

FORM 1

Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness characteristics inventory information on all or part of this area?

No (Go to Form 2)

a) Inventory Source: _____

b) Inventory Area Unique Identifier(s): _____

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): _____

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): _____

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):

Inventory Source: _____

Area Unique Identifier	Sufficient Size? Yes/No (acres)	Naturalness? Yes/No	Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No	Supplemental Values? Yes/No

FORM 2

Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics

Area Unique Identifier Pole Canyon CO-030-400 Acreage 11,700 (as proposed by The Wilderness Society)

(If the inventory area consists of subunits, list the acreage of each and evaluate each separately).

In completing steps (1)-(5), use additional space as necessary.

(1) Is the area of sufficient size? (If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check "Yes" and describe the exception in the space provided below),

Yes No Note: If "No" is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check "NA" for the remaining questions below.

Description (describe the boundaries of the area--wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc.):

The unit, as proposed, is 11,700 acres. However, the unit is bi-sected by 2 routes which meet the definition of a 'Wilderness Inventory Road' (see Appendix C): 1) The 'Indian Valley Road' which leads west off of the Dawson Draw Road (which had a BLM sign and road # at the USFS boundary, but the sign was too faded to read, though the last digit as a '2'); and 2) A road which starts along County Road 19Q at milepost 7 (referred to in this inventory as the Milepost 7 Road, which may also be BLM Road 4013, as signed at the USFS boundary. However, it was unclear based on the placement of the sign which route was assigned this #).

The western portion of the proposed unit (west of Milepost 7 Road) does not meet the size criteria (approximately 4,100 acres). The southeast portion of the unit (south and east of the Indian Valley Road) does not meet the size criteria (approximately 2,400 acres).

The remaining, central portion, of the unit does meet the size criteria (approximately 5,200 acres). This unit is essentially bounded by the Milepost 7 Road to the west, the Indian Valley Road to the southeast, the Dawson Draw Road (County Road 23G) to the west, private lands and County Road 19Q to the north, and the USFS to the south.

(2) Does the area appear to be natural?

Yes (partially) No N/A

Note: If "No" is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check "NA" for the remaining questions below.

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities):

The portion of the unit between the Dawson Draw Road (County Road 23G), the Indian Valley Road, and the eastern rim of Dawson Draw does not appear to be primarily natural. Within this portion of the unit are several vehicle ways, three small range fences, and a 300 acre (approximately) vegetative treatment (roller chop).

The remaining portion of the unit does appear to be primarily natural, with contiguous expanses of pinion-juniper forests. There are a few range improvements along the boundary (at least 3 troughs, 2 stockponds, and two developed springs), but they are not impactful to the unit as a whole. However, the remaining portion is only approximately 4,000 acres.

(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for solitude):

(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A ___ **X** _____

Note: If "No" is checked for both 3 and 4 the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check "NA" for question 5.

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational, scenic or historical value)?

Yes _____ No _____ N/A ___ **X** _____

Description: _____

Summary of Analysis

• This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.

Area Unique Identifier: Pole Canyon CO-030-400

Summary

Results of analysis:

(Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? Yes No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? Yes, but only in a remaining portion of the unit that is 4,000 acres in size once substantial impairments are removed No N/A
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? Yes No N/A
4. Does the area have supplemental values? Yes No N/A

Check one:

The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as lands with wilderness characteristics.

The area does not have wilderness characteristics.

Prepared by (team members):

Jeff Christenson, Outdoor Recreation Planner, April 22, 2015

Reviewed by (District or Field Manager):

Name: *Cecilia Christenson* Title: Field manager

Date: 4.23.15

**WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY
APPENDIX C – ROUTE ANALYSIS**

(Factors to consider when determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes.)

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Pole Canyon, CO-030-400
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Milepost 7 Road (likely also BLM Road 4013)
(Include Transportation Plan Identifier, if known, and include route number supplied by citizen information, when available.)

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map Pole Canyon CO 030 400 and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe:

This road leads south off County Road 19Q at Milepost 7. It begins in T 42 N, R 17 W, section 1 and connects to the USFS at T 42 N, R 17 W, section 23 .

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples: Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe:

This route accesses the USFS, a trough and two stockponds, and a few dispersed campsites.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes ___ No ___ Unknown X

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW? _____

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes ___ No ___ Unknown or N/A X

Explain: _____

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means:

Yes X (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked "yes" below) No ___ (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked "no" below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes X No ___

Examples: Paved ___ Bladed ___ Graveled ___ Roadside Berms ___ Cut/Fill X Other ___

Describe:

Though rocky, and perhaps impassible for an un-modified full sized vehicle in the section 13 where the road crosses extensive bedrock, there is evidence of cut and fill that indicates this route was create in a manner other than the simple passage of vehicles.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?)

Yes No If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers

Other

Describe:

There are waterbars along the entire length of the road, with the exception of about ¼ mile section that crosses bedrock. The waterbars are extensive (up to 40' long) and were created by machine.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked "yes" below) No (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked "no" below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery?

Yes No If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain:

It is unclear if the waterbars have been 'maintained' beyond their initial construction, but they are almost all still functioning well.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable?

Yes No

Explain:

As described above, there is a section of this road that is likely not passible to un-modified, full sized vehicles where the road crosses extensive bedrock. However, there were vehicle tracks along the entire length (perhaps ATV or UTV), and I (in the process of inventory) was able to find and navigate the entire length in a UTV. There are range improvements at the top/south end of the route and no known reason for the BLM not to approve maintenance at this time.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).⁶

This route is likely primarily used for hunting access and camping, particularly in the fall. IT is also likely used to access the range improvements at the top/south end of the road. There were tire tracks observed along the entire length of the road. There was a complete lack of vegetation within, or between, the treads along the entire length of the road (implying regular use), with the exception of the last 1/8 mile where the route passes through a meadow. Even there, though, the route was obvious and easy to follow. There was also litter (bottles and cans) along the route that appeared to be reasonably 'fresh'.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road No = Not a road for wilderness inventory purposes

Explanation:

It can only be assumed that the authors of the citizen's proposal did not examine this road in person, and thus failed to recognize it as a Wilderness Inventory Road. The findings approve confirm the status of this road.

Evaluator(s): Jeff Christenson, Outdoor Recreation Planner Date: 4/21/15

**WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY
APPENDIX C – ROUTE ANALYSIS**

(Factors to consider when determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes.)

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Pole Canyon, CO-030-400
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Dawson Draw Road (County Road 23G)
(Include Transportation Plan Identifier, if known, and include route number supplied by citizen information, when available.)

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map Pole Canyon CO 030 400 and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe:

This road leads south off County Road 190 and forms the eastern boundary of the Citizen Wilderness Proposal area. It begins in T 42 N, R 16 W, section 8 and connects to the USFS at T 42 N, R 16 W, section 33 .

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose⁴ (if any) of Route: (Examples: Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe:

This route accesses the USFS, stockponds, a corral, a vegetation treatment area (for big game habitat) and multiple dispersed campsites.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes ___ No ___ Unknown X ___

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW? _____

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes ___ No ___ Unknown or N/A X ___

Explain: _____

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means:

Yes X (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked "yes" below) No ___ (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked "no" below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes X No ___

Examples: Paved ___ Bladed X Graveled ___ Roadside Berms X Cut/Fill X Other ___

Describe:

The rocks in the road show evidence of machine tool marks (parallel striations). There is evidence of cut and fill that indicates this route was create in a manner other than the simple passage of vehicles. There are also berms along the length of the road.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?)

Yes No If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers

Other

Describe:

There are waterbars along the entire length of the road. The waterbars are extensive (up to 40' long) and were created by machine.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked "yes" below) No (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked "no" below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery?

Yes No If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain:

The waterbars are almost all still functioning well. The road appears to have been relatively recently bladed with intact berms along most of the length. There is no vegetation within, or between, the treads.

2. If the route or route segment is in goods condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable?

Yes No N/A: There is evidence of (recent) maintenance.

Explain:

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).⁶

This route is likely primarily used for hunting access and camping, particularly in the fall. It is also likely used to access the range improvements that are located along the Indian Valley Road (accessed via the Dawson Draw Road). There were tire tracks observed along the entire length of the road. There was a complete lack of vegetation within, or between, the treads along the entire length of the road (implying regular use. There was also litter (bottles and cans) along the route that appeared to be reasonably 'fresh'.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road No = Not a road for wilderness inventory purposes

Explanation:

This finding is in agreement with the Citizens Wilderness Proposal.

Evaluator(s): Jeff Christenson, Outdoor Recreation Planner Date: 4/21/15

**WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY
APPENDIX C – ROUTE ANALYSIS**

(Factors to consider when determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes.)

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Pole Canyon, CO-030-400

Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Milepost 1 (off County Road K20W)

(Include Transportation Plan Identifier, if known, and include route number supplied by citizen information, when available.)

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map Pole Canyon CO 030 400 and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe:

This road leads south off County Road K20W and forms the western boundary of the Citizen Wilderness Proposal area. It begins in T 43 N, R 17 W, section 34 and connects to the USFS at T 42 N, R 17 W, section 16 .

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples: Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe:

This route accesses the USFS and multiple dispersed campsites.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes ___ No ___ Unknown X

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW? _____

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes ___ No ___ Unknown or N/A X

Explain: _____

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means:

Yes X (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked "yes" below) No ___ (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked "no" below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes X No ___

Examples: Paved ___ Bladed X Graveled ___ Roadside Berms ___ Cut/Fill ___ Other ___

Describe:

This road is clearly bladed and has waterbars along the entire length. Large boulders have been removed from the tread and set along the side of the road.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?)

Yes No If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers

Other

Describe:

There are waterbars along the entire length of the road as traveled during this inventory process. The waterbars are extensive (up to 40' long) and were created by machine.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked "yes" below) No (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked "no" below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery?

Yes No If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain:

It is unclear if the waterbars have been maintained since their initial construction. However, they are almost all still functioning well. The road appears to have been relatively recently maintained as there is no vegetation within, or between, the treads. The road is reasonably rugged due to small bedrock shelves.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable?

Yes No N/A: There is evidence of (recent) maintenance.

Explain:

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).⁶

This route is likely primarily used for hunting access and camping, particularly in the fall. There were tire tracks observed along the length of the road traveled during inventory. There was a complete lack of vegetation within, or between, the treads along the entire length of the road (implying regular use).

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road No = Not a road for wilderness inventory purposes

Explanation:

This finding is in agreement with the Citizens Wilderness Proposal.

Evaluator(s): Jeff Christenson, Outdoor Recreation Planner Date: 4/20/15

**WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY
APPENDIX C – ROUTE ANALYSIS**

(Factors to consider when determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes.)

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Pole Canyon, CO-030-400
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: **Indian Valley Road (likely also BLM Road that ends in the # '2' {the decals on the fiberglass sign post at the USFS boundary were too faded to read})**

(Include Transportation Plan Identifier, if known, and include route number supplied by citizen information, when available.)

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map Pole Canyon CO_030_400 and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe:

This road leads west off County Road 23G/Dawson Draw Road about 2 miles north of the USFS boundary. It begins in T 42 N, R 16 W, section 27 and connects to the USFS at T 42 N, R 17 W, section 25 .

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples: Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe:

This route accesses the USFS, 3 fences, 2 troughs and 3 stockponds, 3 springs, and a few dispersed campsites.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes ___ No ___ Unknown X ___

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW? _____

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes ___ No ___ Unknown or N/A X ___

Explain: _____

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means:

Yes X (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked "yes" below) No ___ (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked "no" below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes X No ___

Examples: Paved ___ Bladed Graveled ___ Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other ___

Describe:

Though rocky, and perhaps impassible for an un-modified full sized vehicle in the section 30 where the road breaches the 'canyon wall' near a fire scar, there is evidence of cut and fill that indicates this route was create in a manner other than the simple passage of vehicles. There are drainage features along the majority of the road as well.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?)

Yes No ___ If "yes": by Hand Tools ___ by Machine

Examples: Culverts ___ Hardened Stream Crossings ___ Bridges ___ Drainage Barriers ___

Other ___

Describe:

There are waterbars along the entire length of the road, especially from the intersection with the Dawson Draw Road and the old burn in section 30. The waterbars are extensive (up to 40' long) and were created by machine.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked "yes" below) No ___ (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked "no" below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery?

Yes ___ No If "yes": by Hand Tools ___ by Machine ___

Explain:

It is unclear if the waterbars have been 'maintained' beyond their initial construction, but they are almost all still functioning well.

2. If the route or route segment is in goods condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable?

Yes No ___

Explain:

As described above, there is a section of this road that is likely not passible to un-modified, full sized vehicles where the road breaches the canyon wall. However, there were vehicle tracks along the entire length (perhaps ATV or UTV), and I (in the process of inventory) was able to find and navigate the entire length in a UTV. There are range improvements along the length of the route and no known reason for the BLM not to approve maintenance at this time.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No ___

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).⁶

This route is likely primarily used for hunting access and camping, particularly in the fall. It is also likely used to access the range improvements along the road. There were tire tracks observed along the entire length of the road. There was a complete lack of vegetation within,

or between, the treads along the entire length of the road (implying regular use). There was also litter (bottles and cans) along the route that appeared to be reasonably 'fresh'.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road No = Not a road for wilderness inventory purposes

Explanation:

It can only be assumed that the authors of the citizen's proposal did not examine this road in person, and thus failed to recognize it as a Wilderness Inventory Road. The findings approve confirm the status of this road.

Evaluator(s): **Jeff Christenson, Outdoor Recreation Planner** Date: **4/20/15**

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

APPENDIX D – PHOTO LOG

Photographer(s): Jeff Christenson

Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Pole Canyon, CO-030-400

Date	Photo Point #	Camera Direction	Description	GPS/UTM Location	Town-ship	Range	Sec.
4/20/15	1	SE	Looking up Dawson Draw Rd from 19Q		42N	16W	8
" "	2	SW	Looking up Dawson Draw Rd from 19Q		" "	" "	8
" "	3	NE	Looking down Dawson Draw Rd toward 19Q		" "	" "	8
" "	4	S	Looking down a Way entering into unit		" "	" "	17
" "	5	SW	"" ""		" "	" "	17
" "	6	SE	Looking up (South) into Dawson Draw proper from end of fenceline		" "	" "	17 or 20
" "	7	E	Approx. 2000' of fence running E/W. Looking from E to W from Canyon Rim back toward Dawson Draw Rd.		" "	" "	17 or 20
" "	8	SW	Looking into Roller-Chop veg treatment from Dawson Draw Rd	37 53' 38" N 108 38' 49"W			
" "	9	SW	From Dawson Draw Road to Indian Valley Road (and fence)	37 52' 37" N 108 37' 46"W			
" "	10	E	Indian Valley Road toward Dawson Draw Rd	37 53' 38" N 108 38' 49"W			
" "	11	N	2 Troughs on N side of Indian Valley Rd	37 52' 32" N 108 38' 16"W			
" "	12	N	N/S running fenceline across Indian Valley Rd	37 53' 1" N 108 40' 3"W			
" "	13	S	Rocky ledge on Indian Valley Road in		42N	16W	30

			'canyon wall breach'			
" "	14	NE	Indian Valley Road through old wildfire burn area	37 52' 42" N 108 40' 11"W		
" "	15	S/SW	Looking up Mile Post 9 'Way'	37 54' 53" N 108 40' 2"W		
" "	16	S	Looking up Mile Post 7 Road	37 55' 25" N 108 41' 25"W		
" "	17	SW	Northern end of Mile Post 6.5 'Way'	37 55' 31" N 108 42' 2"W		
" "	18	NE	Looking up Mile Post 1 Road (off of CR K20W)(western boundary of unit)	37 56' 5" N 108 43' 43"W		
4/21/15	19	W	Gate in fenceline along Mile Post 9 "Way" (also end of navigable route due to extreme erosion)	37 54' 15" N 108 40' 18"W		
" "	20	SE	Remains of Mile Post 9 "Way" at southern end	37 54' 15" N 108 40' 18"W		
" "	21	S	View from rocky point west of Mile Post 9 "Way", into heart of proposed unit	37 54' 12" N 108 40' 24"W		
" "	22	S	Mile Post 7 Road, including water-bar	37 54' 42" N 108 41' 47"W		
" "	23	S	Trough on eastern side of Mile Post 7 Road	37 53' 22" N 108 42' 10"W		
" "	24	NE	South end (near USFS boundary) of Mile Post 6.5 "Way"	37 53' 15" N 108 42' 58"W		
" "	25	SE	"Way" along USFS boundary between Mile Post 7 Road and Indian Valley Road	37 52' 28" N 108 41' 59"W		
" "	26	NW	"Way" leading NW off of Indian Valley Road	37 52' 29" N 108 40' 57"W		