# GEOTHERMAL 101

## *Geology & Geothermal Resources*

Geothermal Leasing & Implementation Workshops
April, May and June 2009



*The “covered lagoon” used in the first half of the 19th century in the Larderello area, Italy, to collect the hot boric waters and extract the boric acid.*

# World's 1st Geothermal Power Plant



First Geothermal Power Plant, 1904, Larderello, Italy

*The engine used at Larderello in 1904 in the first experiment in generating electric energy from geothermal steam, along with its inventor, Prince Piero Ginori Conti.*

# Earth’ s main lithospheric plates and some of the world’s active volcanoes (triangles)




From USGS Circular 1249.

*Schematic cross-section showing plate tectonic processes*




Recharge area
Hot spring
or
steam vent
Cold
meteoric
waters
Geothermal well
Impermeable caprock
(thermal conduction)
Hot
fluids
Reservoir
(thermal convection)
Flow of heat
(conduction)
Impermeable rock
(thermal conduction)
Magmatic
intrusion

# Federal lands, lower 48 States, with geothermal resource potential of 60 or greater




Area within Federal lands with a geothermal resource potential of 60 or greater

Area not on Federal lands with a geothermal resource potential of 60 or greater

Lands under Federal management

Electric generating plants (42) using geothermal energy

Plants (34) using geothermal energy located on Federal lands

Ratings are heat flow in units of milliwatts per meter squared. Areas with ratings of 60 or higher have high potential for energy generation using geothermal resources

% of geothermal resource potential areas classified 60 or greater that are located on Federal lands — 46%

% of Federal lands with geothermal resource potential of 60 or greater — 70%

% of geothermal electric energy generated using geothermal resources from Federal lands — 46%

% of U.S. electric energy generated with geothermal resources — 0.4%

Source of geothermal resource potential measures:
Geothermal Lab
Department of Geological Sciences
Southern Methodist University

Source of geothermal inputs and geothermal plant locations:
EIA
EPA Egrid database (1998)

Fumarole–Mount Hood

Mount Hood

Newberry Crater





# Geothermal Systems in Nevada & Great Basin, USA






From: Great Basin Center, 2004

# Typical Basin and Range Topography



# Undiscovered Resources – Geothermal Favorability Maps

Warmer colors represent high probability for the presence of geothermal systems




USGS

# Geothermal Resources
(USGS Fact Sheet 2008-3062)

| | Identified (Mwe) | Undiscovered (Mwe) | EGS (Mwe) |
|---|---|---|---|
| – CA: | 5,140 | 9,532 | 47,100 |
| – NV: | 1,216 | 3,243 | 101,300 |
| – AK: | 606 | 1,428 | NA |
| – OR: | 485 | 1,406 | 61,500 |
| – HI: | 169 | 2,027 | NA |
| – NM: | 153 | 1,103 | 54,400 |
| – UT: | 171 | 1,088 | 46,500 |
| – MT: | 51 | 573 | 16,100 |
| – WY: | 31 | 129 | 2,900 |
| – WA: | 20 | 223 | 6,300 |
| – AZ: | 20 | 775 | 52,900 |
| – CO: | 11 | 821 | 51,300 |

# Typical Geologic Environment (Hydrothermal Reservoir)



# Hot Creek
# Mammoth Lakes, CA

# Geothermal Environments

- Hydrothermal Systems
  - High Temperature (>150°C)
    - Liquid- and Vapor -Dominated
  - Moderate Temperature ( 90 to 150°C)
    - Liquid-Dominated
  - Low Temperature (<90°C)
    - Liquid-Dominated
- Hot Dry Rock
  - Enhanced (Engineered) Geothermal System
- Cogeneration
  - Oil and Gas
  - Geopressure

# Geologic Environment

Magmatic/Volcanic

- Vapor-Dominated (The Geysers)
- Liquid-Dominated (Salton Sea, Valles Caldera, Long Valley, Coso)

Tectonic Systems (Crustal extension, rift valleys)

- High Heat Flow Settings (Dixie Valley, other Great Basin)

Volcanic

- Andesitic (Cascades)
- Basaltic (Hawaii)


Temperature (°C) 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 200 350
Spa treatment
Swimming pools
Snow melting
Fan coils
Radiators
Radiant panels/slabs
Domestic hot water
Air conditioning
Heat pump
Cereal fodder
Stable and breeding grounds
Greenhouses
Vegetable dehydration
Food processing
Soil warming and fish farming
Copper processing
Heap leaching (gold)
Sludge digestion
Concrete blocks curing
Oil recovery
Cloth drying
Wool washing
Chemical extraction
Tappenes
Pulp and paper mill
Binary power plants
Conventional power plants

# Direct Use

- Space heat (either individual buildings or whole towns)
- Rising plants in greenhouses
- Drying crops
- Heating water at fish farms
- Industrial processes, such as pasteurizing milk
- Dehydrating vegetables





Geothermal Drilling at
Capitol Building,
Boise, Idaho

# Types of Geothermal Utilization

- Direct
  - Applicant filed
  - Can be issued non-competitively if no interest after 90 days
  - State, Tribal, or Local Governments use resource without sale and for public purposes other than commercial generation of electricity
- Indirect
  - Heat energy is used indirectly, most common is Electrical Generation
  - EPAct requires nominated tracts to first go through competitive process
  - After sale goes over the counter (retains configuration for 30 days)
- Provision for Mining Claims
  - Provision in EPAct
  - Allows mining claimants to obtain a non-competitive geothermal lease to avoid “top-filing”
  - Approved mining plan of operations

# Flash Plant



# Water-cooled Condensers



# Binary Plant



# Heber 40 MW  Binary (Ormat)



# Mammoth 140 MW Binary (Ormat)



# Air Cooled – Binary - Steamboat

# Typical Wellhead – Moderate Temp

# Expansion Loop – Soda Lake

# Chena Hot Springs, AK, Gains Distributed Generation Begins in 2006

- *Commissioned July, 2006*
- *1 system, 2nd unit in Dec 06*
- *Lowest geothermal temp in world <165'F*
- *Drivers: Off-Grid, sustainable geothermal power and heat, for multiple applications*

UTC Power
A United Technologies Company

# SMU Estimated Co-Produced Geothermal Potential







| State | Total Processed Water, 2004 (bbl) | Power, MW @ 100°C | Power, MW @ 140°C | Power, MW @ 180°C |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Alabama | 203,223,404 | 18 | 47 | 88 |
| Arkansas | 258,095,372 | 23 | 59 | 112 |
| California | 5,080,065,058 | 462 | 1169 | 2205 |
| Florida | 160,412,148 | 15 | 37 | 70 |
| Louisiana | 2,136,572,640 | 194 | 492 | 928 |
| Mississippi | 592,517,602 | 54 | 136 | 257 |
| Oklahoma | 12,423,264,300 | 1129 | 2860 | 5393 |
| Texas | 12,097,990,120 | 1099 | 2785 | 5252 |
| **Totals** | **32,952,140,644** | **2,994** | **7,585** | **14,305** |

# Geopressured Demonstration Plant in Texas

Co-production geothermal fluids: Usable geothermal fluids are often found in oil and gas production fields. The Southern Methodist University Geothermal Energy Program has identified thousands of megawatts of potential energy production from hot water being coproduced with oil and gas. There are presently two geothermal co-production demonstrations underway supported by the U.S. DOE, at the Rocky Mountain Oil Test Center in Wyoming and the Jay oil field in Florida (GEA, 2008).

# *Engineered Geothermal Systems (EGS) The Energy Under Our Feet*

EGS projects produce electricity using heat extracted with engineered fluid-flow paths in hot rocks. These pathways are developed by stimulating them with cold water injected into a well at high pressure (Alta Rock Energy).



# 30 Year Land Use Comparison




*Includes mining.

**Assumes central station photovaltaic project.

***Land actually occupied by turbines and service roads.

Source: GEA




Source: National Renewable Energy Laboratory and Glitner Bank




Source: Glitner Bank

# Cost of Electricity cents/kWh




Source: California Energy Commission and Glitner Bank

# Installed Cost for Electricity Generation
# $ '000/MW




Source: California Energy Commission and Glitner Bank

# Economics: 20 $MW_{net}$ Project

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Exploration & resource assessment | $ 7.0 M |
| – 12 Months time frame | |
| 2. Well field drilling and development (12 wells) | $20.0 M |
| – 12 Months after #1 | |
| 3. Power plant, surface facilities & transmission | $35.0 M |
| – 18 Months with overlap with #2 | |
| 4. Financing, “soft costs” | $ 8.0 M |
| – Financing Commitment Fees; | |
| – Legal, Accounting & Consulting fees; | |
| – Interest during construction; | |
| – Debt service & operating reserve; and | |
| – Project contingencies & Development Fee | |
| – 12 Month process begins after completion of #1 | |
| TOTAL FINANCED COST | $70.0 M |
| TOTAL AVERAGE DEVELOPMENT PERIOD | 36 MONTHS |
| – Plus approximately 12 months to acquire federal or private lease control | |

Source: ORMAT

# Future View - IMHO

- Obama Administration supports renewable energy
  - Obama-Biden comprehensive New Energy for America Plan:

    "Ensure 10% of our electricity comes from renewable sources by 2012, and 25% by 2025"
  - PTC Extension through 2013 in Economic Stimulus Package
  - EO 13212, *Actions to Expedite Energy-Related Projects*
  - Secretarial Order 3283, Enhancing Renewable Energy Development on the Public Lands
    - Established Renewable Energy Coordination Offices to expedite renewable projects
- Geothermal is Hot !!

# Acknowledgements

Thanks to the BLM staff for their assistance in preparation of this presentation

Any questions?