



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

FORM 1

**Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics
Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No (go to Form 2) Yes (If yes, and if more than one area is within the area, list the unique identifiers of those areas):

- a) **Inventory Source:** N/A
- b) **Inventory Area Name(s)/Number(s):** N/A
- c) **Map Name(s)/Number(s):** N/A
- d) **BLM District(s)/Field Office(s):** N/A

2. BLM Inventory Finds on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):
Inventory Source: N/A

Area #/Name	Sufficient Size? Yes/No (acres)	Naturalness? Yes/No	Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No	Supplemental Values? Yes/No

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

FORM 2**Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics**

Area Number/Name: Little Snake Unit 481	Acreage: <5,000 acres
---	-----------------------

(1) Is the area of sufficient size? (If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check “Yes” and describe the exception in the space provided below)

Yes No

Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (describe the boundaries of the area—wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc.):

Unit 481 is bounded by BLM Road 1509 along much of the eastern and southern edges. There is state land in the southeastern corner and a portion of state trust land in the central section of the unit. The western boundary is an unknown route travelling along a fence line. The northern boundaries are a combination of unnamed country roads and private property boundaries. Out of nine routes surveyed, two were found to meet the definition of a Wilderness Inventory Road. These routes include Routes 04 and 05. Route 04 bisects the unit and spits the area into two smaller pieces that do not meet the size requirements (<5,000 acres).

(2) Does the area appear to be natural?

Yes No N/A

Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities):

Unit 481 appears natural. The unit includes low to moderate topography of rolling hills. Vegetation cover is pinyon jumper on ridges, and open grasslands on the flatter areas. Recent wildfire damage was observed across the site. Major land uses are recreational, including hunting and camping.

(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for solitude):
Solitude is available on this unit. The unit is very remote and quiet.

(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes No N/A

Note: If "No" is checked for both 3 and 4 the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check "NA" for question 5.

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):

The unit has evidence of active use for recreation, including camping, hiking, hunting, and wildlife observation.

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational scenic or historical value)?

Yes No N/A

Description:

No supplemental values observed.

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Summary of Analysis

Area Name and/or Number: Little Snake Unit 481

Summary

(Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

Results of analysis:

Route 04 bisects the unit and splits the area into two smaller pieces that do not meet the size requirements (<5,000 acres).

Unit 481 is natural and has opportunities for solitude and primitive recreation. The unit includes low to moderate topography of rolling hills. Vegetation cover is pinyon jumper on ridges, and open grasslands on the flatter areas. Recent wildfire damage was observed across the site. Major land uses are recreational, including hunting and camping.

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? Yes No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No N/A
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities of solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? Yes No N/A
4. Does the area have supplemental values? Yes No N/A

Check one:

The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as Land with Wilderness Characteristics (LWC).

The area does not have wilderness characteristics.

Prepared by (team members):



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Bogadi	AECOM Geologist	10/17/2012
Jonathan Price	AECOM GIS Specialist	10/17/2012

(Name, Title, Date)

Reviewed by (District or Field Manager):

Name:

Title:

Date:

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 481
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 01

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 01 is a two-track that crosses the north arm of the unit along a private property parcel and north boundary. It connects BLM Road 1509 (east unit boundary) and the west unit boundary approximately 1.5 miles south of the north unit boundary. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current use is possibly BLM access from Moffat County Road 40 (west of unit) or shortcut access between the west boundary road (along fence line) and BLM Road 1509; the route could also be used for recreational activities (most notably hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The nature of the route does not require construction.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route is unlikely to become impassable because it crosses a moderate grassy slope and is used only to access BLM tracts around a private parcel. Due to other routes maintained in the area, BLM is not likely to approve maintenance.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Many recent sets of tire tracks cross the BLM tracts on this route through the gate in the fence; vegetation is very low in center of route. The route is likely used greater than five times per year.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Bogadi	AECOM Geologist	10/16/2012
Jonathan Price	AECOM GIS Specialist	10/16/2012



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 481
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 02

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 02 is a two-track that branches off of BLM Road 1509 to the south along and through the edge of a pinyon-juniper forest and ends at Route 03, which is roughly perpendicular to this route). The center of the route is minimally reclaimed by vegetation. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current use is recreational activities (hunting, camping, wildlife observation, and off-road driving); a concentrated use site was observed adjacent to the pinyon-juniper on the east side of the route.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: The route was mechanically cleared of pinyon-juniper.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose. It becomes very narrow, likely used primarily by ATVs through a steep gully.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Several recent sets of tire tracks (full-sized vehicles) were observed on the northern half of the route (to concentrated use site); ATV tire tracks were observed on the southern half of route. Grassy vegetation is low in center to two-tracks; the route is likely used greater than three times per year.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Bogadi	AECOM Geologist	10/16/2012
Jonathan Price	AECOM GIS Specialist	10/16/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 481
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 03

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 03 heads west off of BLM Road 1509 in the eastern section of the unit and terminates at Route 05. The route travels through mixed vegetation and is minimally reclaimed. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current purpose is most likely for recreational activities (hunting and off-road driving). At the top of the hill, the route cannot be accessed by a full-size vehicle, and an ATV becomes necessary to get down the other side of the hill.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: The route was mechanically cleared of brush when constructed.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose and would also need excessive maintenance to ensure safe travel.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

ATV tracks were observed throughout the entire length of the route. The route likely receives use greater than three times per year.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Bogadi	AECOM Geologist	10/17/2012
Jonathan Price	AECOM GIS Specialist	10/17/2012



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 481
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 04

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 04 bisects the unit from the north to south through a State Land Trust parcel (surrounded by BLM land). The route branches off of the western boundary road (fence line) in the northwest portion of the unit and terminates at BLM Road 1509 approximately 0.4 miles west of the state section along the southern unit boundary. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current usage is for recreational access to BLM and State Land Trust parcels (predominately for hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: Blading, clearing of brush, and small road cuts were observed.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: Small side berms were observed along the route indicating maintenance has occurred in recent years by a blade or road grader.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route provides access through State Land Trust and is heavily utilized by the public; therefore, BLM is likely to approve maintenance should it become impassable. The route is likely to only require minimal maintenance.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Multiple sets of tire tracks (full-size vehicle and ATV) were observed, along with several ruts. The route has been maintained within recent years. It is likely used greater than 50 times annually.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Bogadi	AECOM Geologist	10/17/2012
Jonathan Price	AECOM GIS Specialist	10/17/2012



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 481
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 05

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 05 branches off of Route 04 within a State Land Trust parcel in the northern portion of the unit. The two-track route heads roughly southeast, then turns south (near the center of eastern portion of the unit) to end in the state section (southern boundary of unit). The route crosses Sagebrush Draw. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The route was originally used for access to range improvements (BLM Sagebrush Well); however, it is unclear how long the well has been decommissioned. The current usage is likely recreational, predominantly hunting.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: The route has been mechanically cleared of brush.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route provides access between State Land Trust and state section parcels; BLM may approve mechanical maintenance should the route become impassable.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

The route is somewhat frequently traveled, likely mostly as hunting access seasonally (greater than five times annually). No recent tire tracks were observed; however, ruts of two-tracks have been used (no vegetation growth) prior to last precipitation event.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Bogadi	AECOM Geologist	10/17/2012
Jonathan Price	AECOM GIS Specialist	10/17/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 481
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 06

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 06 is a two-track route connecting Route 04 and Route 07 in the northwest portion of the unit. It branches to the west off of Route 04 (across from Route 05) and meets Route 07 approximately 100 feet west of State Land Trust boundary. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current use is unclear, but likely recreational activities (predominantly hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. The route is partially reclaimed.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route serves no practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Multiple sets of full-size vehicle tracks were observed; the vegetation in center is low. The route is likely used greater than three times per year.



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Bogadi	AECOM Geologist	10/17/2012
Jonathan Price	AECOM GIS Specialist	10/17/2012



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 481
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 07

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 07 is a two-track that branches off of Route 04 within the State Land Trust in the northern portion of the unit. The route heads west and turns south (approximately 100 feet into the BLM land from the western boundary of the State Land Trust). The route ends at an overlook of a large drainage that flows across center of the unit. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current usage is not clear; however, it has been used by hunters for access further into the unit.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: The route was mechanically cleared of brush (pinyon-juniper).

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Route serves no clear practical purpose.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Multiple sets of full-size vehicle tire tracks were observed along the route. The route ends at an overlook most likely used for wildlife observation. It is likely used greater than 20 times annually.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Bogadi	AECOM Geologist	10/17/2012
Jonathan Price	AECOM GIS Specialist	10/17/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 481
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 08

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 08 is a two-track that branches off of Route 07 in the northern portion of the unit and heads to the southwest and turns to the northeast at the end of a large drainage. It follows the ridgeline and ends at the unit’s western boundary (two-track following fence line). See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current use is unclear. The route is possibly for hunting access into the interior of unit and to the State Land Trust.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: The route was mechanically cleared of brush (pinyon-juniper).

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose and is infrequently used.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

The east end of the route appears to be infrequently used and contains vegetation growing in the ruts; however, the further west the route travels, the more tire tracks and less vegetation were observed. The route is likely traveled less than 20 times annually.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Bogadi	AECOM Geologist	10/17/2012
Jonathan Price	AECOM GIS Specialist	10/17/2012

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 481
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 09

I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 09 is a two-track that branches off of Route 04 within the southern portion of the State Land Trust and heads to the west. The route ends at the western boundary of the unit near the midpoint. See attached photo log.

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: The current use is for access to the interior of the unit from the west for recreational activities (predominantly hunting).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?
Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?
Yes No Unknown or N/A

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?
Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: The route was cut on steep inclines/declines of ridges. Brush clearing (pinyon-juniper) was also observed.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers Other

Describe: No improvements observed.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes No If “yes”: by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Infrequent usage and difficult terrain requiring major maintenance make this route impractical to maintain.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Very few tire tracks were observed along the route. The route is very sandy and highly-eroded in places. Hairpin turns make the route difficult for full-size vehicles to traverse; however, ATVs can access the entire route. The route is likely travelled less than twenty times annually.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road
inventory purposes

No = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Bogadi	AECOM Geologist	10/17/2012
Jonathan Price	AECOM GIS Specialist	10/17/2012



Field Office: LITTLE SNAKE	COLORADO
Unit: 481	

U.S Department of the Interior
 Bureau of Land Management
 From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_001_S	S	12N 4471305.5815N 723807.6256E	TWN005N	RNG098W	Sec.30
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_002_SW	SW	12N 4471305.8546N 723808.1529E	TWN005N	RNG098W	Sec.30
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_003_W	W	12N 4471305.9094N 723808.1745E	TWN005N	RNG098W	Sec.30
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_004_NE	NE	12N 4468909.1639N 723394.5716E	TWN005N	RNG098W	Sec.35
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_005_E	E	12N 4468907.1597N 723394.9823E	TWN005N	RNG098W	Sec.35
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_006_S	S	12N 4466806.9943N 724136.3565E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.11
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_007_SW	SW	12N 4466803.9254N 724135.9877E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.11
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_008_W	W	12N 4466802.6315N 724133.6491E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.11
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_009_E	E	12N 4464673.0001N 726261.075E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.16
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_010_SE	SE	12N 4464517.1194N 726526.6602E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.16
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_011_S	S	12N 4464100.4194N 727254.0217E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.13
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_012_W	W	12N 4464102.8256N 727250.2891E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.13
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_013_W	W	12N 4463584.3438N 727964.474E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.21
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_014_W	W	12N 4463019.1021N 726258.978E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.21
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_015_NW	NW	12N 4463019.7199N 726258.7416E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.21
10/16/2012	OP5ALS481_016_NE	NE	12N 4463086.2439N 726960.3969E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.21
10/17/2012	OP5ALS481_017_NW	NW	12N 4461165.9141N 725672.1478E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.28
10/17/2012	OP5ALS481_018_N	N	12N 4461167.0636N 725672.5673E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.28
10/17/2012	OP5ALS481_019_S	S	12N 4464033.362N 723407.2418E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.15

Continued on Next Page

PHOTO LOG (Continued)

Field Office: LITTLE SNAKE
Unit: 481

COLORADO

U.S Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands

Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
10/17/2012	OP5ALS481_020_NE	NE	12N 4464305.5156N 723486.8458E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.15
10/17/2012	OP5ALS481_021_N	N	12N 4463034.7319N 723640.2876E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.23
10/17/2012	OP5ALS481_022_NE	NE	12N 4463037.1165N 723640.7517E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.23
10/17/2012	OP5ALS481_023_SW	SW	12N 4463317.6882N 722965.4978E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.23
10/17/2012	OP5ALS481_024_W	W	12N 4463316.0495N 722964.9668E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.23
10/16/2012	RP5ALS481_001_E	E	12N 4468907.3021N 723395.2888E	TWN005N	RNG098W	Sec.35
10/16/2012	RP5ALS481_002_SE	SE	12N 4464099.0543N 727251.0172E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.13
10/16/2012	RP5ALS481_003_W	W	12N 4463021.5683N 727780.5831E	TWN004N	RNG097W	Sec.21
10/17/2012	RP5ALS481_004_N	N	12N 4462298.7903N 725115.0155E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.24
10/17/2012	RP5ALS481_005_NW	NW	12N 4463886.8975N 725561.414E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.16
10/17/2012	RP5ALS481_006_N	N	12N 4465008.1112N 723520.6343E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.15
10/17/2012	RP5ALS481_007_N	N	12N 4464305.8437N 723487.2639E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.15
10/17/2012	RP5ALS481_008_SE	SE	12N 4464943.2615N 722560.0933E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.15
10/17/2012	RP5ALS481_009_E	E	12N 4463035.9806N 723640.9887E	TWN004N	RNG098W	Sec.23