



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

FORM 1

**Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics
Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No (go to Form 2) Yes (If yes, and if more than one area is within the area, list the unique identifiers of those areas):

a) **Inventory Source:** N/A

b) **Inventory Area Name(s)/Number(s):** N/A

c) **Map Name(s)/Number(s):** N/A

d) **BLM District(s)/Field Office(s):** N/A

2. BLM Inventory Finds on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):
Inventory Source: N/A

Area #/Name	Sufficient Size? Yes/No (acres)	Naturalness? Yes/No	Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No	Supplemental Values? Yes/No



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

FORM 2

Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics

Area Number/Name: Little Snake Unit 316	Acreage: 14,301 acres
---	-----------------------

(1) Is the area of sufficient size? (If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check “Yes” and describe the exception in the space provided below)

Yes No

Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (describe the boundaries of the area—wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc.):

Unit 316 is located in Township 10 North, Range 92 West; and Township 11 North, Range 91 and 92 West; and Township 12 North, Range 91 West (Ute Meridian). The Unit is bounded on the south by Moffat County Road 3 and private property. The eastern boundary is Colorado Highway 13. The small northern boundary is Moffat County Road 4. The western boundary is a combination of Unknown Name (two-track) Road and private property. Out of 12 routes surveyed, two were found to meet the definition of a Wilderness Inventory Road. These routes include Routes 01 and 10.

Wilderness Inventory Road Route 10 cuts off the northernmost section of the unit. The piece cut by this road is less than 5,000-acres, and therefore does not meet the minimum size requirement. It also lacks opportunities for solitude.

(2) Does the area appear to be natural?

Yes No N/A

Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities):

Areas within the unit, and immediately adjacent have heavy use for ranching/grazing activities and oil/gas infrastructure. The town of Baggs, Wyoming located to the north is visible from the majority of the unit.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area’s outstanding opportunities for solitude):

Areas within the unit, and immediately adjacent have heavy use for ranching/grazing activities and oil/gas infrastructure. The town of Baggs, Wyoming located to the north is visible from the majority of the unit. A network of roads and truck traffic regularly use the site and immediately adjacent areas. No topographic features exist that could isolate parts of the unit from the local land uses. Solitude is not available.

(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes No N/A

Note: If “No” is checked for both 3 and 4 the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for question 5.

Description (describe the area’s outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):

The area is broad rolling hills, but lacks large vegetation for interest or cover. Primitive and unconfined recreation could be had, but the area is not considered “outstanding” for these uses.

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational scenic or historical value)?

Yes No N/A

Description:

N/A

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Summary of Analysis

Area Name and/or Number: Little Snake Unit 316

Summary

(Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

Results of analysis:

Unit 316 is located on 15,231 acres within Moffat County. This site is located immediately adjacent to Colorado Highway 13 and heavily used Moffat County Roads 3 and 4. The western boundary is a dirt 2-track through BLM land.

This unit is being recommended for disqualification due to the inability to meet the criteria for wilderness characteristics. This recommendation is based on the following:

- From the eastern boundary and all ridges on the eastern side of the site Highway 13 is visible and at times audible.
- A large ranching complex lies on private property just east of the site boundary along with several smaller houses within view.
- The northern end of the site is Moffat County Road 4 which receives heavy traffic including semi trucks. No topographic features exist to buffer the site/sound of this road from the unit.
- Oil and gas facilities exist north of Moffat County Road 4 and are visible from the ridges running through Unit 316.
- The town of Baggs, Wyoming is visible from the ridge lines and from several valleys on Unit 316.
- The southern boundary of Unit 316 is Moffat County Road 3 which has some vehicle traffic to small houses, farms, and irrigated agriculture.
- Agricultural fields are visible from the southern portion of the site to the southeast, southwest, and south. Tilling/harvesting would likely be visible and audible from most of Unit 316.
- There are several man-made features within Unit 316 itself including oil and gas wells and associated infrastructure, and rangeland infrastructure including stock tanks and cattle ponds.
- Every ridge and a great deal of the open valleys facilitate views of human-made features and impacts.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? Yes No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No N/A
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities of solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? Yes No N/A
4. Does the area have supplemental values? Yes No N/A

Check one:

The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as Land with Wilderness Characteristics (LWC).

The area does not have wilderness characteristics.

Prepared by (team members):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/19/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/19/2012

(Name, Title, Date)

Reviewed by (District or Field Manager):

Name:

Title:

Date:

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 01

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 01 branches south from Moffat County Road 4 for 0.25 miles leading to a non-working oil infrastructure. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route was used expressly to access the oil pad. There are a couple two-tracks spurring from the route that are/were used for the same purpose.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW? Oil pipeline/oil pad.

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain: In remediation around the pipeline; oil pad still in active state.

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: This route was bladed and had gravel put down. There is also a culvert.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: There is a culvert located along Route 01 for drainage, probably from the oil pad.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Should access be needed to the oil pad or pipeline, maintenance would be approved.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

This route probably receives light seasonal use. However, the tracks are difficult to discern on the route.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 02

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 02 branches east off of Route 01 as a two-track through sagebrush for 1/8-mile. It dead ends near an oil pipeline. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route 02 is used primarily for access to an oil pipeline.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain: This route is no longer necessary unless the oil company needs to access the buried pipeline.

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Only in the event the oil company needs to access the buried pipeline.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

There are no recent tracks or signs of use on route. However, the route is still in good condition and probably receives 1-2 trips every 1-3 years.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 03

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 03 branches south from the northwestern boundary road for approximately 0.5 miles and dead ends at Route 05. This is a two-track route, and oil and gas infrastructure can be seen to the north while on route. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route is mostly used for range management and recreation such as hunting and OHV use.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route serves no current purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

This route did not have any tracks or sign of recent use. Route probably receives 1-2 trips per 2-3 years.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 04

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route branches east off of northwest boundary road, curves south, and is eventually reclaimed by sage directly west of working ranch. Ranch is directly visible from this location. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route is most likely used for ranching/livestock purposes, but hunters likely use it as well.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

No evidence of vehicular traffic exists on this route.

IV. CONCLUSION:



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 05

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 05 branches south from Route 03 through sagebrush habitat ending in one mile at Route 07. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route is used mainly for recreation, including hunting and OHV use. Also possibly used for range management, but there were no current signs of livestock in the area.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

No signs of recent use observed along the route. Route likely receives 1-2 trips per 1-3 years.

IV. CONCLUSION:



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 06

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 06 branches off the northwest boundary road and ends at private land on the east side of the unit. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Possible current uses are ranching/livestock management and recreation (hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers
 Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several sets of old tire tracks were evident on this route; likely receives 8-10 trips per year.

IV. CONCLUSION:



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 07

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 07 branches southwest off of Route 06 and continues southwest for approximately 0.5 miles. Route also runs northeast of Route 06 but has not been used recently and is in remediation with sagebrush growing in tracks. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route is probably used for recreation (hunting and OHV use), range management, and as a connection between other two-tracks in the area.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?
Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?
Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?
Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose. Other routes are available for access to the area.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Route 07 does not show signs of recent use. This route probably receives light seasonal traffic associated with hunting (1-2 trips per 2-3 years).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 08

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 08 branches off of Route 06, heads southwest, crosses Route 09, and is eventually reclaimed by sagebrush. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Possibly current uses are for access to ranching/livestock management and recreational activities (hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?
Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?
Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?
Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several sets of fresh tire tracks were evident on this route; likely receives 8-10 trips per year.

IV. CONCLUSION:



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 09

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 09 branches east/southeast from the western boundary road for approximately one mile ending at Route 10. On this route, Colorado Highway 13 and a large private ranch can be seen to the east, and oil and gas facilities are visible to the north. A cattle pond is located on the route as well. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route 09 is used mainly for recreation associated with hunting and for range management, indicated by the cattle pond.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route leads to a cattle pond. Maintenance would not be minimal on this road as it is located on flat, sparsely vegetated topography.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

This track is used heavily on a year round basis indicated by tire treads throughout (5-15 trips per year).

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 10

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route runs east/west along a fence line from private land east of Unit 316 to State land west of the unit. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Possible current uses include ranching/livestock management, recreational activities (hunting), and fence maintenance.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: This route had been graveled a long time ago; no current maintenance.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route may be used for fence maintenance; route is in pretty good shape.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several sets of tracks (new and old) appear; this route may receive regular use (2-3 trips per month).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 11

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 11 branches east from Unit 316's western boundary, then turns south and runs parallel to boundary for approximately 4.5 miles before exiting the site boundary. Two manmade features (stock tanks and cattle pond) were observed along the route. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route is primarily used for range management associated with livestock as indicated by the stock tanks and cattle pond, although no livestock were sighted. Also possibly used for recreation, such as hunting and OHV use.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: There are other options for access to rangeland improvements in this area.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Route 11 showed recent use with tire treads in the two-tracks and full stock tanks (3-5 trips per 1-2 years).

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 316
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 12

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 12 branches south off of northwest boundary road, crosses Route 11, and continues south along the boundary until it reaches the Unit’s southern boundary. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Possible current uses are for ranching/livestock management and recreational activities (hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Although there were no tire tracks observed, the route was deeply rutted for most of its length. May be used only once annually or less.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/18/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/18/2012



Field Office: LITTLE SNAKE	COLORADO
Unit: 316	

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management
 From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
10/18/2012	MP4ALS316_001_N	N	12N 4540906.9221N 782451.3238E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.22
10/18/2012	MP4ALS316_002_SW	SW	12N 4539530.5621N 781556.4718E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.22
10/18/2012	MP4ALS316_003_N	N	12N 4534983.1216N 779355.7184E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.18
10/18/2012	MP4ALS316_004_W	W	12N 4532711.5673N 777483.2039E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.24
10/19/2012	MP4ALS316_005_E	E	12N 4535035.9967N 782433.139E	TWN011N	RNG091W	Sec.10
10/19/2012	MP4ALS316_006_N	N	12N 4534996.8276N 782975.5605E	TWN011N	RNG091W	Sec.10
10/19/2012	MP4ALS316_007_N	N	12N 4535168.1366N 782116.6251E	TWN011N	RNG091W	Sec.10
10/18/2012	OP4ALS316_001_E	E	12N 4539673.6994N 782835.041E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.24
10/18/2012	OP4ALS316_002_N	N	12N 4531670.8033N 777676.1803E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.26
10/18/2012	OP4ALS316_003_E	E	12N 4531673.4654N 777675.7516E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.26
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_004_S	S	12N 4534590.8496N 779744.4623E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.18
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_005_W	W	12N 4534594.7321N 779738.3275E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.18
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_006_NW	NW	12N 4534598.7365N 779739.6892E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.18
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_007_NE	NE	12N 4534931.2234N 780462.1393E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.17
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_008_S	S	12N 4527974.7158N 779119.9834E	TWN010N	RNG092W	Sec.2
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_009_SE	SE	12N 4527975.2347N 779119.2003E	TWN010N	RNG092W	Sec.2
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_010_E	E	12N 4527974.9731N 779118.6882E	TWN010N	RNG092W	Sec.2
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_011_S	S	12N 4528915.2565N 778398.4499E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.34
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_012_N	N	12N 4532445.7462N 777136.1386E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.24

Continued on Next Page

PHOTO LOG (Continued)

Field Office: LITTLE SNAKE
Unit: 316

COLORADO

U.S Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands

Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_013_SE	SE	12N 4531036.9474N 779180.6882E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.30
10/19/2012	OP4ALS316_014_NW	NW	12N 4531036.6501N 779180.3153E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.30
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_001_N	N	12N 4540977.5486N 782494.9726E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.22
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_002_W	W	12N 4541151.2675N 782855.2368E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.24
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_003_N	N	12N 4540090.7222N 782504.9419E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.22
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_004_N	N	12N 4540253.8589N 782803.9985E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.24
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_005_N	N	12N 4539319.0948N 782143.0597E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.30
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_006_NW	NW	12N 4539248.8204N 783095.848E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.26
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_007_NE	NE	12N 4539169.109N 781947.9884E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.30
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_008_NE	NE	12N 4539057.3349N 781789.9119E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.30
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_009_NW	NW	12N 4538676.0857N 781296.0816E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.30
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_010_E	E	12N 4538692.414N 781917.3002E	TWN012N	RNG091W	Sec.30
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_011_NE	NE	12N 4532123.6651N 777168.8044E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.24
10/18/2012	RP4ALS316_012_N	N	12N 4531691.8599N 777678.5988E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.26
10/19/2012	RP4ALS316_013_W	W	12N 4536304.4964N 779265.894E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.10
10/19/2012	RP4ALS316_014_W	W	12N 4536192.1692N 779255.8178E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.10
10/19/2012	RP4ALS316_015_E	E	12N 4534929.1409N 780463.2039E	TWN011N	RNG092W	Sec.17