



Planning Area Location



Actions that would be common to all action alternatives

- Management responsibility
- Protection of the Tule Springs NHS
- Non-motorized trails
- Treatment of eligible cultural sites
- Mitigate surface paleontological sites and sensitive plant habitat
- Decatur and Grand Teton alignments
- No roads north of Grand Teton and east of Decatur
- Expansion of the Decatur Detention Basin if necessary
- Removal of southern half of McCool Park R&PP
- Any land within the ULVW – CTA boundary originally defined as available for disposal would now be potentially available for disposal with some type of conservation restrictions included.

No Action Alternative Boundary



Boundary

- The No Action Alternative represents the original Conservation Transfer Alternative Boundary.
- It is approximately 5,000 acres and would be available for disposal in accordance with SNPLMA, FLPMA, and other applicable laws subject to valid existing rights.


Allowable Uses

- The Eglington Preserve and Tule Springs National Historic Site would not be available for disposal.
- The maximum amount of infrastructure needs would be permitted.
- The maximum modifications for flood control would be permitted.
- Recreation would be managed according to City Master Plans and R&PP leases.
- The Eglington Preserve and Tule Springs would be managed for recreation by the BLM.
- Paleontological sites would be mitigated.

Management

- It would be managed by the BLM until sold.

Alternative Boundary 1



Boundary

- Alternative one encompasses jurisdictional waters on the western side of the R&PP leases.
- It is approximately 2,940 acres, a reduction of 2,060 acres from the no action alternative.
- Alternative one includes Tule Springs, all surface paleontological sites, and sensitive plant habitat. It excludes the Floyd Lamb R&PP and the Eglington Preserve.


Allowable Uses

- The Eglington Preserve would not be available for disposal.
- Some infrastructure needs would be permitted.
- Some modifications for flood control would be permitted including the northwest detention basin, and all flood control facilities for the City of North Las Vegas.
- Recreation infrastructure developments in the R&PP leased lands.
- Connections to regional parks and trails.
- A number of paleontological sites would occur outside of the boundary.

Management

- Management may be by the BLM, City, County, or a Private Conservation Group.

Alternative Boundary 2



Boundary

- Alternative two encompasses jurisdictional waters, flood terrace, and portions of the uplands as a buffer.
- It is approximately 6,323 acres, an increase of approximately 1,323 acres from the no action alternative.
- Alternative two includes the Eglington Preserve and the R&PP leased lands.

Allowable Uses

- The Eglington Preserve would not be available for disposal.
- Some infrastructure needs would be permitted.
- Some modifications for flood control would be permitted.
- Recreation would be managed the same as Alternative one with the potential for increased trails.
- All paleontological sites would occur inside the boundary.

Management

- Management may be by the BLM, City, County, or a Private Conservation Group.