



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

FORM 1

**Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics
Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No (go to Form 2) Yes (If yes, and if more than one area is within the area, list the unique identifiers of those areas):

a) Inventory Source: N/A

b) Inventory Area Name(s)/Number(s): N/A

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): N/A

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): N/A

2. BLM Inventory Finds on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):
Inventory Source: N/A

Area #/Name	Sufficient Size? Yes/No (acres)	Naturalness? Yes/No	Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No	Supplemental Values? Yes/No



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

FORM 2

Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics

Area Number/Name: Little Snake Unit 479	Acreage: 13,383 acres
---	-----------------------

(1) Is the area of sufficient size? (If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check “Yes” and describe the exception in the space provided below)

Yes No

Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (describe the boundaries of the area—wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc.):

This unit is very irregularly shaped. The shape of the unit forms a large “U” with the width of the unit varying from several miles wide at the south end, to large portions at the northeast and northwest corners that are ¼ mile wide or less. This “spaghetti noodle” geometry makes it so that the unit isn’t isolated from outside land uses, and private lands are frequently within view. During this survey, adjacent private lands were observed to have minimal land use, limited to primarily grazing and ranching activities. However, the distinct potential exists that if adjacent private lands changed their land use, solitude would no longer be available on Unit 479.

The western boundary of this unit is BLM Road 1509, and the south/southeastern boundary is the Little Snake/White River Field Office boundary. The eastern boundary road is partially BLM 1512. The majority of the northern and eastern boundaries are a combination of private land segments. These private land boundaries can be identified by fence lines. Of 26 routes surveyed, 2 were found to meet the definition of a Wilderness Inventory Road. These routes include Route 04 and 08.

Wilderness Inventory Road Route 04 cuts off the left side of the “U” shaped unit. The piece cut by this road is less than 5,000 acres, and therefore does not meet the size requirement. This excludes the part of the unit that passes through the TransWest Proposed Project area.

The northeastern portion of Unit 479 was not accessible for survey, as all current roads passed through private lands with many “No Trespassing” signs.

(2) Does the area appear to be natural?

Yes No N/A

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities):

Unit 479 exists in Moffat County southeast of Elk Springs, Colorado. This unit is located in Township 3 North, Range 97 West; and Township 4 North, Range 97 and 98 West; and Township 5 North, Range 97 and 98 West (Ute Meridian). The unit is BLM-owned with many private land segments interspersed (cut out), giving the unit its irregular shape.

The topography and vegetation of this area consists of many high ridges with pinyon-juniper breaking up the unit with grassland valleys below. On the western edge is moderate to severe fire damage that may be 1-2 years old.

Human uses observed on this unit are mainly of a recreational nature (hunting, off-road driving, camping, hiking, and wildlife observation). Hunting is the major recreational activity taking place on this site; many active hunters were observed daily. The routes on this site are well used during hunting season. Many concentrated use sites were found within pinyon-juniper cover, and OHV tracks were seen on some two-track routes. The unit shows limited use for ranching/grazing; bermed cattle ponds were observed in the southern portion.

(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area’s outstanding opportunities for solitude):

This unit contains many high ridgelines and valleys below that eliminate both noise and visual evidence of outside activity, with the exception of the northwest portion of the unit. The size of the unit also allows for solitude even when several people are within the unit. The expansive views show natural habitat and the routes running through the site are mostly used seasonally for hunting only.

Area on the northwestern portion should be excluded where traffic on Highway 40 can be both seen and heard. This area has already been excluded due to not meeting the size requirement.

(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes No N/A



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Note: If “No” is checked for both 3 and 4 the area does not have wilderness characteristics;
check “NA” for question 5.

Description (describe the area’s outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined
recreation):

The unit provides excellent opportunities for primitive recreation due to the size and topography
of the unit, such as hunting, camping, hiking, and wildlife observation. The unit is home to herds
of elk and other wildlife.

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of
scientific, educational scenic or historical value)?

Yes No N/A

Description:

No supplemental values observed.

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Summary of Analysis

Area Name and/or Number: Little Snake Unit 479

Summary

(Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

Results of analysis:

Unit 479 exists in Moffat County southeast of Elk Springs, Colorado. The topography and vegetation consists of many high ridges with pinyon-juniper breaking up the unit with grassland valleys below. This unit is very irregularly shaped. The shape of the unit forms a large “U” with the width of the unit varying from several miles wide at the south end, to large portions at the northeast and northwest corners that are ¼ mile wide or less. This “spaghetti noodle” geometry makes it so that the unit isn’t isolated from outside land uses, and private lands are frequently within view. During this survey, adjacent private lands were observed to have minimal land use, limited to primarily grazing and ranching activities. However, the distinct potential exists that if adjacent private lands changed their land use, solitude would no longer be available on Unit 479.

Wilderness Inventory Road Route 04 cuts off the left side of the “U” shaped unit. The piece cut by this road is less than 5,000 acres, and therefore does not meet the size requirement. This excludes the part of the unit that passes through the TransWest Proposed Project area.

Human uses observed on are mainly of a recreational nature (hunting, off-road driving, camping, hiking, and wildlife observation). Hunting is the major recreational activity taking place on this site; many active hunters were observed daily. The routes on this site are well used during hunting season. Many concentrated use sites were found within pinyon-juniper cover, and OHV tracks were seen on some two-track routes.

This unit contains many high ridgelines and valleys below that eliminate both noise and visual evidence of outside activity, with the exception of the northwest portion of the unit. The size of the unit also allows for solitude even when several people are within the unit. The expansive views show natural habitat and the routes running through the site are mostly used seasonally for hunting only.

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? Yes No



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

2. Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No N/A

3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities of solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? Yes No N/A

4. Does the area have supplemental values? Yes No N/A

Check one:

The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as Land with Wilderness Characteristics (LWC).

The area does not have wilderness characteristics.

Prepared by (team members):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

(Name, Title, Date)

Reviewed by (District of Field Manager):

Name:

Title:

Date:

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 01

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 01 heads east from western boundary road BLM Road 1509 through grassland for approximately 0.25 miles to overlook a valley below, where oil and gas infrastructure/range management facilities (barn) are visible. Trucks were observed on this route every day, including one within the unit. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is currently used for recreational activities (predominantly hunting), which was indicated by the numerous hunters seen using the route as a vantage point for spotting wildlife.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence mechanical construction. The route is a two-track traveling through grasslands.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose. It is partially reclaimed by grasses in the center of the two-track.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

This route receives moderate to heavy use seasonally, which was indicated by many tire tracks and vehicles on the route. The route likely receives use 10-30 times per year.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/20/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/20/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 02

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 02 heads northeast from western boundary road BLM Road 1509 through pinyon-juniper and grassland for approximately 0.25 miles before entering private property. The western portion of this two-track route is severely damaged by recent fire. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route is mainly used for recreational activities (hunting, camping, OHV use, and wildlife observation).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is a two-track with low vegetation growing in the center.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

This route did not contain any signs of recent use, such as vehicle tracks or concentrated use sites. The route probably receives light seasonal use, likely 1-3 times per year.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/20/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/20/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake River Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 03

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 03 runs northeast from western boundary road BLM Road 1509 through grassland and pinyon-juniper forest for approximately 0.25 miles ending at Route 04. The route is a two-track and is partially reclaimed by grasses. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route is currently used for recreational activities (hunting, camping, off-road driving, and wildlife observation) and range improvements associated with livestock. This route contains a recent concentrated use site evident from this season.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is poorly-defined and is being reclaimed by grasses.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose and is in poor condition.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

The route shows signs of moderate use with many tire tracks and heavy wear along the route. The route probably receives moderate seasonal use associated with hunting (likely greater than three times per year).

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/20/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/20/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 04

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 04 splits off of the southwest boundary road to the north and travels through grassland. The route then crosses private land and continues north for approximately three miles until it hits private land and a locked gate (no access). See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current purpose is likely for access to range improvements associated with ranching/livestock and recreational activities (hunting, off-road driving, camping, and wildlife observation). There were several active camps and old concentrated use sites along this route.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: The route was originally constructed with gravel; small berms from blading are evident.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: The route has been graded and graveled.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route provides access to range improvements, recreation, and other activities.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Numerous tire tracks were observed along the entire length of the route. Several concentrated use sites and vehicles were also observed. The route likely receives use greater than 20 times per year.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 05

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 05 runs east from Route 04 for approximately 0.5 miles and then runs into Route 06 and turns northeast dead-ending at private land after another 0.5 miles. The route is well-defined and travels through mixed grasses and sagebrush. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is primarily used for recreational activities (hunting, camping, off-road driving, and wildlife observation). The route also may be used for access to range improvements, such as livestock management or fence repair.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: This route has been mechanically bladed.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

This route receives moderate to heavy seasonal use associated with hunting activities. Multiple sets of tire tracks were observed; the route is likely used 5-10 trips times per year.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 06

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 06 runs east/northeast through pinyon-juniper forest and grasslands for approximately 0.25 miles, starting at Route 04 and ending at its intersection with Route 05. The route is minimally reclaimed by low vegetation in the center. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is used for both recreational activities (hunting, off-road driving, camping, and wildlife observation) and access range improvements/management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is a two-track with minimal vegetation in the center.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Few tracks on the route were observed, indicating some use. This route receives light to moderate use, most likely due to traveling from one two-track to another (2-5 times per year).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/20/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/20/2012



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 07

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 07 runs southeast from Route 06 for approximately 0.25 miles. It then turns east, then south, before ending at a fence line. The route is approximately 0.75 miles in length and travels through pinyon-juniper forest. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route is used mainly for recreation activities (hunting, camping, off-road driving, and wildlife observation) and is lightly used for range improvements/management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction but the route is in fairly good condition.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

This route receives light use seasonally associated with hunting activities. There were a few tire tracks visible indicating that the route is likely used 3-5 times per year.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/20/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/20/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 08 – BLM Road 1512

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 08 starts at western boundary road BLM Road 1509 and winds its way east across the entire unit until it intersects the eastern boundary. The route travels through flat grasslands and is in good condition. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The possible current uses include recreational activities (predominantly hunting) and range improvements (ranching/livestock management). The route is also used for general travel between Moffat and Rio Blanco counties; several vehicles were observed along the entire length of the route.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: The route has been bladed, cut, and graveled; roadside berms were also observed along the route.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: Three culverts exist along this route.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: This route is regularly bladed and graveled.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: This route is a numbered BLM road (BLM Road 1512) and is the main travel route in the area.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several vehicles were observed along this route. The route may receive use more than 100 times per year.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 09

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 09 runs northeast off of Route 08 and heads north, then west, and turns back southeast to make a loop that is approximately 0.25 miles long. Along the route is a manmade berm that forms a cattle pond. The route also runs through a large washed-out ravine and is minimally reclaimed by grasses. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is used mainly for recreation activities (hunting, camping, off-road driving, and wildlife observation). The route is possibly used for range improvements; a bermed cattle pond was observed.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The two-track route is minimally reclaimed by vegetation.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

This route receives moderate seasonal use associated with hunting (likely 5-10 times per year).

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/20/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/20/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 10

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 10 heads east/northeast off of Route 09 for 0.5 miles and dead ends in a canyon with surrounding steep buttes. This two-track route is partially reclaimed by vegetation. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current purpose of this route is most likely for recreational activities (hunting, camping, off-road driving, and wildlife observation) and access to range improvements for ranching/livestock management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is two-track and is partially reclaimed by low grass cover.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Only one set of fresh tire tracks was observed along this route. The route likely only receives use 1-2 times per year, most likely by hunters.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 11

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 11 splits off of Route 10 and dead ends at Route 12 after approximately one mile. The route ends at a wall that may have been traveled up in the past. The route is fairly well-defined and travels through mixed grass and sagebrush cover. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current uses are likely for recreational activities (predominantly hunting) and for range improvements, including access to ranching/livestock management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is well-defined but is partially reclaimed by low grass cover in the center of the tire tracks.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several sets of fresh tire tracks were observed along the route. The route is likely used 8-10 times per year.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 12

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 12 starts at a private property fence line and heads south for approximately 0.5 miles before turning northeast and heading east for approximately 0.75 miles. The route then turns northeast again for approximately 0.75 miles and dead ends at another private property boundary. This two-track route runs mainly along a ridgeline covered in pinyon-juniper. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is mainly used for recreational activities (hunting, wildlife observation, and camping). The route may also be used for range improvements associated with fence maintenance and livestock access.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is partially reclaimed by low vegetation cover.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

There were a few tire tracks located on the route indicating light seasonal use associated with hunting (1-5 times annually).

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/20/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/20/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 13

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 13 splits off of Route 12 and loops around to join with Route 12 again. This route is a two-track that is partially reclaimed by grasses and sagebrush. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The possible current uses of this route include recreational activities (hunting, camping, and wildlife observation) and range improvements, including ranching/livestock management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is being partially reclaimed by mixed vegetation cover (grasses and sagebrush).

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several sets of fresh tire tracks were observed along the route. The route is likely used 8-10 times per year.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 14

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 14 heads south off of Route 12, then loops around to meet back up with Route 12. This poorly-defined two-track route is almost entirely reclaimed by mixed vegetation cover in sections.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The possible current uses include recreational activities (predominantly hunting) and range improvements, including ranching/livestock management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. This route is in poor condition and is almost entirely reclaimed in sections.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Only one fresh set of tire tracks was observed. The route is likely used 1-2 times per year.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 15

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 15 begins by heading north/northwest from Route 09 through pinyon-juniper habitat and ends at Route 12 after traveling approximately 1.25 miles. This two-track route contains many ruts and is in very poor condition along some sections. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is used primarily for recreation activities (hunting, camping, and off-road driving, and wildlife observation). It may also be used for range improvements/management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?
 Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?
 Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?
 Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is in poor condition along many sections and contains multiple ruts.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

The route likely receives light use associated with the hunting season (1-5 times per year).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/20/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/20/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 16

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 16 starts at Route 25 and heads southeast for 0.25 miles, then turns northeast for approximately one mile before running into Route 09 and ending. The route is a two-track traveling through mixed grasses and sagebrush cover. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route is used mainly for recreational activities (hunting, camping and wildlife observation). The route may also be used in range improvement/management activities.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is a two-track and is minimally reclaimed by low grasses in the center.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

The route shows signs of light use associated with hunting season. It is likely used 1-5 times per year.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/20/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/20/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 17

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 17 heads south off of Route 08 for one mile and then veers southeast to within 300 yards of the southern boundary. This two-track route travels across bare ground mixed with low vegetation cover. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The possible current uses include range improvements (ranching/livestock management) and recreation activities (hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is a fairly well-defined two-track with a minimally reclaimed center.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several new and old tracks were observed along the route likely due to seasonal hunting activities (8-10 times per year).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 18

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 18 is a two-track stemming from Route 08 heading south through grasslands and dead ends after approximately 0.5 miles. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: This route is likely used for recreational activities (hunting and camping). This route may serve as a vantage point for wildlife observation or may have been created to pick up hunted game.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?
Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?
Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?
Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is two-track.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

This route has very light tracks indicating intermittent light use. It is likely used 1-2 times per 1-2 years.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/21/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/21/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 19

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 19 runs south from Route 20, turns southwest, and then turns west and travels back toward Route 18 through mixed sagebrush and grass over. The route is approximately 1.25 miles long and has a bermed cattle pond and culvert just south of the routes. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is mainly used for recreational activities (hunting, camping, off-road driving, and wildlife observation). The route may also be used for access to range improvements.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route contains a small amount of vegetation in the center of the tire tracks.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: One culvert was observed on the route leading to a berm/cattle pond.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

A few OHV tracks were observed along the route indicating light seasonal use (1-2 times per year).

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/21/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/21/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 20

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 20 runs northwest from Route 19 for approximately two miles before dead-ending at a private property gate. This route is a well-traveled two-track that has deep ruts and many small re-routes bypassing severe road damage. It is slated for maintenance by the BLM, which is evident by flags and markers along the route. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is mainly used for recreational activities (hunting, camping, and wildlife observation). The route may also possibly be used for range improvements and to access private land.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. This two-track route is heavily rutted along some sections.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose; however, the route is marked and flagged for maintenance due to the poor condition and many reroutes that have been created by vehicles.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

This route may receive moderate to heavy use based on its current poor condition (10-20 times per year).

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/21/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/21/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 21

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 21 heads east off of Route 20 and loops back around to Route 20 near the northern boundary. The two-track route is in fairly good condition and travels through mixed sagebrush and pinyon-juniper cover. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The possible current purpose of the route includes recreational activities (hunting) and access to range improvements, including ranching/livestock management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route a two-track in fairly good condition.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed..

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several sets of old and new tire tracks were observed. The route appears to be used mainly by hunters (8-10 times per year).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 22

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 22 heads east off of Route 21 and dead ends after approximately 0.25 miles in a pinyon-juniper forest. The route is a poorly-defined two-track being partially reclaimed by mixed grasses. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The possible current uses include recreational activities (hunting) and range improvements, including ranching/livestock management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The two-track route is poorly-defined.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

No visible tire tracks were observed on this route; it likely receives used less than once per year.

IV. CONCLUSION:



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A *and* III.B *and* III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 23

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 23 runs south off of Route 08 for 0.25 miles before turning south/southeast and dead ends on a plateau before a large ravine. The two-track continues up the hill but has not been used in several years. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is likely used for recreational activities (hunting, off-road driving, camping, and wildlife viewing. It may also be used for range improvements/management on the eastern portion.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The tracks are fairly clear of vegetation, but the route receives minimal use.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

No tire tracks were visible on the route. This route does not receive regular use (1-2 times per 2-3 years).

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/21/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/21/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 24

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 24 heads west off of Route 23 and comes out on Route 08. This two-track route is poorly-defined in some sections and is partially reclaimed by sagebrush. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is possibly being used recreation (hunting, camping, and wildlife observation) and for range improvements, including ranching/livestock management.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is being partially reclaimed by sagebrush.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

No tire tracks were observed on this route. The route is likely used less than once per year.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 25

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 25 runs south/southwest from Route 08 along a steep ridgeline before stopping at the edge. The route is approximately 0.75 miles in length and is likely an OHV route due to the narrow pathway. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route is used primarily for recreational activities (hunting, wildlife observation, and OHV use).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: This route has evidence of minor cuts into the ridgeline. The route is partially reclaimed in the center of the tire tracks in some sections.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

This route receives light use associated with the hunting season (1-2 times per year).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/22/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/22/2012



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 479
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 26

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 26 branches off of Route 08 and heads south along a fence line and veers to the southwest where it dead ends in a pinyon-juniper stand. It is a two-track being partially reclaimed by vegetation in the center. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The possible current uses include recreational activities (hunting, camping, and wildlife observation) and for range improvements, including ranching/livestock management. Two concentrated use sites were observed along the route.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is partially reclaimed in the center.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

One set of ATV tracks were observed along this route. The route is likely used less than three times per year.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
John Leonhart	AECOM Wildlife Biologist	10/21/2012
Meagan Jones	AECOM Environmental Scientist	10/21/2012



Field Office: LITTLE SNAKE	COLORADO
Unit: 479	

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management
 From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
10/20/2012	MP4ALS479_001_W	W	12N 4464587.3228N 727282.5841E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.13
10/20/2012	MP4ALS479_002_N	N	12N 4462872.9585N 734650.3307E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.20
10/21/2012	MP4ALS479_003_E	E	12N 4461255.5025N 729424.9226E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.26
9/29/2012	OP1ALS479_001_W	W	12N 4462318.7671N 730224.5133E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.27
9/29/2012	OP1ALS479_002_E	E	12N 4463111.9025N 728159.2213E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.21
10/20/2012	OP4ALS479_001_E	E	12N 4471234.8N 724235.2104E	TWN005N	RNG098W	Sec.28
10/20/2012	OP4ALS479_002_SE	SE	12N 4470019.9641N 724042.8703E	TWN005N	RNG098W	Sec.30
10/20/2012	OP4ALS479_003_N	N	12N 4468009.0564N 723954.0664E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.6
10/20/2012	OP4ALS479_004_N	N	12N 4466426.6336N 724651.1781E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.11
10/20/2012	OP4ALS479_005_NE	NE	12N 4463346.3415N 734500.6759E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.20
10/20/2012	OP4ALS479_006_S	S	12N 4464319.2214N 733512.0477E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.14
10/21/2012	OP4ALS479_007_S	S	12N 4460279.6572N 727883.1063E	TWN003N	RNG097W	Sec.2
10/21/2012	OP4ALS479_008_E	E	12N 4460276.8872N 727881.5472E	TWN003N	RNG097W	Sec.2
10/21/2012	OP4ALS479_009_SW	SW	12N 4464721.6937N 730278.5124E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.16
10/21/2012	OP4ALS479_010_E	E	12N 4461602.6308N 731076.5677E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.27
9/29/2012	RP1ALS479_001_E	E	12N 4461447.9204N 727449.781E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.25
9/29/2012	RP1ALS479_002_S	S	12N 4461393.8209N 727968.3093E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.25
9/29/2012	RP1ALS479_003_S	S	12N 4462318.7671N 730188.9357E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.27
9/29/2012	RP1ALS479_004_N	N	12N 4462347.7563N 730213.9718E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.19

Continued on Next Page

PHOTO LOG (Continued)

Field Office: LITTLE SNAKE
Unit: 479

COLORADO

U.S Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands

Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_001_W	W	12N 4471258.6665N 724183.5901E	TWN005N	RNG098W	Sec.28
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_002_SW	SW	12N 4467434.2784N 724827.7924E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.6
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_003_SW	SW	12N 4465933.6849N 725937.9812E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.12
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_004_N	N	12N 4466336.1698N 725919.3996E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.12
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_005_W	W	12N 4465116.0775N 727629.6213E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.13
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_006_W	W	12N 4464827.5771N 727426.2694E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.13
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_007_NW	NW	12N 4464628.7883N 727908.362E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.13
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_008_SE	SE	12N 4462161.0475N 734433.5115E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.29
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_009_NE	NE	12N 4462159.5934N 734433.0889E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.29
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_010_NE	NE	12N 4462725.2863N 734920.9332E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.20
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_011_S	S	12N 4464530.6278N 734946.457E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.17
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_012_W	W	12N 4464378.8636N 734746.8413E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.14
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_013_SW	SW	12N 4465254.5274N 735619.4892E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.17
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_014_S	S	12N 4463805.7249N 733620.715E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.20
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_015_N	N	12N 4463018.2136N 734274.9773E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.20
10/20/2012	RP4ALS479_016_SW	SW	12N 4462297.1378N 733490.4259E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.29
10/21/2012	RP4ALS479_017_N	N	12N 4459675.1798N 728412.7089E	TWN003N	RNG097W	Sec.2
10/21/2012	RP4ALS479_018_N	N	12N 4461274.3696N 729066.4407E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.26
10/21/2012	RP4ALS479_019_E	E	12N 4461397.7402N 729121.6417E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.26
10/21/2012	RP4ALS479_020_NW	NW	12N 4462802.8096N 730527.5096E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.19
10/21/2012	RP4ALS479_021_NE	NE	12N 4464812.8088N 730481.2342E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.16

Continued on Next Page

PHOTO LOG (Continued)

Field Office: LITTLE SNAKE	COLORADO
Unit: 479	

U.S Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands

Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
10/21/2012	RP4ALS479_022_E	E	12N 4464836.6573N 730955.0215E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.16
10/21/2012	RP4ALS479_023_N	N	12N 4461671.005N 730864.64E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.27
10/21/2012	RP4ALS479_024_E	E	12N 4461581.0206N 730268.8474E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.27
10/22/2012	RP4ALS479_025_NE	NE	12N 4461282.2034N 732431.0358E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.28
10/22/2012	RP4ALS479_026_W	W	12N 4461358.2495N 734366.8591E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.29