



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

**FORM 1**

**Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics  
Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record**

**1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?**

No  (go to Form 2) Yes  (If yes, and if more than one area is within the area, list the unique identifiers of those areas):

- a) **Inventory Source:** N/A
- b) **Inventory Area Name(s)/Number(s):** N/A
- c) **Map Name(s)/Number(s):** N/A
- d) **BLM District(s)/Field Office(s):** N/A

**2. BLM Inventory Finds on Record:**

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):  
Inventory Source: N/A

Area #/Name	Sufficient Size? Yes/No (acres)	Naturalness? Yes/No	Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No	Supplemental Values? Yes/No



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

**FORM 2**

**Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics**

Area Number/Name: Little Snake Unit 364	Acreage: 6,923 acres
---	----------------------

(1) Is the area of sufficient size? (If the area meets one of the exceptions to the size criterion, check “Yes” and describe the exception in the space provided below)

Yes  No

Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (describe the boundaries of the area—wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc.):

The western boundary of the unit is Moffat County Road 75; the eastern boundary is Moffat County Road 66; the northern boundary is a combination of Moffat County Roads 75E and 75S; and the southern boundary is Moffat County Road 66. The entire unit is owned by the BLM.

(2) Does the area appear to be natural?

Yes  No  N/A

Note: If “No” is checked the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check “NA” for the remaining questions below.

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities):

Unit 364 is located in Township 8 North, Range 97 West; and Township 9 North, Range 97 West (Ute Meridian). The unit exists in Moffat County in an area of moderate relief topography and varying forest cover. Short sagebrush dominates most of the landscape. The higher elevations of the unit have relatively dense pinyon-juniper cover. Vegetation does not prohibit vehicle or foot travel.

Areas show historic use for hydrocarbon extraction. Wells are now capped and pads are reclaimed. Current land use is recreational, including camping, hiking, jeep/OHV use, cycling, hunting, and wildlife observation. Existing roads are used for recreational purposes. Four routes were analyzed, with Route 03 meeting the criteria for a Wilderness Inventory Road.

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes  No  N/A

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for solitude):

The moderate relief of rocky slopes isolates the area from human activity. No evidence of human activity within the unit was observed other than reclaimed well pads located off of the boundary roads only. The landscape was very quiet despite its ease of access from Moffat County Road 75.

(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes  No  N/A

Note: If "No" is checked for both 3 and 4 the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check "NA" for question 5.

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):

Moderate views and topography are suitable for hiking, camping, OHV use, hunting, mountain biking, and other recreational activities.

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational scenic or historical value)?

Yes  No  N/A

Description:

The unit has moderate scenic value; rocky slopes and canyon-like views are visually pleasing.

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## Summary of Analysis

**Area Name and/or Number:** Little Snake Unit 364

### Summary

(Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

### Results of analysis:

The unit exists in Moffat County in an area of moderate relief topography and varying forest cover. Short sagebrush dominates most of the landscape. The higher elevations of the unit have relatively dense pinyon-juniper cover.

The moderate relief of rocky slopes isolates the area from human activity. No evidence of human activity within the unit was observed other than reclaimed well pads located off of the boundary roads only. The landscape was very quiet despite its ease of access from Moffat County Road 75. Only one wilderness inventory road was recorded in the unit.

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements?  Yes  No
2. Does the area appear to be natural?  Yes  No  N/A
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities of solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation?  Yes  No  N/A
4. Does the area have supplemental values?  Yes  No  N/A

Check one:

The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as Land with Wilderness Characteristics (LWC).

The area does not have wilderness characteristics.



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

**Prepared by (team members):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/24/2012
Nicole Peters	AECOM Natural Resource Specialist	9/24/2012

**(Name, Title, Date)**

**Reviewed by (District of Field Manager):**

**Name:**

**Title:**

**Date:**

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 364  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 01

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 01 is a short branch of two-track on the south end of the unit that starts on Moffat County Road 75 and runs northeast where it ends on a ridge overlook. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Current purpose is to provide access to a concentrated use site, which is possibly also used as a recreational game observation and hunting overlook.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Route is two-track, partially naturally reclaimed, with no practical use.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Two sets of tire tracks observed on this route; probably used several times per year, primarily during hunting season.



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

**IV. CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/24/2012
Nicole Peters	AECOM Natural Resource Specialist	9/24/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 364  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 02

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route branches northeast off of Moffat County Road 75. This route is located in the southwest section of the unit. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Three concentrated use sites were observed along this route. No other evidence/purpose of use was observed.

#### B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?

Yes  (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S. Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: Due to the presence of multiple concentrated use sites, evidence of usage, and good condition/ease of passage, BLM may approve maintenance.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several sets of tire tracks were observed. Several concentrated use sites showing evidence of semi-recent use were observed. Route may be used approximately 50 times annually.



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

#### IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

#### Evaluator(s):

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/24/2012
Nicole Peters	AECOM Natural Resource Specialist	9/24/2012

U.S. Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 364  
 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 03

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: The route branches off of, and may be partially a continuation of, the northern border road Moffat County Road 75E. Route 03 runs approximately north/south down the top of a large ridge that bisects the unit from the north end to the center. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Route is currently used for recreational purposes only (concentrated use access; hunting access). No practical current uses were observed.

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?  
 Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?  
 Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?  
 Yes  (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: Signs of vegetation (pinyon-juniper) clearing (possibly by blading) to construct this route down the densely-wooded ridgeline.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No improvements installed. No stream crossings present.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: The route appears to be regularly used as access to several concentrated use sites with expansive views of the surrounding valleys. This route is likely regularly used by hunters/hunting camps.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Multiple sets of tire tracks were observed (truck, OHV, and motorcycle), several concentrated use sites were observed along the route. No trees were overgrown into the route. The route appears to be regularly used. Route may be traveled 50-100 trips annually.

**IV. CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road  
inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/24/2012
Nicole Peters	AECOM Natural Resource Specialist	9/24/2012



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

## WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

### ROUTE ANALYSIS

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 364  
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 04

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: This route runs approximately east/west from the eastern boundary of the unit (Moffat County Road 66) to the center of the unit. This route connects to the middle section of Route 03. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Current use of this route is minimal and is only for recreational uses (OHV, motorcycles, bicycles, hunting, camping, and hiking).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?  
Yes  No  Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?  
Yes  No  Unknown or N/A

Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?  
Yes  (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked “no” below)

U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes  No

Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other

Describe: The section of the route that climbs a ridge to connect to the center of Route 03 was cut/bladed into the ridge side.

2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Examples: Culverts  Hardened Stream Crossings  Bridges  Drainage  Barriers  Other

Describe: No evidence of improvements; culverts currently absent in stream crossings.

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?): Yes  (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked “yes” below) No  (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked “no” below)

1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes  No  If “yes”: by Hand Tools  by Machine

Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance.

2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes  No

Explain: The route serves no practical purpose. The route is partially naturally reclaimed or eroded in spots. Parts of the route are impassable by pickup truck and major grading would be required to restore the route.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes  No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).



U.S Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Some tire tracks were observed only within 100 yards of other connector roads. No tire tracks were observed centrally on the route, and some sections are partially naturally reclaimed or eroded away. No tire tracks were observed on the steep cliff cut that connects this route to Route 03. Route may be traveled only once annually or less.

**IV. CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road inventory purposes

No  = Not a road for wilderness

Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.

**Evaluator(s):**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/24/2012
Nicole Peters	AECOM Natural Resource Specialist	9/24/2012



<b>Field Office:</b> LITTLE SNAKE	COLORADO
<b>Unit:</b> 364	

U.S Department of the Interior  
 Bureau of Land Management  
 From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands  
 Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_001_W	W	12N 4507162.9142N 727490.0345E	TWN008N	RNG097W	Sec.3
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_002_N	N	12N 4507157.3571N 727493.699E	TWN008N	RNG097W	Sec.3
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_003_E	E	12N 4507153.5408N 727498.6308E	TWN008N	RNG097W	Sec.3
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_004_N	N	12N 4508136.2449N 727583.5506E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.33
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_005_SE	SE	12N 4509907.5816N 727409.9181E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.29
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_006_E	E	12N 4511259.5799N 727824.4297E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.24
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_007_S	S	12N 4511358.9476N 728281.5308E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.24
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_008_S	S	12N 4511572.4533N 729493.1867E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.23
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_009_E	E	12N 4509774.1084N 730025.0707E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.26
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_010_S	S	12N 4509765.1929N 730024.0622E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.26
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_011_SW	SW	12N 4509771.7078N 730017.1334E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.26
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_012_N	N	12N 4510018.0101N 730206.5155E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.26
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_013_W	W	12N 4512888.4375N 733780.4187E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.13
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_014_W	W	12N 4511188.0305N 732271.1389E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.21
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_015_SW	SW	12N 4509866.2114N 731238.843E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.28
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_016_W	W	12N 4508219.0453N 732056.9506E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.34
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_017_NW	NW	12N 4507450.1834N 731184.303E	TWN008N	RNG097W	Sec.4
9/24/2012	OP1ALS364_018_N	N	12N 4506508.2767N 728958.6768E	TWN008N	RNG097W	Sec.2
9/24/2012	RP1ALS364_001_S	S	12N 4507254.2549N 727256.4896E	TWN008N	RNG097W	Sec.3

Continued on Next Page

## PHOTO LOG (Continued)

<b>Field Office:</b> LITTLE SNAKE	COLORADO
<b>Unit:</b> 364	

U.S Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands

Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Photo Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
9/24/2012	RP1ALS364_002_W	W	12N 4507882.0393N 727345.9669E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.33
9/24/2012	RP1ALS364_003_N	N	12N 4509865.1901N 730137.6067E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.26
9/24/2012	RP1ALS364_004_W	W	12N 4509907.0241N 731651.7822E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.28