



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

**FORM 1**

**Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics  
Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record**

**1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?**

No  (go to Form 2) Yes  (If yes, and if more than one area is within the area, list the unique identifiers of those areas):

- a) **Inventory Source:** N/A
- b) **Inventory Area Name(s)/Number(s):** N/A
- c) **Map Name(s)/Number(s):** N/A
- d) **BLM District(s)/Field Office(s):** N/A

**2. BLM Inventory Finds on Record:**

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):  
Inventory Source: N/A

Area #/Name	Sufficient Size? Yes/No (acres)	Naturalness? Yes/No	Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No	Supplemental Values? Yes/No



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

**FORM 2**

**Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics**

Area Number/Name: Little Snake Unit 291	Acreage: 9,607 acres
---	----------------------

(1) Is the area of sufficient size? (If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check “Yes” and describe the exception in the space provided below)

Yes  No

Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (describe the boundaries of the area—wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc.):

Unit 291 is located in Township 11 North, Range 95 West; and Township 12 North, Range 95 West (Ute Meridian). The southeastern boundary of Unit 291 is jagged and is a combination of private land and public lands boundaries along private land and Moffat County Road 4. The western boundary is Moffat County Road 21 from Moffat County Road 4 to the Colorado/Wyoming border. The northern boundary is the Colorado/Wyoming border. Out of 17 routes surveyed, four routes were found to meet the definition of a Wilderness Inventory Road. These routes include Route 01, 06, 09, and 16.

Wilderness Inventory Road Route 01 cuts off the southern section of the unit. The piece cut by this road is less than 5,000 acres, and therefore does not meet the size requirement. A combination of Routes 09 and 16 cut off a small portion of the unit in the northwestern corner. This piece is less than 5,000 acres and does not meet the minimum size requirement. This section is also excluded due to its lack of opportunities for solitude. The remaining area of the unit still meets the 5,000-acre size requirement.

(2) Does the area appear to be natural?

Yes  No  N/A

Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities):

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Two major ridges run east/west on either side of Powder Wash and the Little Snake River runs along the southeast boundary. Some ridgelines have moderate relief and “badlands” characteristics, but most are not large resistant rock cliffs. Vegetation is sagebrush and scrub/shrub in the washes and riparian areas. There is also pinyon-juniper community in the far northern reaches of the unit and on higher ridges. The majority of land within the unit is used for rangeland activity including livestock grazing. Recreational activities include camping, off-road vehicle use and hunting. There is a gas pipeline and infrastructure in the far northern portion of the unit.

Within Unit 291 seventeen routes were identified. Most of these routes do not qualify as Wilderness Inventory Roads with the exception of Routes 01, 06, 09 and 16 which were constructed and are maintained. These roads are mostly used for recreation, livestock management, and pipeline ROW maintenance.

(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes  No  N/A

Description (describe the area’s outstanding opportunities for solitude):

The majority of the area provides excellent opportunity for solitude. Anywhere north of Moffat County Road 132, east of Moffat County Road 21N, or south of Moffat County Road 21E is desolate and quiet. Farm/ranching to the south of Moffat County Road 132 (including traffic on Moffat County Road 4), traffic on Moffat County Road 21N, and oil and gas infrastructure north of Moffat County Road 21E detracts from the solitude. A buffer around these active areas has been established.

(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes  No  N/A

Note: If “No” is checked for both 3 and 4 the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for question 5.

Description (describe the area’s outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

The far eastern and central portions are both excellent for unconfined recreation. The east has the confluence of Powder Wash and the Little Snake River with high badlands hills. The central portion is undisturbed, except for livestock grazing.

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational scenic or historical value)?

Yes  No  N/A

Description:

Supplemental values not observed.

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## Summary of Analysis

**Area Name and/or Number:** Little Snake Unit 291

### Summary

(Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

### Results of analysis:

Situated on several ridges on either side of Powder Wash and the Little Snake River, this sagebrush pinion juniper covered area of moderate relief hills offers outstanding opportunities for primitive recreation. The majority of land within the unit is used for rangeland activity including livestock grazing. Recreational activities include camping, off-road vehicle use and hunting.

There is a gas pipeline and associated infrastructure in the far northern portion of the unit, and the unit boundary has been adjusted appropriately to exclude these areas that do not present opportunities for solitude.

Wilderness Inventory Road Route 01 cuts off the southern section of the unit. The piece cut by this road is less than 5,000 acres, and therefore does not meet the size requirement. A combination of Routes 09 and 16 cut off a small portion of the unit in the northwestern corner. This piece is less than 5,000 acres and does not meet the minimum size requirement. This section is also excluded due to its lack of opportunities for solitude (as described above). The remaining area of the unit still meets the 5,000-acre size requirement.

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements?  Yes  No
2. Does the area appear to be natural?  Yes  No  N/A
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities of solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation?  Yes  No  N/A
4. Does the area have supplemental values?  Yes  No  N/A



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Check one:

The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as Land with Wilderness Characteristics (LWC).

The area does not have wilderness characteristics.

**Prepared by (team members):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/13/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/13/2012

**(Name, Title, Date)**

**Reviewed by (District of Field Manager):**

**Name:**

**Title:**

**Date:**



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 01 – Moffat County Road 132

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 01 is Moffat County Road 132, which is located east-west along a ridgeline between the Powder Wash and the Little Snake River. Route 01 is approximately 4 miles long. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route is used to access livestock range; also used for various recreation activities, including hunting, camping, and wildlife observation. This route is a Moffat County road and is maintained as such. See attached photo log.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: The route is bladed and graded with several spots cut from the hillside.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: Route is bladed regularly.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route is a marked Moffat County road.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Route 01 contains several vehicle tracks indicating regular year round use. This is a regularly maintained county road.



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

#### IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

#### Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 02

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 02 heads south from Moffat County Road 132 (Route 01) and meanders down a hill (badlands) to a cliff where the route is washed out, along the banks of the Little Snake River. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Possible current uses include ranching/livestock management, recreational activities (hunting), and access to the Little Snake River.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?  
Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW? 3T

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?  
Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?  
Yes  (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S. Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: Route is cut into the hillsides as it winds down.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route is in poor shape and would require considerable resources to improve.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Three or four sets of tire tracks were observed along the route. Tracks were fairly old (3-6 months).



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

**IV. CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 03

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 03 branches south off of Moffat County Road 21 through heavy sagebrush. After approximately 0.75 miles, the route turns to a livestock trail. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route is likely used for ranching activities such as livestock management, and possible recreational use (hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S. Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route does not gain access to any facility or residence.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Tracks observed on route; probably receives light seasonal use from hunting activities.

#### IV. CONCLUSION:



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 04

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 04 branches south off of Moffat County Road 21N and follows a fence line down to Powder Wash where it ends after one mile. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Current purpose of the route is possibly for fence maintenance.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: No practical purpose for improving this route.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

No tire tracks were observed.

**IV. CONCLUSION:**



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 05

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 05 branches east off of Moffat County Road 21 and turns south. Route ends in sagebrush after approximately one mile. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route is mainly used for recreation, such as hunting, OHV use, and camping. Route is also possibly used for access to livestock and other rangeland activities.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose; no reason for BLM maintenance.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

OHV tracks on the route suggest recent use, likely light and seasonal (possibly 2-5 trips per year).



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

**IV. CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## **WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY**

### **ROUTE ANALYSIS**

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 06

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 06 branches east off of Moffat County Road 21N, then winds east and south from the top of the ridge to a bench above Powder Wash. See attached photo log.

### **II. ROUTE CONTEXT**

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Possible current uses are access to gas infrastructure or recreational activities (hunting and wildlife observation).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### **III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA**

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: Route is bladed and graveled; the first one-third of the route is used for access to gas infrastructure.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: Route is bladed and graveled.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Maintenance would be approved if there is a need to access the gas infrastructure.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Numerous tracks going in and out were observed; some were likely to access gas infrastructure for maintenance.



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

#### IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

#### Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 07

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 07 branches south from Moffat County Road 21 and turns west up to a ridgeline. Route dead ends after approximately 1.5 miles east. Erosional washout occurs at approximately one mile in. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route is mainly used for recreational activities, including OHV use, hunting, and camping. Possibly also used for occasional access to livestock and rangeland activities.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: This route does not lead to any facility or transmission lines and would not require BLM maintenance.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

No tire tracks observed; no signs of recent use.



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

**IV. CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 08

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 08 branches southeast off of Route 07 and turns south for approximately one mile. Route dead-ends on a rocky outcrop. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route is used mainly for recreation activities, such as hunting, wildlife observation, OHV use, and camping. No signs of livestock or livestock management related activities in this immediate area.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: The route does not lead to facilities or any structure that requires maintenance.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

OHV tracks were observed on the route, which were relatively fresh. Route probably receives light seasonal use (1-2 trips per year).



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

#### IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

#### Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 09 – Moffat County Road 21E

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 09 (Moffat County Road 21E) branches east off of Moffat County Road 21 at the north end of the unit. Route is approximately two miles long with a small spur leading to a manmade feature. It dead ends at a small ravine. Route 09 is a constructed and maintained gravel road for the first 0.25 miles to access gas infrastructure; it then turns to a dirt two-track route for the remainder. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route was most likely built mainly for access to gas infrastructure. It could also be used for recreation (hunting and camping) and livestock management (fence maintenance and cattle grazing).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: The first 0.25 miles of the route is graveled. The entire route is constructed and most is maintained with the exception of the far eastern side.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: Route is continually bladed and graveled.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Access is needed for oil and gas infrastructure.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Graveled road and grading are performed; tire tracks are also present. Route likely receives 4-6 trips per year.

**IV. CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 10

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 10 starts approximately 1.5 miles east on Route 09 and branches south along a fence line curving around east and north to a capped oil/gas well and reclaimed pad. Route continues east to a small plateau; total length of route is approximately one mile. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route is used mainly for recreational purposes (hunting and camping). It was historically utilized to access an oil/gas well that is now reclaimed and capped.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW? Access to oil/gas well

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route does not lead to any active facilities.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

No tire tracks were visible to indicate regular use.



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

#### IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above. While this historically may have been a road, natural reclamation has removed all road characteristics.

#### Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 11

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 11 runs perpendicularly to Route 09 crossing 100 feet west of Route 10. Route runs north/south along a fence line. At the southern end, it dead ends on a plateau above Powder Wash. At the northern end, it ends at a ravine approximately 100 meters from the Colorado/Wyoming state border. Route is approximately two miles in length. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route is used for ranching activities including livestock grazing and fence repair and maintenance.

#### B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Fence maintenance does not constitute reason for BLM maintenance.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Old tire tracks indicate that the route does get light use annually (approximately 1-3 trips per year).

**IV. CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 12

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 12 branches south to a reclaimed oil/gas well pad and is approximately 0.25 miles in length. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route 12 was originally used to access an oil pad that is now reclaimed.

#### B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: Route was originally bladed but is now in the reclamation process.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of current mechanical maintenance. Route was bladed at one time when it was in use but is now in the reclamation process.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: No access necessary; route no longer serves a practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

No tire tracks or bent vegetation were observed.

**IV. CONCLUSION:**



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 13

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 13 branches to the southeast from Route 09 and connects with Route 11 crossing Route 12; route runs for approximately 0.75 miles. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route is most likely used for ranching purposes including fence maintenance; also possibly used for recreation activities, including hunting.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route does not access any facilities or pipelines/transmission lines.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

No tire tracks or new wear to this route.

**IV. CONCLUSION:**



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 14

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 14 branches south from Moffat County Road 21E. The route winds its way down to the south/southeast until it reaches the Little Snake River. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Possibly current uses include ranching/livestock management and recreational activities (hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: Route is cut into hillsides as it winds down the buttes.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose; improvements are not necessary.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several sets of fresh tire tracks were observed along the entire length of the route.

**IV. CONCLUSION:**



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## **WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY**

### **ROUTE ANALYSIS**

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 15

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 15 splits off of Route 14 at the Powder Wash and heads up the ridge on the south side of the wash, where it joins Moffat County Road 132 on top of a ridge. See attached photo log.

### **II. ROUTE CONTEXT**

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Possible current uses include ranching/livestock management and recreational activities (hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?  
Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?  
Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### **III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA**

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?  
Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: The upper part of the route is partially constructed; the route is cut into the hillside.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Route is nearly washed out in several areas going up the hill.

**IV. CONCLUSION:**



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 16

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 16 splits off of Moffat County Road 21E (Route 09) and is oriented northwest to a compressor station. At the compressor station, the route turns northeast until it comes to a ravine that is impassable but is very close to the boundary and the Colorado/Wyoming state border. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Possible current uses include access to utilities (gas infrastructure), recreational activities (hunting), and ranching/livestock management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW? Access to natural gas compressor station.

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: The first half of the route leads to gas infrastructure and was originally bladed and graveled.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: The first half of the route is maintained for access to gas pipeline infrastructure.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route would be approved by the BLM for maintenance for the need to gain access to gas pipeline infrastructure.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Evidence of relatively regular use is mostly to the gas infrastructure.

**IV. CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 291  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 17

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route branches south off of gas pipeline infrastructure pad (Route 16) and continues south across Moffat County Road 21E to a capped well. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Possible current uses include ranching/livestock management and recreational activities (hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose; no need to improve. Other local routes provide better access to oil/gas infrastructure.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

No evidence of vehicle use on this route was observed.



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

#### IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

#### Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/12/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/12/2012



<b>Field Office:</b> LITTLE SNAKE	COLORADO
<b>Unit:</b> 291	

U.S Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management  
 From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
10/10/2012	MP4ALS291_001_W	W	12N 4541395.7307N 746458.9574E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.10
10/12/2012	MP4ALS291_002_N	N	12N 4542346.2387N 749695.0001E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.2
10/12/2012	MP4ALS291_003_SW	SW	12N 4542476.1364N 750216.6354E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.2
10/12/2012	MP4ALS291_004_N	N	12N 4542837.0391N 749299.4431E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.2
10/10/2012	OP4ALS291_001_SE	SE	12N 4535381.7849N 744398.8353E	TWN011N	RNG095W	Sec.10
10/10/2012	OP4ALS291_002_NW	NW	12N 4537002.4993N 745705.8867E	TWN011N	RNG095W	Sec.3
10/10/2012	OP4ALS291_003_NE	NE	12N 4537008.2458N 745708.6137E	TWN011N	RNG095W	Sec.3
10/10/2012	OP4ALS291_004_SW	SW	12N 4536993.4942N 745713.1344E	TWN011N	RNG095W	Sec.3
10/10/2012	OP4ALS291_005_NE	NE	12N 4537874.6375N 747901.5574E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.20
10/10/2012	OP4ALS291_006_SE	SE	12N 4537865.5231N 747908.6132E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.20
10/10/2012	OP4ALS291_007_NE	NE	12N 4540484.5101N 746927.714E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.16
10/10/2012	OP4ALS291_008_NW	NW	12N 4540482.9759N 746930.2147E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.16
10/10/2012	OP4ALS291_009_SE	SE	12N 4540479.1275N 746926.36E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.16
10/10/2012	OP4ALS291_010_W	W	12N 4541044.3015N 748513.8584E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.12
10/12/2012	OP4ALS291_011_NE	NE	12N 4541664.6932N 752393.3661E	TWN012N	RNG094W	Sec.7
10/12/2012	OP4ALS291_012_E	E	12N 4541674.0037N 752379.2031E	TWN012N	RNG094W	Sec.7
10/12/2012	OP4ALS291_013_NW	NW	12N 4541054.3639N 751008.5222E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.9
10/12/2012	OP4ALS291_014_SW	SW	12N 4540744.9985N 750897.1708E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.9
10/12/2012	OP4ALS291_015_S	S	12N 4540900.5294N 750193.8743E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.11

Continued on Next Page

## PHOTO LOG (Continued)

<b>Field Office:</b> LITTLE SNAKE
<b>Unit:</b> 291

COLORADO

U.S Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands

Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
10/12/2012	OP4ALS291_016_E	E	12N 4543047.8781N 748658.2602E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.1
10/10/2012	RP4ALS291_001_E	E	12N 4537405.5618N 746835.239E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.24
10/10/2012	RP4ALS291_002_NW	NW	12N 4536145.8562N 746031.2253E	TWN011N	RNG095W	Sec.5
10/10/2012	RP4ALS291_003_N	N	12N 4539767.3759N 745649.6618E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.17
10/10/2012	RP4ALS291_004_N	N	12N 4539863.6555N 746205.1976E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.16
10/10/2012	RP4ALS291_005_NE	NE	12N 4537978.2865N 746142.6782E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.24
10/10/2012	RP4ALS291_006_W	W	12N 4540748.3977N 746775.0759E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.10
10/10/2012	RP4ALS291_007_S	S	12N 4541460.842N 748648.82E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.15
10/10/2012	RP4ALS291_008_S	S	12N 4540325.1458N 749236.2493E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.15
10/12/2012	RP4ALS291_009_E	E	12N 4542072.2372N 748733.5988E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.12
10/12/2012	RP4ALS291_010_S	S	12N 4541994.2382N 751405.9405E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.9
10/12/2012	RP4ALS291_011_S	S	12N 4542063.675N 751414.2376E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.9
10/12/2012	RP4ALS291_012_N	N	12N 4541669.7254N 750906.2637E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.9
10/12/2012	RP4ALS291_013_NW	NW	12N 4541664.9476N 751055.5968E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.9
10/12/2012	RP4ALS291_014_S	S	12N 4542305.2412N 750220.4056E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.2
10/12/2012	RP4ALS291_015_S	S	12N 4539064.7619N 750237.1846E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.15
10/12/2012	RP4ALS291_016_N	N	12N 4542679.1676N 749338.8729E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.2
10/12/2012	RP4ALS291_017_SE	SE	12N 4542833.5232N 749314.3635E	TWN012N	RNG095W	Sec.2