


Alternative Boundary 3



Boundary

- Include ½ mile buffer east of the Las Vegas Paiute Reservation and a one mile buffer north of the reservation..
- Increases the bajada on the northeast side.
- Includes the Eglington Preserve


Allowable Uses

- The Eglington Preserve and Tule Springs National Historic Site would not be available for disposal.
- Infrastructure permitted would include the Beltway
- Some modifications to the natural channel for flood control would be permitted.
- Recreation would be managed same as Alternative 1 with the potential for additional trails.
- All paleontological sites would occur inside the boundary with a larger buffer than Alternative 2.

Management

- Management may be by the BLM, City, County, or a Private Conservation Group.

Alternative Boundary 4



Boundary

- Includes lands between the reservation and the Desert National Wildlife Refuge (DNWR).
- Moves boundary approximately ¾ miles east of Highway 95, north of the reservation.
- Moves boundary 1 mile north of Mocassin on east side of reservation.
- Includes Eglington Preserve.


Allowable Uses

- The Eglington Preserve would not be available for disposal.
- Some infrastructure needs would be permitted.
- Flood control would be accomplished with the natural wash with no modifications.
- Recreation would be managed same as Alternative 1 with greater potential for additional trails than alternative 3.
- All paleontological sites would occur inside the boundary with a larger buffer than alternative 3.

Management

- Management may be by the BLM, City, County, or a Private Conservation Group.

Alternative Boundary 5



Boundary

- This is the entire study area as defined in the SEIS.
- Follows the DNWR as the north boundary.
- Includes a 1 mile buffer north and east of the Las Vegas Paiute Reservation.
- Includes the area east of North 5th street to the DNWR boundary.


Allowable Uses

- The Eglington Preserve would not be available for disposal.
- Only infrastructure needs common to all alternatives would be permitted.
- Flood control would be accomplished with the natural wash with no modifications.
- Recreation would be managed same as Alternative 1 with the maximum potential for additional trails
- All paleontological sites would occur inside the boundary with the maximum buffer.

Management

- Management may be by the BLM, City, County, or a Private Conservation Group.

No Action Alternative Boundary



Boundary

- The boundary is the same as the original Conservation Transfer Alternative Boundary.

Allowable Uses

- The Eglington Preserve would not be available for disposal.
- Some infrastructure needs would be permitted.
- Some modifications to the natural channel for flood control may be permitted.
- Recreation would include R&PP, parks, open space, and fewer potential for trails.
- Most paleontological sites would occur inside the boundary.

Management

- Management may be by the BLM, City, County, or a Private Conservation Group.