

Posted: \_\_\_\_\_

**U.S. Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management  
Kremmling Field Office  
P.O. Box 68  
Kremmling, CO 80459**

**CANCELLED**

## **CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION**

NUMBER: DOI-BLM-CO-120-2009-0040-CX

PROJECT NAME: Teva Mountain Games Special Recreation Permit (SRP)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T1S, R82W, sections 12, 13, 24, 23, 22, 27

APPLICANT: Nicholas Rader

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION: As part of the Teva Mountain Games fly fishing competition, the proposed action is to issue a SRP to authorize use of the Colorado River from Pumphouse to Radium for four drift boats with eight contestants and four guides. The river float would be the finals of the fly fishing competition. The float would take place on Sunday, June 7, 2009.

PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW: The Proposed Action is subject to and has been reviewed for conformance with the following plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM 1617.3):

Name of Plan: Kremmling Resource Management Plan (RMP), Record of Decision (ROD)

Date Approved: December 19, 1984; Updated February 1999

Decision Number/Page: Page 11

Decision Language: "To ensure the continued availability of outdoor recreational opportunities which the public seeks and which are not readily available from other sources, to reduce the impacts of recreational use on fragile and unique resource values, and to provide for visitor safety, and resource interpretation."

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW: The Proposed Action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under 516 DM 11, Number: ( ) ( ), " . " None of the following extraordinary circumstances in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply.

<b>Extraordinary Circumstances</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
------------------------------------	------------	-----------

2.1 Have significant impacts on public health or safety		X
2.2 Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.		X
2.3 Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA section 102(2)(E)].		X
2.4 Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.		X
2.5 Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.		X
2.6 Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.		X
2.7 Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office.		X
2.8 Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.		X
2.9 Violate a Federal Law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.		X
2.10 Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898).		X
2.11 Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007).		X
2.12 Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).		X

INTERDISCIPLINARY REVIEW:

Name	Title	Area of Responsibility	Date Review Completed
Richard Johnson	Rangeland Management Specialist	Invasive, non-native species, Vegetation	3/27/2009
Megan McGuire	Wildlife Biologist	Wildlife, T/E, and	4/27/09

		Sensitive Species	
Paula Belcher	Hydrologist	Soil, Water, Air, Riparian Resources	5/18/09

REMARKS:

Cultural Resources:

Native American Religious Concerns:

Threatened and Endangered Species: The proposed permit would not impact Endangered, Threatened, or Sensitive Species.

COMPLIANCE PLAN:

NAME OF PREPARER:

NAME OF ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR:

DATE:

ATTATCHMENTS:

DECISION AND RATIONALE: I have reviewed this CER and have decided to implement the proposed action.

This action is listed in the Department Manual as an action that may be categorically excluded. I have evaluated the action relative to the 12 criteria listed above and have determined that it does not represent an extraordinary circumstance and is, therefore, categorically excluded from further environmental analysis.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICIAL:

DATE SIGNED: