UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
Farmington District
Farmington Field Office
6251 N College Blvd., Ste. A
Farmington, NM 87402

Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA) Worksheet

Chaco 2306-07L NO.181H
NEPA No. DOI-BLM-NM-F010-2014-0233-DNA
(ATS NO. F010-2013-392)

BLM Office: Farmington Field Office. **Lease/Serial/Case File No.:** NMSF-078362

Proposed Action Title/Type: Chaco 2306-07L NO. 181H well pad and pipeline

Location of Proposed Action: 1979' FSL/302' FWL, Township 23N, Range 6W, Section 7 (Rio Arriba County)

Applicant (if any): WPX Energy Production, LLC.

A. Description of the Proposed Action

WPX Energy Production, LLC proposes to twin the Chaco 2306-07L NO.181H with the Chaco 2306-07L Number 183H, existing well pad and horizontally drill to access federal minerals. The proposal includes using the existing pad and access road. The pipeline tie will be entirely on the existing well pad. There will not be any new disturbance associated with the project.

B. Land Use Plan (LUP) Conformance

Farmington Resource Management Plan (RMP)

Land Use Plan Name: Farmington Resource Management Plan. Date Approved/Amended: September 2003.

The proposed action is in conformance with the Farmington Resource Management Plan because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s):

"It is the policy of the BLM to make mineral resources available for disposal and to encourage development of mineral resources to meet national, regional, and local needs consistent with national objectives of an adequate supply of minerals at reasonable market prices. At the same time, the BLM strives to ensure that mineral development is carried out in a manner that minimizes environmental damage and provides for rehabilitation of affected lands." (Final RMP p.2-2, 2-3). The proposed action is covered under the terms and conditions as well as restraints and mitigation measures described on pages 2-3 to 2-8 of the Final RMP (December 2003).

C. Applicable National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Document(s) and Other Related Documents

EA #NM F 010-13-0393 WPX Energy Production ***Chaco 2306-06L Number 179H, Chaco 2306-07L Number 183H Chaco 2307-13L Number 175H*** Oil & Natural Gas Well/ August 2013, describes the affected environment, the environmental consequences, and the cumulative impacts.

Farmington Proposed Resource Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement (March 2003).

Cultural Report: 2013(II)005F (1-8-13) describes the surrounding cultural resources and the mitigation requirements to protect the cultural resources.

D. NEPA Adequacy Criteria

1. Is the new proposed action a feature of, or essentially similar to, an alternative analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? Is the project within the same analysis area, or if the project location is different, are the geographic and resource conditions sufficiently similar to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? If there are differences, can you explain why they are not substantial?

Yes. Environmental Assessment No. NM F-010-2013-0393 provides analysis that is essentially the same as that of the proposed project. The proposed project Chaco 2306-07L NO.181H is twinned on the Chaco 2306-07L Number 183H. Assessment of all proposed impacts for the proposed action, would remain the same. Appropriate BLM specialists have concurred that the change in location would not alter the analysis presented in the original EA.

2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the current proposed action, given current environmental concerns, interests, resource values, and circumstances?

Yes. There would be no change in the range of alternatives from the existing EA No. NM F-010-2013-0393. There were no additional alternative locations considered that would further minimize surface impacts and allow for the horizontal development of the minerals.

3. Is the existing analysis valid in light of any new information or circumstances (such as rangeland health standard assessment, recent endangered species listings, or updated lists of BLM-sensitive species)? Can you reasonably conclude that new information and new circumstances would not substantially change the analysis of the new proposed action?

Yes. The existing EA adequately analyses impacts to all resources including a review of ACECs, SMAs, range, recreation, wildlife, threatened and endangered species, paleontological values, soils, air quality, noise, water quality, invasive weeds, floodplains and wetlands, wilderness values, hazardous and solid wastes, prime and unique farmlands, and environmental justice. A cultural report 2013(II)005F was completed and included survey for the area of the existing Chaco 2306-07L Number 183H location.

4. Are the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects that would result from implementation of the new proposed action similar (both quantitatively and qualitatively) to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document?

Yes. The direct and indirect impacts for the current proposed well are substantially unchanged from the existing EA WPX Energy Production, LLC's Chaco 2306-07L Number 183H Oil & Natural Gas Well. The existing EA sufficiently analyses the specific on-site impacts of the current proposed action.

5. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the current proposed action?

Yes. The public availability for the current EA Chaco 2306-07L Number 183H is adequate for the current proposed action. Posted to the NEPA log and no comment(s) were received.

E. Persons/Agencies/BLM Staff Consulted

Name	Title	Resource/Agency Represented

Jim Copeland	Archaeologist Lead	BLM
John Kendall	T&E Specialist	BLM
Amanda Nisula	NEPA Specialist	BLM

CONCLUSION

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the Farmington Resource Management Plan and that the existing NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of NEPA.

Roger Herrera 7/22/14

Roger Herrera, Project Lead — Date

Amanda Nisula 07/24/14

Amanda Nisula, Planning & Environmental Coordinator — Date

07/24/14

Maureen Joe, Assistant Field Office Manager — Date

Note: The signed Conclusion on this Worksheet is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal decision process and does not constitute an appealable decision. However, the lease, permit, or other authorization based on this DNA is subject to protest or appeal under 43 CFR Part 4 and the program-specific regulations.