2008 RMP but not designated under the current plan.
NI	Cultural Resources	2/9/09	Cliff Giffen	A cultural resource records search was done that covered the locations of the subject lease parcels. Previous cultural resource surveys and recorded cultural properties were identified from the records search. The results of the records search indicate a low to moderate density of cultural properties. Based on the ability to avoid or otherwise mitigate potential impacts to cultural properties, a determination of no affect to historic properties was made to the Utah State Historic Preservation Office (Appendix D). The determination was based on a conclusion that at least one well could be located on each parcel without adversely affecting cultural resources. A cultural inventory is done prior to all surface disturbing activities and a Section 106 consultation will be done to ensure that cultural and historic properties are avoided or are not adversely affected.
NI	Environmental Justice	2/9/09	Cliff Giffen	The analysis assumptions, as documented in the RMP/EIS and RFD, were made on a resource area-wide basis. Leasing decisions did not target minority segments of the population. This analysis

NP/NI/PI NC	Resource	Date Reviewed	Signature	Review Comments (required for all NIs and PIs. PIs require further analysis.)
				did not identify any disproportionate impacts to low income or minority segments of the population. Four minority groups, the Navajo Nation, the Hopi Tribe, the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe and the White Mesa Ute Tribe were consulted concerning the subject lease sale and no concerns have been expressed.
NP	Farmlands (Prime or Unique)	2-5-09	<i>[Signature]</i>	None of the parcels contain lands that meet the criteria for prime, state important or unique farmlands.
NC	Floodplains	2/3/09	<i>P. Curtis</i>	The RMP designates floodplains and riparian areas as no surface occupancy. Although oil and gas activity must meet this standard, an NSO lease stipulation is not necessary since this can be accomplished under the terms of the standard lease form.
NI	Invasive, Non-native Species	2-5-09	<i>[Signature]</i>	The RMP/EIS analysis assumptions include standard operating procedures (SOPs) that were common to all alternatives. These SOPs and site specific mitigation applied as conditions of approval (COA) would be sufficient to prevent the spread or introduction of Invasive, Non-native species.
NI	Native American Religious Concerns	2/4/09	<i>J. Kochanski</i>	Letters were sent to appropriate Native American groups. No concerns about the lease parcels are anticipated based on previous Native American consultation on similar actions. However, Monticello Field Office is allowing 30 days for the Native American groups to respond. Refer to section D.7 of the DNA for further discussion.
NP	Threatened, Endangered or Candidate Plant Species	2/3/09	<i>P. Curtis</i>	Based on existing inventories, no listed T&E, candidate or sensitive plant species are known to occur within, or in proximity of, the parcels. Site conditions within the proposed parcels are not likely to support the occurrence of the species known to occur elsewhere in the field office area
NC	Threatened, Endangered or Sensitive Animal Species	2/2/09	<i>S. Wallace</i>	The proposed lease parcels contain habitat for the following threatened, endangered or sensitive animal species: Mexican spotted owl, Gunnison Sage-grouse, Southwestern willow flycatcher, Western yellow-billed cuckoo, Gunnison's prairie dog, and raptors, including the bald eagle. The RMP/EIS analyzed impacts to these species for all alternatives. Lease categories with special stipulations were developed in the RMP/EIS process. Leasing stipulations and lease notices will be attached to the proposed lease parcels as described in Section F of this DNA.
NI	Wastes (hazardous or solid)	2/3/09	<i>J. Brown</i>	Drilling fluids, produced waters, and other wastes associated with the exploration, development or production of crude oil or natural gas are excluded as a hazardous waste under 40 CFR 261.4(b)(4). The RMP/EIS analysis assumptions include standard operating procedures (SOPs) that were common to all alternatives. These SOPs and site specific mitigation applied as conditions of approval (COA) would be sufficient to ensure proper containment and disposal of solid waste.
NI	Water Quality (drinking/ground)	2/2/09	<i>S. Wallace</i>	The RMP/EIS analysis assumptions include standard operating procedures (SOPs) that are common to all alternatives. The SOPs include the requirements for disposal of produced water contained in Onshore Oil and Gas Order (OOGO) No. 7 and the requirements for drilling operations contained in OOGO No. 2. These SOPs and site specific mitigation applied as conditions of approval (COA) would be sufficient to isolate and protect all usable water zones.
NC	Wetlands/Riparian Zones	2/3/09	<i>P. Curtis</i>	The RMP designates floodplains and riparian areas as no surface occupancy. Although oil and gas activity must meet this standard, an NSO lease stipulation is not necessary since this can be accomplished under the terms of the standard lease form.


NP/NI/PI NC	Resource	Date Reviewed	Signature	Review Comments (required for all NIs and PIs. PIs require further analysis.)
NI	Wild and Scenic Rivers	1/4/09	Burt Dwyer	There are no designated or eligible wild and scenic river segments in any of the lease parcels.
NP	Wilderness	1/4/09	Burt Dwyer	No parcels are within BLM Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs) or designated wilderness areas.

OTHER RESOURCES / CONCERNS

NP/NI/PI NC	Resource	Date Reviewed	Signature	Review Comments (required for all NIs and PIs. PIs require further analysis.)
NI	Rangeland Health Standards and Guidelines	2-9-09	[Signature]	The RMP/EIS analysis assumptions include standard operating procedures (SOPs) that were common to all alternatives. These SOPs and site specific mitigation applied as conditions of approval (COA), including reclamation standards, would be sufficient to meet Rangeland Health Standards.
NC	Livestock Grazing	2-9-09	[Signature]	The RMP/EIS analysis assumptions include standard operating procedures (SOPs) that were common to all alternatives. These SOPs and site specific mitigation applied as conditions of approval (COA) would mitigate any potential impacts to livestock grazing (i.e., fenced pits, cattleguards and site reclamation).
NC	Woodland / Forestry	2-9-09	[Signature]	The RMP and RFD predict an average of 5 wells per year would be drilled in the Monticello Field Office on BLM lands over the life of the plan. The impact of this associated surface disturbance was analyzed and documented in the RMP/EIS. The proposed action would not exceed the activity levels predicted in the RFD.
NC	Vegetation	2/3/09	P. Curtis	The RMP and RFD predict an average of 5 wells per year would be drilled in the Monticello Field Office on BLM lands over the life of the plan. The impact of this associated surface disturbance was analyzed and documented in the RMP/EIS. The proposed action would not exceed the activity levels predicted in the RFD.
NC	Wildlife	2/2/09	S. Wallace	The RMP/EIS analysis assumptions include standard operating procedures (SOPs) that were common to all alternatives. These SOPs and site specific mitigation applied as conditions of approval (COA), including reclamation to re-establish habitat, would mitigate impacts to wildlife. In addition, the RMP/ROD specified leasing stipulations for various resources, including several wildlife species. Lease parcels in this sale contain crucial deer winter range, elk winter range, and antelope fawning habitat. Appropriate RMP stipulations and lease notices will be attached to the parcels (DNA, appendix F).
NC	Soils	2/3/09	P. Curtis	The RMP and RFD predict an average of 5 wells per year would be drilled in the Monticello Field Office on BLM lands over the life of the plan. The impact of this associated surface disturbance was analyzed and documented in the RMP/EIS. The proposed action would not exceed the activity levels predicted in the RFD.
NC	Recreation	1/4/09	Burt Dwyer	The potential impacts of oil and gas development on recreation were adequately analyzed and documented in the RMP/EIS. The proposed action would not exceed the level of activity projected in the RMP and the RFD. The parcels and surrounding areas have seen no substantial change in recreational use subsequent to the RMP.
NC	Visual Resources	1/4/09	M. Rector	Visual resources were inventoried as part of the land use planning process. The potential impacts of oil and gas development on visual resources were adequately analyzed and documented in the RMP/EIS. The RMP designates VRM class II areas as leasing category III, controlled surface use. All parcels are within VRM class III and IV. The proposed action would not exceed the level of activity projected in the RMP/RFD. SOPs and COAs would

				mitigate impacts to visual resources.
NI	Paleontology	2/9/09		Surveys would be done, as needed, prior to surface disturbing activities. SOPs and COAs would ensure that paleontological resources are protected. These measures would include monitoring during initial construction when necessary.
NC	Lands / Access	4/4/09	M. Dutt	Public lands administered by the BLM are available for leasing under the various lease categories prescribed in the RMP. Lessees are granted the right to access leases for exploration and development of oil and gas resources. Those same rights extend to split-estate lands and lands administered by other agencies when these lands are underlain by federal minerals.
NC	Socio-economics	2/9/09	Asiffen	Socio-economics were considered under all alternatives considered in the RMP/EIS. The proposed action would not exceed the activity levels projected in the RFD.
NP	BLM Natural Areas - Wilderness characteristics	1/4/09	Ben T. [Signature]	The parcels are not within any areas designated by the RMP/EIS to be managed as BLM Natural Areas for their wilderness characteristics.

FINAL REVIEW

Reviewer Title	Date	Signature	Comments
Environmental Coordinator	2/9/2009		
Manager	2/9/2009		

09-0268



United States Department of the Interior

RECEIVED BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
MONTICELLO FIELD OFFICE

09 MAR -2 PM 12:40
P.O. Box 7
Monticello, Utah 84535
Ph: (435) 587-1500; Fax: (435) 587-1518



In Reply Refer To:
3100
(UTY020)

FEB 10 2009

State Historic Preservation Officer
Utah State Historical Society
300 Rio Grande
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1182

2009 MAR 12 PM 5:00
BLM - UT - 9500

PART I. Project Description

County: San Juan
Project Number: Not Applicable

The following undertaking, the Bureau of Land Management Monticello Field Office Quarterly Oil and Gas Lease, March 2009:

	(1) is a non-routine interstate and/or interagency project or program
	(2) directly affects a National Register eligible or listed property
	(3) has been determined by BLM, the SHPO or the Council to be highly controversial
	(4) is one of the following: a land exchange, land sale, Recreation and Public Purpose lease, or transfer
X	(5) is one which we wish to bring to your attention

BLM determines that this undertaking will result in No Historic Properties Affected.

PART II. Determination of Eligibility to the National Register of Historic Places.

BLM requests your concurrence on the following determinations of eligibility and effect: Not Applicable

DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY					DETERMINATION OF EFFECT ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES		
SITE NUMBER	NOT ELIGIBLE	NEED DATA	ELIGIBLE	ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	NO EFFECT	NO ADVERSE EFFECT	ADVERSE EFFECT

Received
FEB 12 2009
USHPO

Please review the enclosed documentation, then sign and return this letter with your comments within ten working days.


BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, MONTICELLO FIELD OFFICE


BY: FIELD OFFICE ARCHAEOLOGIST DATE 2/9/09


BY: FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DATE 2/9/2009

UTAH STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

Concur Do Not Concur


BY:  FOR LORI HONSAKER DATE 2/23/09

COMMENTS:

cc: Julie Howard, USO

enclosure: Staff Report