
5.0 CHAPTER 5 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION/LIST OF PREPARERS

Introduction

This chapter provides a description of the public participation opportunities made available throughout the development of this DRMP/DEIS, as well as public outreach and consultation and coordination efforts with local, State, Native American tribal, and other Federal governments and agencies; public and private groups and organizations; and the general public. It includes a list of the document preparers, and the agencies, organizations, and individuals that received a copy of the DRMP/DEIS for review.

Some of the consultation and coordination efforts described in this chapter refer both to the KFO as well as to the CRVFO (formerly the GSFO). These references relate to the early stages of the planning process (prior to December 2010), when the DRMP/DEIS for both offices was being prepared as a single document. References to both Field Offices have been retained in this chapter in order to provide continuity with the early stages in the planning process. There have been, and will continue to be, many ways for the public to participate in the planning process for public lands under the jurisdiction of the KFO.

Public Collaboration and Outreach

Scoping Process

As soon as the environmental analysis process begins, the scoping process begins. Regulations state that there “shall be an early and open process for determining the scope of issues to be addressed and for identifying the significant issues related to a Proposed Action” (40 CFR 1501.7). The BLM may engage in internal scoping (with BLM staff and specialists) or external scoping (with local, State, Native American tribal, and other Federal agencies; public and private groups and organizations; and the general public).

As part of the scoping process for this DRMP/DEIS, the BLM completed the following:

- invited the participation of affected local, State, Native American tribal, and other Federal agencies and governments; public and private groups and organizations; and all other interested persons;
- determined the scope and the significant issues to be analyzed in depth in the DRMP/DEIS;
- identified, and eliminated from detailed study, the issues that are not significant, or that have been covered by prior environmental review, thereby narrowing the discussion of

- the issues in the statement to a brief presentation of why they will not have a significant impact on the human environment, or providing a reference to their coverage elsewhere;
- allocated assignments for preparation of the decision document among the BLM (Lead Agency) and all Cooperating Agencies, with the Lead Agency retaining responsibility for the DRMP/DEIS;
 - identified other environmental review and consultation requirements so that the Lead Agency and the Cooperating Agencies could prepare other required analyses and studies concurrently with, and integrated with, the DRMP/DEIS;
 - indicated the relationship between the timing of the preparation of environmental analyses and the BLM's tentative planning and decision-making schedule.

The scoping process, involving internal and external scoping, provides an avenue to involve the public in identifying significant issues related to potential land use management actions.

Notice of Intent

The Notice of Intent (NOI) is the legal document notifying the public of the BLM's intent to initiate the planning process, and to prepare an EIS for a major Federal action. The NOI invites the participation of the affected and interested local, State, Native American tribal, and other Federal governments and agencies; public and private groups and organizations; and members of the general public in determining the scope and significant issues to be addressed in the planning alternatives and analyzed in the EIS.

The formal scoping period for the combined CRVFO/KFO DRMP/DEIS began on March 2, 2007 with the publication of the NOI in the *Federal Register*. Under CEQ regulations, the public comment period must continue for at least 30 days; however, the BLM extended this public comment period to May 2, 2007, providing 60 days for submitting comments. Even though the scoping comment period has ended, the BLM has continued to consider all comments received during the planning process. The NOI was provided for public consideration at 7 scoping Open Houses and was posted on the project website.

Scoping Open Houses

The BLM hosted 7 Open Houses, 4 of which were held in the KFO Planning Area. The goal of these Open Houses was to provide the public with additional opportunities to become involved in, and learn about, the project and planning process; meet the DRMP/DEIS ID Team members, and offer comments. As described below, the Open House meetings were advertised in the local media. In addition, a postcard advertising the meetings was mailed to local, State, Native American tribal, and other Federal governments and agencies, as well as to members of the public who had participated in past BLM activities and, therefore, had been included in past BLM distribution lists.

During the week of April 9, 2007, Open Houses were held in 4 locations within the KFO Planning Area (see Table 5-1).

Venue	Location	Date	Attendance
Granby Community Center	Granby	April 10	34
CSU Extension Hall	Kremmling	April 11	36
Wattenberg Center	Walden	April 12	17
Laramie River Guest Ranch	Glendevey	April 25	25
Total			112

(NOTE: All meetings were held from 4:00 to 7:00 PM.)

During the scoping phase of the planning process, an Open House format was chosen over a more formal public meeting format in order to encourage broader participation; to allow attendees to learn about the project at their own pace; and to enable participants to ask questions of BLM representatives in an informal, one-on-one setting. A packet of fact sheets and handouts with information about the project, and a map of the Planning Area, were provided. A list of the anticipated planning issues and preliminary planning criteria related to the project was also provided. Single-page summaries of each resource issue were included as convenient reference material for participants to take from the meetings. At the Open Houses, site and resource maps were displayed that illustrated the current situation and management techniques practiced among different resources and land areas. In order to encourage broad participation, the BLM chose prominent, handicapped-accessible local facilities in informal settings. In addition to BLM representatives, a total of 112 people attended the KFO Open Houses.

Project Website

In the winter of 2006, a combined CRVFO/KFO DRMP/DEIS public website was launched in order to serve as a clearing-house for project information during the planning process. The website, available on the Internet at <http://www.blm.gov/rmp/co/kfo-crvfo>, provided background information about the project; a public involvement timeline and calendar; maps and photos of the Planning Area; and copies of public information documents, such as the NOI and Newsletter. The site also provided a link to the Comment Form that was available for submitting comments regarding the project. The BLM continues to update the website with information, documents, and announcements.

Postcard and Newsletters

On March 27, 2007, the BLM sent a postcard for the CRVFO/KFO DRMP/DEIS project to more than 850 individuals from local, State, Native American tribal, and other Federal

agencies and governments; public and private groups and organizations; and members of the public. The postcard introduced the BLM and the DRMP/DEIS planning process, and suggested methods for public involvement. The postcard also provided dates and venues for 6 of the 7 scoping Open Houses. (A 7th Open House was added later, at the request of the public.) The postcard gave the public various alternative methods to submit their comments, including a dedicated email address (compkg@blm.gov), as well as the KFO's postal address for regular mail comments.

During the time when the KFO and CRVFO DRMP/DEIS was being prepared as a single document, newsletters were published throughout the course of the planning process, and were posted on the BLM website. Newsletters were also sent out to local, State, Native American tribal, and other Federal governments and agencies; public and private groups and organizations, and the general public. Participants also could request to receive newsletters via email. The newsletters, which included a calendar of events, were intended to remind the public of how they could comment and get involved in the planning process. The first newsletter was sent by email, and by U.S. mail, on May 18, 2007 to over 1,050 individuals from local, State, Native American tribal, and other Federal agencies and governments; public and private groups and organizations; and members of the public. The newsletter summarized the scoping meetings; provided information on the trails and routes data collection workshops that were collected in June of 2007; and gave overall information about the planning process. The trails and routes data collection workshops were held separately from the scoping meetings, and gave individuals, agencies, and organizations an opportunity to provide the BLM with data and missing information on existing trails and routes.

Press Releases and Newspaper Advertisements

Local and regional newspapers and radio stations throughout the Planning Area were used in order to disseminate information on the CRVFO/KFO DRMP/DEIS scoping and planning process. The BLM prepared newspaper advertisements announcing the official scoping meetings and inviting the public to provide input. The advertisements were printed in the newspapers between April 1 and April 5 of 2007 (see Table 5-2):

Publication Name	Location
<i>The Daily Sentinel</i>	Grand Junction, Colorado
<i>Jackson County Star</i>	Walden, Colorado
<i>Middle Park Times</i>	Kremmling, Colorado
<i>Ski-Hi News</i>	Granby, Colorado
<i>Post Independent</i>	Glenwood Springs, Colorado
<i>Vail Daily</i>	Vail, Colorado
<i>Summit Daily News</i>	Breckenridge, Colorado
<i>Aspen Times</i>	Aspen, Colorado

A Press Release was also issued to various media points beginning on April 5, 2007.

Newspaper Articles

In addition to articles and notifications that the BLM published regarding the DRMP/DEIS, over 20 articles and News Bulletins regarding some aspect of the planning process have been published in newspapers both within, and outside of, the Planning Area. Numerous articles advertised the April 2007 public scoping meetings and the June 2007 travel management workshops. Other articles discussed the WSR Suitability Study, and the management of the Thompson Creek ACEC (which is within the CRVFO). Several articles explained the DRMP/DEIS planning process, urged public participation, and/or explained methods to submit comments. The articles appeared in 11 different newspapers between December of 2006 and June of 2007 (see Table 5-3). Most of the newspapers have circulations that encompass the 2 Field Offices; however, 2 of the newspapers publish outside of the Planning Area.

<i>Aspen Daily News</i>
<i>Glenwood Springs Post Independent</i>
<i>The Daily Sentinel</i>
<i>Vail Daily</i>
<i>Casper Star Tribune</i>
<i>Summit Daily News</i>
<i>Eagle Valley Enterprise</i>
<i>The Aspen Daily Times</i>
<i>Rifle Citizen Telegram</i>
<i>Grand County Daily Tribune</i>
<i>The Denver Post</i>

Consultation and Coordination

The KFO DRMP/DEIS will provide guidance for a large area of public land in Colorado and, as a result, requires the coordination of a wide variety of organizations with interests in the area. Among these are governmental bodies that create, administer, and/or monitor policy for these, as well as adjacent, lands. The BLM established a coordinated effort in developing the KFO DRMP/DEIS by seeking the active participation of these parties.

The following discussion documents the BLM's consultation and coordination efforts during the preparation of this DRMP/DEIS. Consultation and coordination is an ongoing effort, and will continue throughout the entire process of developing the Proposed RMP/Final EIS (PRMP/FEIS).

Cooperating Agencies

A Cooperating Agency may be any agency that has special jurisdiction by law or special expertise for proposals covered by the NEPA (40 CFR 1501.6). Any local, State, Native American tribal, or other Federal government jurisdiction with such qualifications may become a Cooperating Agency by agreement with the Lead Agency. Cooperating Agencies “work with the BLM, sharing knowledge and resources, to achieve desired outcomes for public lands and communities within statutory and regulatory frameworks” (BLM 2005a).

On November 29, 2006, the BLM invited 37 local, State, Native American tribal, and other Federal agencies and governments to participate as Cooperating Agencies for the combined CRVFO and KFO DRMP/DEIS. Of these, 21 agencies agreed to participate in the DRMP/DEIS planning process as Cooperating Agencies (Table 5-4).

Table 5-4 Cooperating Agencies	
Federal Agencies	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U.S. Forest Service, White River National Forest
State Agencies	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colorado Department of Natural Resources • Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colorado River Water District
Local Agencies	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Denver Water Board • Garfield County • Jackson County • Town of Basalt • Town of Eagle • Town of Granby • Town of Hot Sulphur Springs • Town of Newcastle • Town of Rifle • Middle Park Conservation District 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eagle County • Grand County • Pitkin County • Town of Carbondale • City of Glenwood Springs • Town of Gypsum • Town of Kremmling • Town of Parachute • Town of Silt

The primary role of Cooperating Agencies is to provide input during the planning process on issues for which they have a special expertise or jurisdiction. The representatives meet with the BLM (the Lead Agency) periodically throughout the DRMP/DEIS planning process in order to discuss issues as a group. Cooperating Agencies are expected to participate in the planning process at the earliest possible time, including during scoping, and are available to enhance the interdisciplinary capability of the BLM by providing needed information throughout the environmental analysis process.

Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) were made and entered between the CRVFO, the KFO, and the entities who accepted the invitation to participate as Cooperating Agencies for the DRMP/DEIS. The MOUs set forth the roles and responsibilities for the Cooperating Agencies for the purposes of collaborative planning. Table 5-5 summarizes the Cooperative Agency meetings held to date concerning the KFO DRMP/DEIS.

Meeting Number	Date
KFO No.1	04/03/07
KFO No.2	05/21/07
KFO No.3	09/25/07
KFO No.4	11/08/10
KFO No.5	12/04/07
KFO No.6	01/17/08
KFO No.7	01/29/08
KFO No.8	02/19/08
KFO No.9	05/16/08
KFO No.10	07/09/08
KFO No.11	02/10/09
KFO No.12	05/06/10
KFO No.13	06/29/10

Resource Advisory Council Subcommittees

A Resource Advisory Council (RAC) is a committee, established by the Secretary of the Interior, designed to provide advice or recommendations to BLM management (BLM 2005a). Recommendations are based upon consensus building and collaboration. The Northwest RAC (NWRAC) is a 15-member panel appointed by the Secretary in order to represent constituent public land users, and to provide input on public management issues to the BLM's Designated Federal Officer (the Northwest District Manager). NWRAC members represent a broad range of interests in 3 general categories, with 5 members assigned to each category:

- **Category 1** -- Category 1 members represent commercial interests or users, such as livestock grazing, timber, mining, oil and gas, realty and ROWs, OHV groups, and Outfitter/Guides.
- **Category 2** -- Category 2 members represent environmental organizations, archaeology/historical interests, wildlife organizations, as well as those interested in such issues as wild horse and burros, and dispersed/general recreation.
- **Category 3** -- Category 3 members represent local, State, Native American tribal, or other Federal governments or agencies; academic institutions, and the public-at-large.

In November of 2006, the BLM gave the NWRAC an initial presentation on the DRMP/DEIS process. At a May 2007 NWRAC meeting, the BLM gave an additional presentation on the scoping and travel management process. During the planning

process, 2 subgroups were formed under the NWRAC in order to advise it regarding the CRVFO and KFO DRMP/DEIS. The individuals on each subgroup represent a broad range of interests, and have specific knowledge of the 2 Field Offices. The CRVFO RAC subgroup focused on all aspects of the CRVFO components of the DRMP/DEIS. The KFO RAC subgroup focused specifically on travel management and recreation issues pertinent to the KFO. Recommendations developed by these subgroups were formally presented for discussion to the NWRAC on May 22, 2008 at a public meeting of the full NWRAC. At a March 2009 meeting, the NWRAC directed the KFO subgroup to continue meeting with the BLM in order to take a “broader look” at the preliminary alternatives for the DRMP/DEIS; the environmental consequences (impacts) associated with the draft alternatives; and the BLM’s Preferred Alternative. The subgroup was directed to determine if they could reach consensus regarding the range of alternatives, and to identify any fatal flaws in the environmental consequences. The subgroup was also directed to stay involved with the BLM, and to apply this “broader look” beyond the DRMP/EIS through to the PRMP/FEIS. Table 5-6 summarizes the RAC subgroup meetings held to date concerning the KFO DRMP/DEIS.

Table 5-6 KFO RAC Subgroup Meetings	
Meeting Number	Date
KFO No 1	11/27/07
KFO No.2	12/12/07
KFO No.3	01/17/08
KFO No.4	02/11/08
KFO No.5	02/21/08
KFO No.6	02/10/09
KFO No.7	05/06/10
KFO No.8	06/29/10

Native American Tribes

Federally recognized Native American tribes have a unique legal and political relationship with the government of the United States. Executive Order (EO) 13175 requires Federal agencies to coordinate and consult on a government-to-government basis with sovereign Native American tribal governments whose interests may be directly and substantially affected by activities on federally administered lands. Other laws, rules, regulations, policies, standards, and guidelines require consultation with Native American tribes in order to identify cultural values, religious beliefs, traditional practices, and legal rights that could be affected by BLM actions on public lands. These include the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), the American Indian Religious Freedom Act of 1978 (AIRFA), the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990 (NAGPRA), DOI Secretarial Order No. 3215 (DOI 2000), 512 Department Manual Chapter 2 (DOI 1995), BLM Manual H-8160-1, Native American Coordination and Consultation (BLM 1994), and EO 13007, Indian Sacred sites.

Consultation with Native American tribes is also part of the scoping process required by the NEPA, as well as a requirement of the FLPMA. Tribal consultation regarding the CRVFO and KFO DRMP/DEIS began in April of 2007 and is ongoing. Native American Tribes and organizations consulted for the KFO DRMP/DEIS are listed in Table 5-7.

Table 5-7 Native American Tribes Consulted
Colorado Commissioner of Indian Affairs Eastern Shoshone Tribe Northern Arapahoe Tribe Northern Ute Tribe Southern Ute Tribe Ute Mountain Ute Tribe

All Native American tribes and organizations with interests in the KFO DRMP/DEIS Planning Area were contacted by mail, and were encouraged to be Cooperating Agencies. The tribes listed in Table 5-7 declined to be Cooperating Agencies. A detailed record of the contact and coordination efforts between the BLM and tribes is included in the Administrative Record. Table 5-8 summarizes consultation activities with Native American tribes since April of 2007.

Table 5-8 Native American Tribe Consultation Activities	
Date	Description of Interaction

**Table 5-8
 Native American Tribe Consultation Activities**

Date	Description of Interaction
April 3-4, 2007	Initiation of Tribal Consultation
January 12, 2009	Letter sent to Tribes requesting meeting in March of 2009 regarding project status and alternatives overview.
March 3-5, 2009	Letter sent to Tribes requesting meeting in May of 2009 regarding project status and alternatives overview.
April 2009	BLM contacted Tribes in order to request meeting to discuss DRMP/DEIS.
July 22, 2009	BLM met with the Eastern Shoshone Tribe for an update on the DRMP/DEIS status. BLM distributed Alternative Themes, Project Schedule, DRMP/DEIS Basic Information, and Planning Process Diagram documents.
August 24, 2009	KFO representatives met with the Northern Arapaho Tribal Council for an update on the DRMP/DEIS status. The discussion included alternative themes, a project schedule, and basic information and planning process diagram. Known cultural sites that could be of interest and that might merit consideration and input from the tribe in the planning process were also discussed.
January 6, 2010	KFO representatives met with the Southern Ute Tribe in order to discuss the DRMP/DEIS process.
January 26, 2010	KFO representatives met with the Northern Ute Tribe in order to discuss the DRMP/DEIS process, alternative themes, and the project schedule.
April 9, 2010	KFO representatives met with Ute Mountain Ute tribal representatives in order to discuss how best to present the DRMP/DEIS project to the Tribe.

Cultural Resources Consultation

The BLM shall provide the Colorado State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) the opportunity to participate at the development stage and all subsequent phases of land use planning in accordance with 43 CFR 1610.3 (Coordination with Other Federal Agencies, State and Local Governments, and Native American Tribes). The BLM will provide the SHPO with all land management plans (such as Resource Management Plans, Cultural Resource Management Plans, and Special Use Plans, including Fire Management Plans) and appropriate NEPA documents. Such plans will document methods to gain public input.

Special Status Species Consultation

Formal consultation with the USFWS will be conducted when the PRMP/FEIS is being prepared. A list of Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species that could inhabit

the Planning Area was received from the USFWS on March 11, 2010. The list was used in preparing the DRMP/DEIS.

Distribution List

Recipients of the newsletter, and visitors to the scoping Open Houses, were asked to specifically request to stay on the official DRMP/DEIS project mailing list if they wanted to receive future mailings. The Distribution List has been updated throughout the development of the DRMP/DEIS. The Distribution List, including agencies, organizations, and individuals who have been a part of the DRMP/DEIS process, is available in the Administrative Record. The Distribution List for the DRMP/DEIS is maintained by the KFO.

List of Preparers

An ID Team of resource specialists from the BLM and specialists from independent, third-party consulting firms prepared this DRMP/DEIS (Table 5-9). Under guidance and direction from the BLM, the team prepared alternatives, collected data for the analysis, assessed potential impacts associated with the alternatives, and prepared the other chapters of this document.

Table 5-9 List of Preparers			
Name	Years of Experience	Discipline	Education
BLM, Kremmling Field Office			
Adamson, Tom	10	Forestry	MS/Forest Management; BS/Forest Management
Belcher, Ken	28	Forestry	BS/Forest Management
Belcher, Paula	27	Soil/Water/Riparian	BS/Watershed Management
Cassel, Susan	25	Lands and Realty/Renewable Energy/Kremmling Field Office Associate Field Manager	BA/Sociology
Elliott, Kelly	9	Public Health and Safety/Visual Resources/Coal/Fluid Minerals/Locatable Minerals/ Mineral Materials/Non-Energy Leasable Minerals	MF/Forest Science BS/Environmental Studies
Gale, Dennis	28	Contract Project Manager	AS/Math and Science
Hughes, Zachary	3	Natural Resource Specialist	BS/Environmental Science
Landing, Cynthia	10	Range Management Specialist	BS/Range Management

**Table 5-9
 List of Preparers**

Name	Years of Experience	Discipline	Education
			and Ag. Education
McGuire, Megan	10	Fish and Wildlife/Areas of Critical Environmental Concern /Special Status Species/Watchable Wildlife Areas	BS/Biology, GIS Minor
Monkouski, John	9	Recreation and Visitor Services/ Comprehensive Trails and Travel Management/Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas/ National Trails and Scenic Byways	BS/Natural Resource Management
Stout, David	35	Kremmling Field Manager	MS/Park Administration; BS/Outdoor Recreation
Tibbs, Neilie	8	Vegetation/Livestock Grazing	BS/Biology
Valente, Susan	10	Geographic Information Management	BS/Natural Resources
Former BLM ID Team Members			
Johnson, Richard	30	Vegetation/Livestock Grazing	BS/Range/Forest Management
Kincaid, Justin	20	Wildland Fire and Fuels Management	BS/Forest Management
Koppa, Justin		Geographic Information Management	
Rosene, Rich	30	Forestry and Outdoor Recreation	BS/Forestry
Rupp, Frank	25	Cultural Resources/Areas of Critical Environmental Concern	BA/Psychology
Sterin, Bunny		Visual Resources, Lands with Wilderness Characteristics Outside Existing WSAs/ Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas/Wild and Scenic Rivers/Watchable Wildlife Areas/ National Trails and Scenic Byways	
Stout, Joe	10	DRMP/DEIS Project Manager	MA/Public Education, with an Emphasis in Environmental Policy and Law
Torma, Peter	10	Vegetation/ Livestock Grazing	BS/Rangeland Management
Windsor, Andy	10	Visual Resources/Recreation and Visitor Services/Lands with Wilderness Characteristics Outside Existing WSAs/ Wilderness and Wilderness Study	BA/History

**Table 5-9
 List of Preparers**

Name	Years of Experience	Discipline	Education
Areas/Wild and Scenic Rivers/ Watchable Wildlife Areas/ National Trails and Scenic Byways			
BLM, Colorado State Office			
Pranzo, Jeanette	35	Socioeconomics/Environmental Justice	MA/Economics
Smith, Roy	15	Wild and Scenic Rivers	MS/Natural Resource Management; BS/Biology and Communication
Thompson, Jay	21	Fisheries/Riparian	MS/Fisheries Biology; BS/Water Resources Management
Zahniser, Angela	5	Air Quality	MPA, BA/Environmental Policy and Natural Resource Management
Glenn, Angela	27	Lead, Planning and Environmental Coordinator	BA/Forestry Resource Management
BLM, Utah State Office			
Herr, Leonard	2	Physical Scientist/Air Quality	
USDA Forest Service TEAMS Enterprise			
Eichman, Henry		Socio-Economics	
Contractor, Tetra Tech, Inc			
Adornetto, Cynthia*	24	Project Management/Lands and Realty/Recreation and Visitor Services	MS/Environmental Policy and Mgmt.; BS/Natural Resources;
Anderson, Maren*	3	Biological Resources	BA/Ecology and Evolutionary Biology
Bayer, Kelly	16	Fish and Wildlife/Special Status Species	BS/Biology and Marine Science
Benz, Jenny*	15	Project Management/Forest and Woodlands/Lands and Realty	BA/Environmental Studies
Doyle, Kevin	25	Cultural Resources/ Paleontological Resources/ National Trails and Scenic Byways	BA/Sociology
Flood, Cameo	24	Wildland Fire and Ecology and Management/Forestry	BS/Forest Resource Management
Holmgren, Derek	9	Visual Resources/Public Health and	MPA/Environmental

**Table 5-9
 List of Preparers**

Name	Years of Experience	Discipline	Education
		Safety	Policy and Natural Resources Management MS/Environmental Science; BS/Environmental Science; BA/International Studies
Jarman, Cliff	21	Project Manager/Soils/Water Resources/Coal/Minerals/Energy and Minerals/Renewable Energy/ Locatable Minerals, Mineral Materials, Non-energy Leasable Minerals, Executive Summary	MS/Geophysics; BS/Geology
Kaiser, Genevieve	21	Comprehensive Trails and Travel Management/Socioeconomics/ Environmental Justice	MS/Energy Management and Policy; Professional Certification/GIS BA/Economics
Loscalzo, Matt	6	Lands with Wilderness Characteristics/Cave and Karst Resources/Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas/ Transportation Facilities	MS/Environmental Studies; BS/Political Science
Lynn, Neil*	6	Vegetation/Watchable Wildlife Areas/Special Status Species	BS/Wildlife Biology
Miller, Craig	18	QA/QC, Executive Summary	MS/Wildlife Biology; BS/Wildlife and Fisheries Biology
Phippen, Stephanie*	12	Energy and Minerals	MS/Geology and Watershed Science; BA/Geology
Preicko, John*	5	Renewable Energy	MURP/ Urban and Environmental Planning; BS/Environmental Studies
Prohaska, Holly*	12	Livestock Grazing	
Ricklefs, Chad*	6	Project Management Lands and Realty/Public Interaction	MURP/Environmental Planning; BA/Political Science and

**Table 5-9
 List of Preparers**

Name	Years of Experience	Discipline	Education
			Environmental Conservation
Seymour, Jill*	5	Biological Resources	BA/Biology
Steed, David*	18	Fish and Wildlife	BS/Wildlife Biology
Scully, Bob	38	Air Quality/Climate	MS/Ecology; BS/Zoology
Zaccherio, Meredith*	5	Vegetation/Livestock Grazing/ Special Designations/Areas of Critical Environmental Concern/ Watchable Wildlife Areas	MA/Biology; BS/Biology and Environmental Science
Varney, Randolph	20	Technical Editor	MFA/Writing; BA/Technical and Professional Writing
Zoidis, Ann	20	Biology Review Chapter 3 and 4	MS/Physiology and Behavioral Biology; BA/Geology
Contractor, EMPSi			
Adams, Angie	13	Project Management/Special Designations/Areas of Critical Environmental Concern/ Wilderness and Wilderness Characteristics/Lands with Wilderness Characteristics Outside of WSAs	BA/ Biology
Batts, David	19	Project Management/Public Interaction/Scoping/Alternatives	MS/Natural Resource Planning; BS/International Development
Wynant, Kate	4	Public Interaction/Scoping/Wild and Scenic Rivers	BA/Environmental Studies
Zakrowski, Jennifer	11	Scoping/Alternatives/Recreation/ Travel Management/Transportation	MSM/Project Management; BS/Public Affairs, emphasis in Natural Resource Management
Contractor, URS			
Bassett, Susan	20	Air Quality	BS/Chemical Engineering
Contractor, Alpine Archaeology			

**Table 5-9
 List of Preparers**

Name	Years of Experience	Discipline	Education
Chandler, Susan	32	Cultural Resources	MA/Archaeology
Contractor, ESA			
Manka, Mike	16	Wild and Scenic Rivers Author	BS/Biological Sciences, Ecology and Systematics
Contractor, GT Global			
Kristina Woodall	25	Professional Writing/Editing of the overall DRMP/DEIS	MA/Psychology; BA/Journalism and Political Science
* Former Tetra Tech Employee			