

# Chapter 6

## Table of Contents

<b>CHAPTER 6</b> .....	<b>6-1</b>
6.1 Public Participation Summary .....	6-1
6.1.1 Public Scoping Period .....	6-1
6.1.2 Scoping Meetings .....	6-2
6.1.3 Scoping Response .....	6-3
6.1.4 EIS Mailing List.....	6-3
6.1.5 Draft EIS Distribution.....	6-4
6.1.6 Draft Supplemental EIS Distribution .....	6-5
6.1.7 Final Supplemental EIS Distribution .....	6-5
6.1.8 Record of Decision .....	6-5
6.2 Criteria and Methods by Which Public Input will be Evaluated.....	6-5
6.3 Tribal Consultation .....	6-6
6.4 List of Preparers and Reviewers .....	6-8
6.5 Mailing Lists .....	6-10
6.5.1 Mandatory Mailing List .....	6-10
6.5.2 Interested Parties Mailing List .....	6-11

### List of Tables

Table 6.1-1	Scoping Press Release Distribution .....	6-1
Table 6.3-1	Native American Tribes/Tribal Organizations Consulted.....	6-7
Table 6.3-2	Summary of Meetings with Native American Tribes.....	6-8
Table 6.4-1	Interdisciplinary Team (IDT) and Technical Specialists .....	6-8
Table 6.4-2	Third Party Contractor – JBR Environmental Consultants .....	6-9
Table 6.5-1	Mandatory Mailing List .....	6-11
Table 6.5-2	Agencies and Organizations on Current Mailing List .....	6-12

# Chapter 6 Consultation and Coordination

## 6.1 Public Participation Summary

### 6.1.1 Public Scoping Period

The public scoping period was conducted under the originally proposed EEC Project, which included the Robinson Summit Substation, 236-miles of transmission and telecommunication facilities between Robinson Summit Substation and Harry Allen Substation, loop-in of the Falcon-Gonder 345kV line at Robinson Summit, access roads, and temporary work areas now proposed as the ON Line Project. The public was provided a 30-day scoping period at the beginning of the EEC EIS process to identify potential issues and concerns associated with that action and including the components of the now amended ON Line Project as described in **Section 1.1**. The Notice of Intent (NOI) for the EEC EIS was published in the Federal Register on January 26, 2007. A copy of this NOI is included in the EEC Scoping Report dated April 30, 2007 (BLM-JBR 2007). In addition, an NOI to prepare a SEIS for the ON Line Project was published in the Federal Register on July 29, 2009. Although no additional public scoping meetings were held for the ON Line Project, the public comments received during the 30-day scoping period, initiated by the NOI, were also fully reviewed and considered. A legal notice for the originally scoped and analyzed EEC Project was published in local newspapers as follows:

<i>High Desert Advocate</i>	West Wendover, NV	January 25, 2007
<i>Ely Times</i>	Ely, Nevada	January 26, 2007
<i>Las Vegas Review Journal</i>	Las Vegas, NV	January 26, 2007
<i>Reno Gazette Journal</i>	Reno, NV	January 26, 2007
<i>Valley Voice</i>	Alamo, NV	February 2007

A press release was sent to media outlets as follows in **Table 6.1-1**.

**TABLE 6.1-1 SCOPING PRESS RELEASE DISTRIBUTION**

Television Stations		
KCLV TV 2 (City of Las Vegas) KVBC TV 3 CTV-TV 4 (Clark County) KVVU TV 5	KLAS TV 8 LV 1 KLVX TV 10 KTNV TV 13	KFBT TV 33 KVWB TV 21 KFBT & KVWB KLBC TV 2 Laughlin
Radio		
KCEP 88.1 FM KHWY 98-99 FM KNPR 89.5 FM KUNV 91.5 FM KNUU 970 AM KDWN 720 AM KLAV 1230 AM Metro Sky View Traffic KSNE 106.5 FM	KBGO 93.1 FM KWNR 95.5 FM KMZQ 100.5 FM KXTE 107.5 FM KLUC 98.5 FM KSNF 1140 AM KMXB 94.1 FM KXNT 840 AM KOMP 92.3 FM	KXPT 97.1 FM KBAD 920 AM KENO 1460 AM KKLZ 96.3 FM KJUL 104.3 FM KSTJ 102.7 FM KTSJ 105.5 FM KSTAR 102.7 FM KOAS 105.7 FM
Newspapers		
Las Vegas Review-Journal Las Vegas Sun The View Newspapers Associated Press LV Business Press In Business	Las Vegas Tribune The Business Voice Construction Connection Las Vegas Life U.S. Asian Chronicle Las Vegas Senior Press	Nevada Development Authority High Country News Southern Nevada Home and Garden S, The Magazine of Summerlin 215 South Magazine Urban Water Report

City Life Las Vegas Weekly Bullseye NAFB Boulder City News Laughlin Times LV Sentinel Voice LV Asian Journal North Las Vegas Times-Herald Henderson Home News	Nevada Senior World News Senior Spectrum Construction Zone Las Vegas Chinese Daily News Philippine News Jewish Reporter Las Vegas Israelite The Beehive Home & Hearth	Moapa Valley Progress Las Vegas Chamber of Commerce Henderson Chamber of Commerce Urban Chamber of Commerce Latin Chamber of Commerce Asian Chamber of Commerce North Las Vegas Chamber Moapa Valley Progress
<b>Spanish Language Media</b>		
Television Telemundo KBLR TV 39 Univision KINC TV 15 KYRK TV 35 KHDF 19 Azteca LV Newspaper El Mundo Newspaper El Tiempo Libre Latin American Press TV LV	Radio KLSQ 870 AM KQMR 99.3 FM KISF 103.5 FM KDOX 1280 AM KLAV 1230 AM KVBC 105.1 FM KRLV 1340 AM KWID 101.9 FM KDOX 104.7 FM	
<b>Other Media</b>		
Las Vegas Magazine Nevada Business Journal Nevada Magazine Sunset Magazine What's On Magazine Where Magazine of Las Vegas		

A scoping letter was prepared and sent to a list of approximately 1,800 potentially interested individuals, agencies, and organizations. The BLM compiled the initial contact list by using contact lists from previous projects. The initial scoping mailing list is included in the Scoping Report (BLM-JBR 2007).

### 6.1.2 Scoping Meetings

Five scoping meetings were held at locations around the State of Nevada:

Las Vegas, Nevada	February 5, 2007
Alamo, Nevada	February 6, 2007
Ely, Nevada	February 7, 2007
Elko, Nevada	February 8, 2007
Reno, Nevada	February 9, 2007

All attendees of scoping meetings were asked to sign in and provide their contact information. Lists of individuals who signed attendance sheets at the public meetings are included in the Scoping Summary Report (BLM-JBR 2007). The meetings began each evening at 5:00 PM and continued until 8:00 PM, with a formal presentation at 6:00 PM. The presenting speakers at each venue were the same: Chris Hanefeld and Joe Incardine, BLM, and David Sims, NV Energy. The BLM representatives discussed the meeting structure, how comments could be submitted, and provided an overview of the NEPA process. Mr. Sims presented an overview of the need for the project and a brief description of the EEC Project, including the facilities that now comprise the ON Line Project.

BLM and NV Energy personnel were available to answer questions from the public about the EIS analysis and proposed project, respectively.

Attendees at the scoping meetings were provided with handouts describing the project as well as the NEPA process. Comment forms were also provided to all attendees to facilitate submission of written scoping comments. The public was given the option to provide comments during the meeting, using regular mail, fax, or e-mail.

In addition, information regarding the project and the NEPA process was posted on the BLM's project website.

### **6.1.3 Scoping Response**

The 30-day scoping period on the original EEC Project, during which comments were received, was from January 26 through February 26, 2007. All responses received by BLM were logged, analyzed, and summarized to discern issues of concern. A total of 9,374 letters, emails, and faxes were received in response to the request for public comment regarding the project. Of those responses, 8,996, or approximately 96 percent, were a form letter opposing the original proposed project. The form letter indicated that the signatories for the most part opposed the project on the grounds that the project as originally proposed would use outdated fossil fuel generation technologies that result in unacceptable health and environmental impacts from pollution and destruction of sensitive landscapes.

In addition to the form letter, 377 unique responses were received from various organizations and individuals. Respondents included businesses, preservation organizations, the oil and gas industry, as well as unaffiliated individuals and others. Of the 377 unique responses received, there were approximately 167 non-substantive comment letters that indicated a positive or negative stance, including 16 percent in favor of and 84 percent opposed to the originally proposed project. Respondents who favored the project generally cited the need for power, energy independence, and economic benefits of the project. Those opposing the project expressed concerns mostly over pollution, impacts to fragile desert environs, and carbon dioxide emissions.

Comments received in response to solicitations, including names and addresses of those who commented, are considered part of the public record on this EIS and are available for public inspection at the BLM Ely District Office.

The 30-day scoping period for the ON Line Project, during which comments were received, was from July 29 through August 28, 2009. All responses received by BLM were logged, analyzed, and summarized to discern issues of concern. None of the comments represented new issues or comments that had not already been identified and addressed throughout the DSEIS.

### **6.1.4 EIS Mailing List**

An EIS mailing list of interested persons was initially assembled from the scoping mailing list with the addition of persons who expressed interest in being added to the mailing list during and subsequent to scoping. The mailing list for the project was revised to add those persons who provided comments in response to scoping, requested to be on the mailing list, or signed a scoping meeting attendance list. Respondents that provided more than one comment letter were listed only once in the mailing list.

On January 16, 2008, a newsletter was sent out to the 9,128 persons on the updated mailing list. The newsletter mailing was multi-purpose, as it provided an update on the project schedule, summarized scoping, presented the Mt. Wheeler Power line component (no longer

being considered as part of the ON Line Project), and requested information as to who wanted to remain on the mailing list.

### 6.1.5 Draft EIS Distribution

The EEC Draft EIS review period was initiated by publication of the Notice of Availability (NOA) for the Draft EIS in the Federal Register on January 2, 2009. The Draft EIS was distributed as follows:

- A NOA was published in the Federal Register specifying dates for the comment period and the date, time, and location of the public comment meetings.
- A news release was provided by the agencies at the beginning of the comment period on the Draft EIS. The news release was submitted to the same news organizations as for the initial public scoping announcement.
- The Draft EIS was distributed to interested parties identified in the updated EIS mailing list, as described above, and also made available via the internet.

Four public comment meetings were held in locations around Nevada as follows:

Reno, Nevada	February 5, 2009
Las Vegas, Nevada	February 10, 2009
Ely, Nevada	February 11, 2009
Elko, Nevada	February 12, 2009

The BLM conducted the four public open-house meetings with a formal presentation and verbal public comment session. Public comment forms were available for attendees to provide a written comment and a court recorder was present at each meeting to record verbal comments. All attendees of meetings were asked to sign in and provide their contact information. Lists of individuals who signed attendance sheets at the public meetings are included in the project record. The meetings began each afternoon at 4:00 PM and continued until 7:00 PM, with a formal presentation at 5:30 PM. The presenting speakers at each venue were the same: Jane Peterson and Joe Incardine, BLM, and David Sims, NV Energy. Attendees were invited to make a public statement after the presentation at each meeting, although their statements and comments were not recorded or considered as official public comments. BLM and NV Energy personnel were available to answer questions from the public about the EIS analysis and proposed project, respectively.

The 90-day formal public comment period concluded on April 3, 2009.

During the Draft EIS review period, NV Energy announced plans to postpone several EEC Project components including the coal-fired power plant until carbon capture/sequestration becomes commercially feasible due to increasing environmental and economic uncertainties surrounding its development. NV Energy also announced plans to continue with the permitting and construction of a reduced set of components of the EEC Project to be referred to as the ON Line Project as described in **Section 1.1**. This announcement occurred in February 2009 between the first and second public comment meeting and therefore was subsequently announced and discussed during the Las Vegas, Ely, and Elko meetings. At these three subsequent meetings, NV Energy reiterated its formal announcement to reduce the scope of the project, including the reasons and components of the project going forward as the ON Line Project. The BLM asked attendees to comment separately, if possible, on the postponed

project components (i.e., coal-fired generation plant) and the proposal to continue forward with the transmission line facilities.

### **6.1.6 Draft Supplemental EIS Distribution**

NV Energy submitted an amended SF-299 application and Plan of Development on March 30, 2009 to describe the change in the project going forward as a reduced subset of the original EEC Project. As a result of the change in project scope being reduced, the BLM decided a Draft Supplemental EIS should be completed in order to define the revised project scope and present the NEPA analysis for the ON Line Project separately. BLM prepared a NOI for the ON Line Project Draft Supplemental EIS, published in the Federal Register on July 29, 2009.

The distribution of this Draft Supplemental EIS was conducted in the same manner as the EEC Draft EIS. The Draft Supplemental EIS review period was initiated by publication of the NOA in the Federal Register. The Draft Supplemental EIS was distributed as follows:

- A NOA was published in the Federal Register specifying dates for the comment period and the date, time, and location of the public comment meetings.
- A news release was provided by the BLM at the beginning of the comment period on the Draft Supplemental EIS. The news release was submitted to the same news organizations as for the initial public scoping announcement on the EEC Project.
- The Draft Supplemental EIS was distributed and/or made available via internet to interested parties identified in the updated EIS mailing list, as described above, and also made available via the internet.

### **6.1.7 Final Supplemental EIS Distribution**

The Final Supplemental EIS distribution will be completed after consideration is given to comments received on the Draft Supplemental EIS. A 30-day Final EIS availability period will be initiated by publication of a NOA for the Final Supplemental EIS in the Federal Register. The Final Supplemental EIS will be released as follows:

- NOA published in the Federal Register.
- Copies of the Final Supplemental EIS will be sent to addresses on the updated mailing list and made available via the internet.
- A news release will be issued to the same newspapers used for previous Project announcements.

### **6.1.8 Record of Decision**

Subsequent to the 30-day availability period for the Final Supplemental EIS, the BLM will prepare a Record of Decision (ROD). The BLM ROD will be distributed to individuals and organizations identified on the updated Project mailing list. A NOA for the ROD will be published in the Federal Register. A news release will be made to the same newspapers used for previous Project announcements.

## **6.2 Criteria and Methods by Which Public Input will be Evaluated**

Letters and oral comments received on the Draft Supplemental EIS will be reviewed and evaluated. Responses will be prepared for substantive comments and modifications or corrections will be made to the Supplemental EIS as determined necessary in response to these

comments. Copies of all comments, along with responses to them, will be included in the Final Supplemental EIS.

### **Consultation with Others**

Two federal agencies were cooperating agencies under the EEC Project; however, after the project was modified to the ON Line Project, these agencies opted out of cooperating status:

- U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

White Pine County has continued to participate as a cooperating agency throughout the EIS process.

In addition, the following state and federal agencies were consulted during preparation of the EIS:

- U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs
- U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service
- U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife Service
- U.S. Air Force
- Nevada Division of State Parks
- Nevada Division of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Water Pollution Control
- Nevada Division of Forestry
- Nevada Department of Wildlife

## **6.3 Tribal Consultation**

Government-to-Government consultations are maintained and facilitated by the lead agency, Ely District BLM through regularly scheduled (quarterly) open tribal meetings. These meetings allow the agency to brief tribes on the environmental analysis process, proposed projects, provide an opportunity to discuss tribal concerns, and exchange information. Presentations, agency-tribal meetings, and verbal and written communication have been utilized to keep the Tribes informed and apprised of the project.

The public scoping letter for the initial EEC Project, which included components of what is now proposed as the ON Line Project, was sent to tribes and tribal organizations on July 23, 2007. Tribal liaisons have regularly briefed tribes on the project, including the proposed transmission facilities since then. As part of Government-to-Government consultation, Native American consultation letters were sent out by the BLM, Ely District Office on July 23, 2007 to the Tribes and tribal organizations listed in **Table 6.3-1**. The concerns outlined in the responses are summarized in **Table 6.3-1**.

Meetings were held with the Goshute Tribal Council on February 8, 2007 and March 14, 2008 that included the BLM, the Goshute Tribal Council, and NV Energy. A meeting was held with the Ely Shoshone Tribe on April 4, 2007 that included the Tribal Staff, Tribal Chair, and NV Energy. A meeting with the Kaibab Paiute Tribe was held on July 18, 2007 during the Tribal Council Meeting. The purpose of these meetings was to brief the Tribes on the environmental analysis

process, the proposed EEC Project (which included the project components now proposed as the ON Line Project Proposed Action and Action Alternative), and to answer questions.

During the Ely District's September 17, 2009 quarterly open tribal meeting, the BLM again briefed the tribes on the ON Line Project.

Activities/contacts with Tribes are noted in the Project Record. **Table 6.3-2** provides a summary of the formal communications that have taken place with the Native American Tribes for this project.

**TABLE 6.3-1 NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBES/TRIBAL ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED**

TRIBE OR GROUP		CONCERNS EXPRESSED
<b>Arizona</b>		
Colorado River Indian Tribes		No concerns at this time.
Kaibab Paiute Tribe		Expressed interest and ongoing participation.
<b>California</b>		
Timbisha Shoshone Tribe		
<b>Nevada</b>		
Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Tribes		
Duckwater Shoshone Tribe		Cultural resources, environmental justice, critical habitat for sage grouse, medicinal and food plants used by the Western Shoshone, cumulative impacts to Tribes
Ely Shoshone Tribe		Location of the EEC project in relation to Parcel 4 of the lands transferred to the tribe and placed in trust in the 2006 White Pine Land Act
Las Vegas Paiute Tribe		
Moapa Band of Paiutes		
Pahrump Paiute Tribe		
Shundahai/Western Shoshone		
Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone (including)	Battle Mountain Band	Water use and vegetation concerns
	Elko Band	
	South Fork Band	
	Wells Band	Pine nut harvesting areas could be impacted; air quality could be impacted
Yomba Shoshone Tribe		
<b>Utah</b>		
Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Reservation		
Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah (including)	Cedar Band	
	Indian Peaks Band	
	Kanosh Band	
<b>Other Tribal Organizations</b>		
Bureau of Indian Affairs, Eastern Nevada Agency		
Bureau of Indian Affairs, Southern Paiute Agency		
Western Shoshone Defense Project		

**TABLE 6.3-2 SUMMARY OF MEETINGS WITH NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBES**

<b>PARTIES INVOLVED</b>	<b>DATE</b>
Goshute Tribal Council, BLM, NV Energy	February 8, 2007
Ely Shoshone Tribe, BLM, and NV Energy	April 4, 2007
Kaibab Paiute Tribe and BLM	July 18, 2007
Te-Moak Tribe of the Western Shoshone Tribe Wells Band Tribal Council and BLM	January 31, 2008
Goshute Tribal Council, BLM	March 14, 2008
Goshute Tribe, Wells Band, Duckwater Shoshone, BLM, Ethnographer	July 15, 2008
Ely District Quarterly Tribal Meeting	September 17, 2009

## 6.4 List of Preparers and Reviewers

**Lead Agency:** BLM, Ely District Office

**Cooperating Agency:** White Pine County

**Interdisciplinary Team (IDT) and Technical Specialists:** See Table 6.4-1 below.

**TABLE 6.4-1 INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM (IDT) AND TECHNICAL SPECIALISTS**

<b>Resource</b>	<b>Ely District Office</b>	<b>Southern Nevada District Office</b>
BLM Nevada State Office Project Lead – Jacqueline Gratton		
District Office Project Lead	Mike Dwyer	Beth Ransel
Water Resources	Mark D’Aversa	Sara Peterson
Geology/Minerals	Dave Davis	David Fanning
Paleontological Resources	Leslie Riley	Susanne Rowe
Soils	Kari Harrison	Lisa Christianson
Air Quality	Susan Caplan (NOC) Scott Archer (NOC)	Lisa Christianson
Vegetation/Noxious and Non-Native Invasive Weeds	Bonnie Million Mindy Seal Marian Lichtler	Nora Capletta
Wildlife and Habitat	Marian Lichtler	Mark Slaughter
Special Status Species	Marian Lichtler Alicia Styles (Caliente)	Fred Edwards
Range Resources/ Wild Horses (WH)	Mindy Seal Ben Noyes (WH)	Everett Bartz Jerri Bertola
Cultural Resources	Leslie Riley	Susanne Rowe
Native American Concerns	Elvis Wall	Susanne Rowe
Land Use/Access	Doris Metcalf	Beth Ransel
Special Designations	Dave Jacobson	Beth Ransel
Recreation	Kalem Lenard	Robert Wandel
Visual Resources	Sheri Wysong, Kalem Lenard	Michael Johnson

Resource	Ely District Office	Southern Nevada District Office
Noise	Sheri Wysong	
Socioeconomics	Karen Rajala (White Pine County)	Beth Ransel
Environmental Justice	Karen Rajala (White Pine County)	Beth Ransel
Hazardous and Solid Waste	Melanie Peterson	Michael Moran
Transportation	Karen Rajala (White Pine County)	
Climate Change/ Global Warming	Sheri Wysong Susan Caplan (NOC) Scott Archer (NOC)	

**TABLE 6.4-2 THIRD PARTY CONTRACTOR – JBR ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS**

Role / Resource	Staff	Experience
<b>Project Manager</b> Ground Water Hazardous & Solid Waste	Brian Buck, PG JBR Salt Lake City	MS Geological Engineering BS Geology 32 Years Experience
<b>Assistant Project Manager</b> Wildlife & Habitat	Greg Brown JBR Salt Lake City	BS Natural Resources 13 Years Experience
Socioeconomics Environmental Justice	Linda Matthews JBR Salt Lake City  Jon Schulman JBR Salt Lake City  Jan Crispin University of Utah Salt Lake City	BS Environmental Studies 22 Years Experience  MS Environmental Engineering MA Journalism BA English 13 Years Experience  BA Business Management MBA 22 Years Experience
Cultural Resources Native American Concerns Paleontological Resources Transportation	Jenni Prince Mahoney JBR Salt Lake City	BA Anthropology MC NEPA 14 Years Experience
Visual Resources	Richard Duncan JBR Reno, NV	BA Economics MS Biology 11 Years Experience
Air Quality Noise	Dan Heiser, PE JBR Boise, ID  Chris Johnson JBR Boise, ID	BS Chemical Engineering MBA 25 Years Experience  BS Math & Earth Sciences 29 Years Experience

Role / Resource	Staff	Experience
Water Resources	Ryan Clerico JBR Salt Lake City  Alan Mayo, PhD Alan Mayo Associates Orem, UT  EMS-i South Jordan, UT	BS Biology 10 Years Experience  MS Geology BS Geology PhD Hydrogeology 28 Years Experience
Vegetation Noxious Weeds & Invasive Species Fire management	Ryan Clerico JBR Salt Lake City	BS Biology 10 Years Experience
Geology Minerals	Jim Sage JBR Salt Lake City	BS Geology 9 Years Experience
Special Status Species	John Curl JBR Salt Lake City	BS Public Lands Policy 8 Years Experience
Range Resources Wild Horses Specials Designations	Marit Sawyer JBR Salt Lake City	BS Range Science 10 Years Experience
Soils Prime & Unique Farmland	Karen Kinsella JBR Elko, NV	BS Resource Management, Soils AS Biology/Computer 8 Years Experience
Land Use & Access Recreation	Tom Hale JBR Salt Lake City	MS Park and Recreation Management MLA Environmental Planning BLA Landscape Architecture 17 Years Experience
Cumulative Effects	Schelle Davis JBR Salt Lake City  Jon Schulman JBR Salt Lake City	BA Environmental Studies 18 Years Experience  MS Environmental Engineering MA Journalism BA English 13 Years Experience

## 6.5 Mailing Lists

An important part of the NEPA process is to invite public comment (CEQ §1503.1) by actively soliciting comments from those persons, organizations, or agencies who may be interested or affected by the proposed project. BLM is required to submit the EIS to several agencies and the proponent; these constitute the mandatory mailing list (**Table 6.5-1**). Other agencies (federal, state, local), organizations, and individuals who may be affected by the project, may be stakeholders, or may simply be interested constitute the interested parties mailing list.

### 6.5.1 Mandatory Mailing List

The following mandatory mailing list (**Table 6.5-1**) was compiled using the BLM NEPA Handbook H-1790-1 mandatory distribution list. The number in parenthesis is the number of hardcopies required.

**TABLE 6.5-1 MANDATORY MAILING LIST**

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (*) Director, Planning & Review 1100 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Ste. 809 Washington D.C. 20004	National Park Services (4) Environmental Quality Division 1201 Eye Street NW Washington D.C. 20005	US Dept of The Interior (3) Minerals Management Service Chief, Environment Ops and Analysis Branch 381 Eldon Street Herndon, VA 20170-4817
Army Corps of Engineers (2) South Pacific Division Chief, Planning Division 1455 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94103	National Science & Technology Center (2) P.O. Box 25047 Building 50 Denver Federal Center Denver, CO 80225-0047	US Dept of the Interior (3) Geological Survey Environmental Affairs Program National Center (423) Reston, VA 20192
BLM Planning Office (2) Mail Stop 850 LS 1849 C Street NW Washington D.C. 20240	NV Energy (3) P.O. Box 98910 Las Vegas, NV 89151	US Dept of the Interior (3) Director, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance 1849 C Street NW 2342-MIB Washington D.C. 20240
Bureau of Reclamation (2) Denver Federal Center Bldg. 67 (D-5000) P.O. Box 25007 Denver, CO 80225-0007	NV Energy (3) P.O. Box 10100 Reno, NV 89520-0024	US Dept of the Interior (3) Natural Resources Library 1849 C Street NW Washington D.C. 20240
Environmental Protection Agency (5) Office of Federal Activities, EIS Filing Station Airel Rios Bldg (S Oval Lobby) Rm 7220 1200 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington D.C. 20004	Office of Deputy A/S of the USAF (1) Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health SAF/RQ Room 4C916, Pentagon Washington D.C. 20330-0001	US Dept of The Interior (1) Office of External and Intergovernmental Affairs 1849 C Street NW Washington D.C. 20240
Environmental Protection Agency (2) Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105	US Dept of Energy (2) Office of NEPA 1000 Independence Ave SW Mail Code EH-42, Room 3E094 Washington D.C. 20585	US Dept of the Interior Fish & Wildlife Service (3) Assistant Director, Endangered Species 1849 C St. NW Washington D.C. 20240

(\*) – No Hardcopy Needed, Will  
Access From The Web

## 6.5.2 Interested Parties Mailing List

The Interested Parties mailing list includes persons, organizations, and agencies that were included in the initial scoping mailing list, those who attended scoping meetings, those that commented during the EEC scoping process, respondents to the January 2008 newsletter, those that commented during the EEC DEIS comment period, those who attended the EEC DEIS public meetings, those who commented during the ON Line scoping period, and those who in some other way expressed interest in the project and wanted to be on the mailing list. This mailing list currently includes 562 interested parties. **Table 6.5-2** includes the federal agencies, state agencies, local agencies, government officials, tribal governments, and other organizations. The entire list of interested parties is part of the project record and available upon request. This list will continue to be updated throughout the NEPA process.

**TABLE 6.5-2 AGENCIES AND ORGANIZATIONS ON CURRENT MAILING LIST**

<b>FEDERAL AGENCIES</b>	<b>STATE AGENCIES</b>
Army Corps of Engineers, Reno Regulatory Office	Nevada Department of Wildlife, Ely, Elko, & Reno, NV
Great Basin National Park, Baker, NV	NDEP Bureau of Water Pollution Control, Carson City, NV
Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, Ely, NV	Nevada Division of Environ. Protection, Carson City, NV
National Park Service, Boulder, NV	Nevada Division of Forestry, Las Vegas, NV
Nellis AFB, NV	Nevada Division of State Parks, Baker, NV
US Department of the Interior	Nevada Division of State Parks, Carson City, NV
USDI Bureau of Indian Affairs	Nevada State Clearinghouse, Carson City, NV
US EPA Region IX	Nevada State Historic Preservation Office, Reno, NV
US Forest Service, NV	Nevada State Legislature, Elko, NV
US Fish and Wildlife, Reno, NV	Public Utilities Commission of Nevada, Carson City, NV
US Fish and Wildlife, Las Vegas, NV	
<b>LOCAL AGENCIES</b>	<b>GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS</b>
Bear River Watershed Council, Richmond, UT	City of Ely Mayor, George Chachas
Lincoln County Commissioners, Pioche, NV	
Southern Nevada Water Authority, Las Vegas, NV	
McGill Town Council	
Ruth Town Council	
White Pine County Board of Commissioners, Ely, NV	
<b>TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS</b>	<b>OTHER ORGANIZATIONS</b>
Colorado River Indian Tribes, AZ	Basin Research Associates
Kaibab Paiute Tribe, AZ	California Native Plant Society
Timbisha Shoshone Tribe, CA	Citizen Alert, Las Vegas
Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Tribes, NV	Center for Biological Diversity, San Francisco, CA
Duckwater Shoshone Tribe, NV	Duck Creek Basin Homeowners, McGill, NV
Ely Shoshone Tribe, NV	Ducks Unlimited, Rancho Cordova, CA
Las Vegas Paiute Tribe, NV	Friends of the Schell Creek Range, McGill, NV
Moapa Band of Paiutes, NV	Grand Canyon Trust, Flagstaff, AZ
Pahrump Paiute Tribe, NV	Great Basin Chapter, Trout Unlimited, Baker, NV
Shudahai / Western Shoshone, NV	Nature Conservancy, Reno, NV
Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone, NV	Nevada Conservation League, Las Vegas, NV
Battle Mountain Band	Nevada Green Party, Reno, NV
Elko Band	Post Carbon Salt Lake, Salt Lake City, UT
South Fork Band	Progress Leadership Alliance of Nevada, Reno, NV
Wells Band	Resource Concepts, Inc.
Yomba Shoshone Tribe, NV	Sevier Citizens for Clean Air and Water, Richfield, UT
Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Reservation, UT	Sierra Club Environmental Law Program, SF, CA
Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah, UT	Sierra Club, Reno, NV
Cedar Band	Sierra Club, Utah Chapter, Salt Lake City, UT
Indian Peaks Band	Wasatch Clean Air Coalition, Salt Lake City, UT
Kanosh Band	Western Lands Project, Seattle, WA
Western Shoshone Defense Council, NV	Western Resource Advocates, Carson City, NV
	Western Watershed Project, Boise, ID
	Environmental Policy and Cultural Program, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL
	Bristlecone Alliance, McGill, NV
	White Pine County Tourism and Recreation Board, Ely, NV
	National Parks Conservation Association
	Environmental Defense Fund, Boulder, CO
	Utah Physicians for a Healthy Environment, Salt Lake City, UT