



LCDO_Comments, BLM_NM <blm_nm_lcdo_comments@blm.gov>

Comments on the Draft NMCC EIS

1 message

CHUCK BARRETT <Ex 6 [REDACTED]>
To: BLM_NM_LCDO_Comments@blm.gov

Sun, Apr 3, 2016 at 4:11 PM

Dear Doug Haywood:

I direct your attention to the attached letter, dated July 14, 1994, from Mr. Jack Hammond, then Texas Commissioner to the Rio Grande Compact Commission, to Mr. Russell Jentgen of the BLM, commenting on the previous EIS concerning the reopening of the subject mine. The letter raises serious objections to the methodology and conclusions of the EIS as well as serious questions as to the environmental and health impacts of the then proposed water right claimed by the mine, then called Alta Gold.

Considering that the water right and proposed hydrologic drawdown being claimed by NMCC is hugely greater than that in the Alta Gold EIS case, it seems evident that the failure to include the attached letter and more importantly, its analysis and conclusions, and the substantive analysis of impacts on the Rio Grande Compact parties, in the current DEIS, are glaring omissions which would demand a fundamental rethinking and retooling of the DEIS.

I submit this comment and the attached letter as a comment included by reference.

Sincerely,
Chuck Barrett

Ex 6 [REDACTED]



Jack hammond RGCC letter re EIS on Alta Gold mine project in Hillsboro NM 1994.pdf

695K