



South Dakota Resource Management Plan (RMP) Revision

FACT SHEET: Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation

Program Contacts: Rebecca Smith, Wildlife Biologist (605) 892-7007

Why is BLM emphasizing Greater Sage-Grouse management in the South Dakota Draft RMP/EIS?

The Greater Sage-Grouse (GRSG) was identified as a planning issue early in the process for the South Dakota RMP/EIS, through both internal and external scoping and in coordination with the cooperating agencies. In March 2010, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) published its decision in response to the proposed listing of the GRSG under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) that the listing was “Warranted but Precluded” by higher priority species. Inadequacy of regulatory mechanisms was identified as one of the criteria in which the “Warranted” decision was based.

The USFWS has identified the principal mechanism for the BLM to assure regulatory certainty is by adopting conservation measures in RMPs. The USFWS will review its Warranted but Precluded decision by the end of 2015 and make a decision as to whether the GRSG warrants protection via the ESA.

Based on the identified threats to the GRSG and the USFWS’s timeline for reviewing its listing decision on this species, the BLM initiated the National GRSG Planning Strategy to incorporate objectives and adequate conservation measures into RMPs in order to provide assurances for the conservation of the GRSG.

The National GRSG Planning Strategy requires evaluating the adequacy of existing RMPs and as necessary, revising RMPs, such as the South Dakota Draft RMP/EIS, to incorporate GRSG conservation measures so that sufficient threats can be removed or reduced to minimize the need to list the species under the ESA. Proactively implementing policies and conservation measures now will reduce regulatory burdens on stakeholders.

The BLM solicited public and agency input to identify issues to address in the planning effort and is coordinating with other federal, state, and local government agencies in preparing the documents. The BLM is conducting detailed environmental analyses of a range of alternatives for GRSG conservation.

What is the BLM National Greater Sage-Grouse Planning Strategy?

The BLM National GRSG Planning Strategy provides a coordinated, cooperative stakeholder team approach to incorporate regionally appropriate, science-based conservation measures into BLM land use planning efforts.

GRSG benefit from, and make use of, suitable habitat—regardless of land ownership and management responsibility. The BLM planning strategy uses an open and collaborative approach to foster cooperative conservation efforts across the regions and states that make up the GRSG range.

The planning strategy illustrates the BLM’s continued commitment to long-term, range-wide GRSG conservation and habitat restoration and acknowledges the value of engaging all stakeholders and partners in cooperative conservation efforts.

What is the National Technical Team Report?

As part of its GRSG National Planning Strategy, the BLM convened a National Technical Team (NTT). This team was composed of representatives from the BLM, USFWS, Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Geological Survey and state wildlife agencies.

The team was charged with developing a report ensuring relevant science related to GRSG conservation was considered, reasonably interpreted and accurately presented, with risks and uncertainties clearly delineated; providing conservation objectives in measurable terms to guide planning; and identifying science-based conservation measures.

In 2011, the NTT prepared a report which fulfilled this charge. The report and its associated conservation measures are not intended to create a standard for GRSG management. Rather, the goal of the report is to provide a resource for BLM personnel to use, as appropriate, in addressing on-the-ground conservation through the planning process.

Is the South Dakota Draft RMP consistent with the National Technical Team Report?

The BLM has considered and analyzed the NTT conservation measures in the South Dakota Draft RMP/EIS in accordance with the most recent guidance, BLM Washington Office Instruction Memorandum 2012-044. The Draft RMP/EIS was reviewed at the regional and Washington Office level for compliance with the guidance. The plan is also consistent with the Montana/Dakotas BLM guidance for GRSG management and conservation in land use plans (BLM Montana/Dakotas State Office Instruction Memorandum MT-2010-017).

What specific measures are considered in the South Dakota Draft RMP/EIS to protect Greater Sage-Grouse?

The South Dakota Draft RMP/EIS incorporates specific protections for GRSG within the range of alternatives. We encourage the public to review the specific protections for GRSG in the draft plan, as well as the breadth of other resource and resource uses addressed in the plan. The summary table below lists some of the key protections and plan allocations being addressed in the range of alternatives.

Table 1. South Dakota Draft RMP/EIS – Greater Sage-Grouse protection summary by alternative.

South Dakota Draft RMP/EIS – Greater Sage-Grouse Protection Summary of Alternatives				
	Alternative A	Alternative B	Alternative C	Alternative D
GRSG Protection Priority Areas (surface)				
	0	84,384	96,379	84,384
Fluid mineral leasing - acres protected through no surface occupancy restrictions				
<i>Surface acres</i>	27,634	83,744	0	83,744
<i>Subsurface acres</i>	73,828	253,357	0	253,357
Acres closed or recommended for withdrawal of solid minerals				
<i>Surface acres</i>	0	0	93,266	0
<i>Subsurface acres</i>	0	0	289,288	0
Rights of Ways Restrictions				
<i>Surface acres avoided</i>	0	84,384	0	84,384
<i>Surface acres excluded</i>	0	0	96,379	0
Rights of Ways Restrictions (renewable energy ROWs only)				
<i>Surface acres avoided</i>	0	84,384	0	0
<i>Surface acres excluded</i>	0	0	96,379	96,379
Acres protected through an Area of Critical Environmental Concern designation for sage-grouse				
<i>Surface acres</i>	0	0	93,379	0
<i>Subsurface acres</i>	0	0	289,563	0