

CHAPTER 5

CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

5.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter is a description of the public outreach and participation opportunities made available through the development of the Draft RMP/EIS and consultation and coordination efforts with tribes, government agencies, and other stakeholders that have transpired to date. It includes a list of the document preparers and the agencies, organizations, and individuals that received a copy of the Draft RMP/EIS for review. The original intent of this RMP revision planning process was to revise the respective land use plans for the BLM Kremmling Field Office (KFO) and CRVFO in a single, joint RMP/EIS document. The scoping process and much of the Draft RMP/EIS preparation process took place with the idea of preparing a combined document. However, the BLM decided to separate the land use plans for these two field offices based on consideration of internal and cooperating agency comments as well as understanding that the decision process would benefit from separating these RMPs by field office. Therefore, a large amount of the public outreach and agency coordination that has taken place to date was undertaken with a combined document in mind. The history detailed below reflects this combined RMP outreach and coordination.

Future outreach and coordination will be conducted separately. There will continue to be many ways for the public to participate in the planning process for public lands under the jurisdiction of the CRVFO and KFO.

5.2 PUBLIC COLLABORATION AND OUTREACH

5.2.1 Scoping Process

Scoping is the term used in the CEQ regulations implementing the NEPA (40 CFR, Part 1500 et seq.) to define the early and open process for determining the scope of issues to be addressed in the planning process. The scoping process provides an avenue to involve the public in identifying significant issues related to potential land use management actions. The process also helps identify any issues that are not significant and can thereby be eliminated from detailed analysis. The list of stakeholders and other interested parties is also confirmed and augmented during the scoping process.

Notice of Intent

The Notice of Intent (NOI) is the legal document notifying the public of the BLM intent to initiate the planning process and to prepare an EIS for a major federal action. The NOI invites the participation of the

affected and interested agencies, organizations, and members of the general public in determining the scope and significant issues to be addressed in the planning alternatives and analyzed in the EIS.

The formal scoping period for the combined CRVFO/KFO RMP/EIS began on March 2, 2007 with the publication in the *Federal Register* of the NOI. Under CEQ regulations, the public comment period must continue for at least 30 days, but the BLM extended this public comment period to May 2, 2007, providing 60 days for comment submittal. Although the scoping comment period has ended, the BLM has continued to consider all comments received during the planning process. The NOI was provided for public consideration at seven scoping open houses and was posted on the project website.

Scoping Open Houses

The BLM hosted seven scoping open houses to provide the public with additional opportunities to become involved learn about the project and planning process, meet the RMP team members, and to offer comments. As described below, the meetings were advertised in local media. Additionally, the postcard advertising the meetings was mailed to agency staff and members of the public who had participated in past BLM activities and had been included in past BLM distribution lists.

During the week of April 9, 2007, open houses were held in seven locations within the project planning area (see Table 5-1).

**Table 5-1
2007 Open House Schedule and Attendance**

Venue	Location	Date	Attendance
Rifle Fire Protection District-Station 1	Rifle	April 10	23
Granby Community Center	Granby	April 10	34
Town of Carbondale-Community Room 2	Carbondale	April 11	31
CSU Extension Hall	Kremmling	April 11	36
Town Hall-Council Chambers	Gypsum	April 12	11
Wattenberg Center	Walden	April 12	17
Larimer River Guest Ranch	Glendavey	April 25	25
Total			177

Note: All meetings were held from 4:00 to 7:00 PM.

At this scoping phase of the planning process, an open house format was chosen over the more formal public meeting format to encourage broader participation, to allow attendees to learn about the project at their own pace, and to enable them to ask questions of BLM representatives in an informal one-on-one setting. A packet of fact sheets and handouts about the project and a map of the planning area were provided, as was a list of the anticipated planning issues and preliminary planning criteria related to the project. Single-page summaries of each resource issue were included as a convenient reference to take from the meetings. Site and resource maps were displayed illustrating the current situation and management techniques practices among different resources and land areas. To encourage broad participation, the BLM chose prominent, handicapped-accessible local facilities in informal settings. These venues included three community centers and an extension hall, a town hall, and a fire station. In addition to BLM representatives, a total of 177 people attended the open houses.

5.2.2 Project Web Site

In the winter of 2006, a combined CRVFO/KFO RMP/EIS public website was launched to serve as a clearinghouse for project information during the planning process. The web site provided background information about the project, a public involvement timeline and calendar, maps and photos of the planning area, and copies of public information documents such as the NOI and newsletter. The site also provided a link to the comment form for submitting comments about the project. The BLM continuously updates the Web site with information, documents, and announcements. RMP updates can be found at http://www.blm.gov/co/st/en/BLM_Programs/land_use_planning/rmp/kfo-gsfo.html.

5.2.3 Postcards and Newsletters

On March 27, 2007, the BLM sent a postcard for the joint CRVFO/KFO RMP planning process to more than 850 individuals from the public, agencies, and organizations. The postcard introduced the BLM and the RMP planning process and suggested methods for public involvement. The postcard also provided dates and venues for six of the seven scoping open houses. A seventh open house was added later at the request of the public. The postcard gave the public various alternative methods to submit their comments, including a dedicated e-mail address and the BLM postal address to mail comments.

Newsletters are published throughout the course of the RMP/EIS process and are posted on the BLM Web site in addition to being sent to the public, agencies, and organizations. Participants also may request to receive newsletters through e-mail. The newsletters remind the public of how they can comment and get involved and includes a calendar of events. Each edition addresses in detail issues of concern identified during the scoping process. The first newsletter was sent via email and US mail on May 18, 2007 to over 1,050 individuals from the public, agencies, and organizations. The newsletter summarized the scoping meetings, provided information on the trails and routes data collection workshops collected in June 2007, and gave overall information about the planning process. The trails and routes data collection workshops were separate from the scoping meetings and gave individuals, agencies, and organizations an opportunity to provide the BLM with data and missing information on existing trails and routes.

5.2.4 News Release and Newspaper Advertisement

Local and regional newspapers and radio stations throughout the planning area were used to disseminate information on the CRVFO/KFO RMP scoping and planning process. The BLM prepared newspaper advertisements announcing the official scoping meetings and inviting the public to provide input. The advertisements were printed in the print media listed in Table 5-2 between April 1 and April 5, 2007.

Table 5-2
Scoping and Planning Process Newspaper Advertisements

Publication Name	Location
<i>The Daily Sentinel</i>	Grand Junction, Colorado
<i>Jackson County Star</i>	Walden, Colorado
<i>Middle Park Times</i>	Kremmling, Colorado
<i>Ski-Hi News</i>	Granby, Colorado
<i>Post Independent</i>	Glenwood Springs, Colorado
<i>Vail Daily</i>	Vail, Colorado
<i>Summit Daily News</i>	Breckenridge, Colorado
<i>Aspen Daily Times</i>	Aspen, Colorado

5.2.5 Newspaper Articles

In addition to articles and notifications that the BLM has published regarding the RMP, over 20 articles and news bulletins regarding some aspect of the RMP process have been published in newspapers both within and outside the planning area. Numerous articles advertised the April 2007 public scoping meetings and the June 2007 travel management workshops. Others discussed the Wild and Scenic Rivers Suitability Study and management of the Thompson Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern. A few explained the RMP planning process, urged public participation, and explained methods to submit comments.

The articles appeared in eleven different newspapers between December 2006 and June 2007 (see Table 5-3). Most of the newspapers have circulations that encompass the two field offices; however, two of the newspapers publish outside of the planning area.

**Table 5-3
Newspaper Article Publications**

<i>Aspen Daily</i>
<i>Glenwood Springs Post Independent</i>
<i>Grand Junction Daily Sentinel</i>
<i>Vail Daily</i>
<i>Casper Star Tribune</i>
<i>Summit Daily News</i>
<i>Eagle Valley Enterprise</i>
<i>Aspen Times</i>
<i>Rifle Citizen Telegram</i>
<i>Greeley Daily Tribune</i>
<i>Seattle Post-Intelligencer</i>

5.3 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

The CRVFO RMP will provide guidance for a vast area of public land in Colorado and necessarily requires the coordination of a wide variety of organizations with interests in the area. Among those are governmental bodies that create, administer, and monitor policy for these, as well as adjacent, lands. The BLM established a coordinated effort in developing the combined CRVFO/KFO RMP by seeking the active participation of these parties.

The following documents the BLM's consultation and coordination efforts during the preparation of this Draft RMP/EIS. Consultation is an ongoing effort throughout the entire process of developing the Final RMP/EIS.

5.3.1 Cooperating Agencies

A cooperating agency is any federal, state, or local government agency or Indian tribe that enters into a formal agreement with the lead federal agency to help develop an environmental analysis. More specifically, cooperating agencies “work with the BLM, sharing knowledge and resources, to achieve desired outcomes for public lands and communities within statutory and regulatory frameworks” (BLM Land Use Planning Handbook H-1601-1).

On November 29, 2006, the BLM invited 37 local, state, federal, and tribal representatives to participate as cooperating agencies for the previously joint CRVFO and KFO RMP revision. Of these, 21 agencies accepted this offer to participate in the BLM RMP planning process as cooperating agencies (Table 5-4).

**Table 5-4
Cooperating Agencies**

Federal Agencies	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • US Fish and Wildlife Service 	
State Agencies	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colorado Department of Natural Resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colorado River Water District
Local Agencies	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Denver Water Board • Garfield County • Jackson County • Town of Basalt • Town of Eagle • Town of Granby • Town of Hot Sulphur Springs • Town of Newcastle • Town of Rifle 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eagle County • Grand County • Pitkin County • Town of Carbondale • City of Glenwood Springs • Town of Gypsum • Town of Kremmling • Town of Parachute • Town of Silt

The primary role of cooperating agencies is to provide input during the EIS process on issues for which they have a special expertise or jurisdiction. The representatives meet with the lead agency periodically throughout the EIS process to discuss issues as a group. Cooperating agencies are expected to participate in the EIS process at the earliest possible time, including during scoping, and are available to enhance the interdisciplinary capability of the lead agency by providing needed information throughout the NEPA process.

Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) were made and entered between the CRVFO and KFO and the entities who accepted the invitation to participate as cooperating agencies for the CRVFO and KFO RMP revisions. The MOUs set forth the roles and responsibilities for Cooperating Agencies for the purposes of collaborative planning and production of an EIS for the respective RMP. These agencies will “work with the BLM, sharing knowledge and resources, to achieve desired outcomes for BLM lands and communities within statutory and regulatory frameworks” (BLM 2005a). Table 5-5 summarizes the all cooperative agency meetings held to date concerning the previously, joint CRVFO/KFPO RMP/EIS.

**Table 5-5
CRVFO and KFO Cooperating Agency Meetings**

Meeting Number	Date
KFO #1	4/3/07
CRVFO #1	4/4/07
KFO #2	5/21/07
CRVFO #2	6/6/07
CRVFO #3	9/5/07
KFO #3	9/25/07
CRVFO #4	11/7/07
CRVFO #5	12/5/07
KFO #4	11/8/10
KFO #5	12/4/07
CRVFO #6	1/16/08
KFO #6	1/17/08
KFO #7	1/29/08
CRVFO #7	1/30/08
CRVFO #8	2/6/08
KFO #8	2/19/08
CRVFO #9	2/20/08
CRVFO #10	5/7/08
KFO #9	5/16/08
KFO #10	7/9/08
KFO #11	2/10/09
CRVFO #11	2/11/09
CRVFO #12	3/11/09
CRVFO #13	5/4/10
KFO #12	5/6/10
KFO #13	6/29/10
CRVFO #14	6/30/10

5.3.2 Resource Advisory Council Subcommittees

A Resource Advisory Council (RAC) is a committee established by the Secretary of the Interior to provide advice or recommendations to BLM management (BLM 2005a). Recommendations are based on consensus building and collaboration. The Colorado Northwest RAC includes a 15-member panel appointed by the Secretary of the Interior to represent constituent public land users, provides input on public management issues to the BLM's Northwest RAC Designated Federal Officers. Northwest RAC members represent a broad range of interests in three general categories with five members assigned to each category. Category 1 members represent commercial interests or users such as livestock grazing, timber, mining, oil and gas, realty and rights-of-ways, off-highway vehicle groups, and guides and outfitters. Category 2 members represent environmental organizations, archaeology/historical interests, wildlife organizations, wild horse and burros, and dispersed/general recreation. Category 3 members represent state or other governmental agencies, Tribes, academic institutions, and the public-at-large.

The BLM gave the Colorado Northwest RAC an initial presentation on the RMP process in November 2006. At a May 2007 RAC meeting, the BLM gave an additional presentation on the scoping and travel management process. Two subcommittees have been formed under the Northwest RAC to advise it regarding the CRVFO and KFO RMP revisions. The individuals on each subcommittee represent a broad range of interests and have specific knowledge of the two field offices. The CRVFO RAC subcommittee focused on all aspects of

the CRVFO components of the RMP revision. The KFO RAC subcommittee focused specifically on travel management and recreation issues pertinent to the KFO. Recommendations developed by these subcommittees were presented formally for discussion to the Northwest RAC at a May 22, 2008 public meeting of the full Northwest RAC. Table 5-6 summarizes all RAC meetings held to date concerning the CRVFO/KFO RMP/EIS.

**Table 5-6
CRVFO and KFO RAC Meetings**

Meeting Number	Date
CRVFO #1	11/7/07
KFO #1	11/27/07
CRVFO #2	12/5/07
KFO #2	12/12/07
CRVFO #3	1/16/08
KFO #3	1/17/08
CRVFO #4	1/30/08
CRVFO #5	2/6/08
KFO #4	2/11/08
CRVFO #6	2/20/08
KFO #5	2/21/08
CRVFO #7	2/27/08
KFO #6	2/10/09
CRVFO #8	2/11/09
CRVFO #9	5/4/10
KFO #7	5/6/10
CRVFO #10	6/9/10
KFO #8	6/29/10
CRVFO #11	6/30/10

5.3.3 Tribes

Federally recognized Native American tribes have a unique legal and political relationship with the government of the United States. Executive Order 13175 requires federal agencies to coordinate and consult on a government-to-government basis with sovereign Native American tribal governments whose interests may be directly and substantially affected by activities on federally administered lands. Other laws, regulations, DOI guidance and executive orders require consultation to identify the cultural values, the religious beliefs, the traditional practices, and the legal rights of Native American people, which could be affected by BLM actions on federal lands. These include the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966 (as amended), American Indian Religious Freedom Act of 1978, the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, DOI Secretarial Order No. 3215 (DOI 2000), 512 Department Manual Chapter 2 (DOI 1995), and BLM Manual H-8160-1 (DOI 1994), and Executive Order 13007 Indian Sacred sites.

Consultation with American Indian tribes is also part of the NEPA scoping process and a requirement of FLPMA. Tribal consultation regarding the CRVFO and KFO RMP revisions began in April 2007 and is ongoing. American Indian tribes and organizations consulted are listed in Table 5-7.

**Table 5-7
Consulted American Indian Tribes**

Colorado Commissioner of Indian Affairs
Eastern Shoshone Tribe
Northern Arapahoe Business Council
Northern Arapahoe Tribe
Northern Ute Tribe
Shoshone Tribe
Southern Ute Indian Tribe
Uintah and Ouray Tribal Business Center
Uintah and Ouray Tribal Business Council
Ute Mountain Ute Tribe

All Native American tribes and organizations with interests in the CRVFO/KFO RMP planning area were contacted by mail and encouraged to be cooperating agencies. The above tribes and groups have been participating in the RMP/EIS process through meetings and other contacts. A detailed record of contact and coordination between the BLM and tribes are included in the administrative record. Table 5-8 summarizes Indian tribe consultation activities since April 2007.

**Table 5-8
Indian Tribe Consultation Activities**

Date	Description of Interaction
April 3-4, 2007	Initiation of Tribal Consultation
June 12, 2008	Meeting with Northern Ute Tribe and CRVFO regarding alternatives affecting Native American cultural resources. Changes later made to EIS alternatives as a result of meeting.
January 12, 2009	Letter sent to Tribes requesting meeting in March 2009, regarding project status and alternatives overview.
March 3-5, 2009	Letter sent to Tribes requesting meeting in May 2009, regarding project status and alternatives overview.
April 2009	Contacted tribes to request meeting with BLM to discuss RMP
July 20, 2009	BLM met with the Shoshone tribe for an update on the RMP status. BLM distributed Alternative Themes, Project schedule, RMP Basic Info, and Planning Process Diagram documents.
July 28-29, 2009	Contacted tribes to request meeting with the BLM to discuss RMP
September 21, 2009	Contacted tribes to request meeting with the BLM to discuss the RMP
January 6, 2010	BLM met with the Northern and Southern Ute Tribes to discuss the RMP process

5.3.4 Cultural Resource Consultation

Formal consultation will be undertaken during the Proposed RMP/Final EIS process.

5.3.5 Special Status Species Consultation

Formal consultation will be undertaken during the Proposed RMP/Final EIS process.

5.4 DISTRIBUTION LIST

Recipients of the newsletter and visitors to the scoping open houses were asked to specifically request to stay on the official RMP project mailing list to receive future mailings. In addition, the distribution list has been

updated throughout the development of the draft RMP/EIS. The distribution list of agencies, organizations, and individuals who have been a part of the RMP/EIS process is available in the administrative record. The distribution list for the draft RMP/EIS is maintained by the CRVFO and is available on request.

5.5 LIST OF PREPARERS

An interdisciplinary team of resource specialists from the BLM and specialists from independent, third-party consulting firms prepared this RMP/EIS (Table 5-9). Under guidance and direction from the BLM, the team prepared alternatives, collected data for the analysis, assessed potential effects from the alternatives, and prepared the other chapters of this document.

**Table 5-9
RMP/EIS Preparers**

Name	Years Experience	Discipline	Education
BLM, Colorado River Valley Field Office			
Adams, Pauline	9	Soils/Water Resources/Renewable Energy	MS/Aquatic Ecology BS/Biology
Beupert, DJ	19	Lands and Realty	BS/Geology
Bennett, Steve	32	Colorado River Valley Field Office Manager	BS/Recreation Planning
Brenneman, Beth	16	Vegetation/ Special Status Species/ Rangeland	BS/Ecology
Crocket, Allen	36	Cave and Karst Resources/ Project Management	PhD/ Ecology and Geology; JD/Environmental Law; BS/Geology
DeYoung, Carla	19	Vegetation/ Special Status Species/ Areas of Critical Environmental Concern/ Rangeland	BS/Ecology
Fresques, Tom	17	Fish and Wildlife	BS/Fisheries Biology
Gall, Faith	3	Geographic Information System Management	
Hopkins, Brian	23	Fish and Wildlife/ Recreation and Visitor Services	BS/Resource Management; AS/Wildlife Biology
Hopkins, Kay	18	Wild and Scenic Rivers/US Forest Service Cooperating Agency Representative	BS/Parks & Recreation Management
Huey, Carole	29	Lands and Realty/ Renewable Energy	AS/Forestry
Kinser, Mike	32	Riparian Areas/ Livestock Grazing	BS/Range Management
Kocman, Shauna	4	Air Quality	PhD/Civil Engineering
Mendonca, Karl	27	Forestry/ Renewable Energy	BS/Forest Management
Miller, Kimberly	2	Visual Resources/ Lands with Wilderness Characteristics Outside Existing WSAs/ Cave and Karst Resources/ Recreation and Visitor Services/ Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas/ Wild and Scenic Rivers	BS/Biology; BA/General Studies for Spanish, Education & Music
Pittman, Isaac	5	Livestock Grazing	BS/Rangeland Science; BS/Biology
Ringer, Sylvia	5	Fish and Wildlife/ Special Status Species	BS/Animal Ecology
Russell, John	20	Glenwood Springs Field Office Resource Management Plan Project Manager	MSSPA, BS/Recreation Resource Management; AS/Natural Resources

**Table 5-9
RMP/EIS Preparers**

Name	Years Experience	Discipline	Education
Wolfgang, Greg	4	Lands with Wilderness Characteristics Outside Existing WSAs/ Cave and Karst Resources/ Recreation and Visitor Services/ Comprehensive Trails and Travel Management/ Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas	MS/Landscape Architecture & Environmental Planning; BS/Math
Former ID Team Members			
Anderson, Alton	4	Wildland Fire and Ecology and Management	BS/ Wildland Fire Management
Ausmus, Desa	11	Fish and Wildlife/ Special Status Species	MA / Biological Sciences; BA/ Biological Sciences
Conrath, Fred	33	Coal/ Fluid Minerals/ Locatable Minerals, Mineral Materials, and Non-energy Leasable Minerals	BS/Geology
Conrath, Karen	6	Groundwater/ Paleontological Resources/ Energy and Minerals	BS/Geology
Gergen, Denise	9	Geographic Information System Management	BS/Cartography
Geyer, Dane	8	Energy and Minerals	BS/Petroleum Engineering
Harrison, Cheryl	34	Cultural Resources/ Tribal Interests	BA/Archaeology
Koppa, Justin		Geographic Information Management	
Ludwig, Noel	2	Water Resources, Surface	PhD/Geography; MS/Geophysics; BS/Geology and English
O'Connell, Jeff	8	Soils/ Water Resources/ Renewable Energy	MS/Geology
Rosene, Rich		Forestry	
Sterin, Bunny		Visual Resources, Lands with Wilderness Characteristics Outside Existing WSAs/ Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas/ Wild and Scenic Rivers/ Watchable Wildlife Areas/ National Trails and Scenic Byways	
Stout, Joe		RMP Project Manager	
Colorado State Office			
Pranzo, Jeanette		Socioeconomics/ Environmental Justice	MA/Economics
Smith, Roy		Wild and Scenic Rivers	MS/Natural Resource Management; BS/Biology and Communication
Thompson, Jay	21	Soils Fisheries/Riparian	MS/Fisheries Biology; BS/Water Resources Management
Zahniser, Angela		Air Quality	MPA, BA/Environmental Policy and Natural Resource Management

**Table 5-9
RMP/EIS Preparers**

Name	Years Experience	Discipline	Education
Contractor, Tetra Tech, Inc			
Adornetto, Cynthia	24	Lands and Realty/ Recreation and Visitor Services/	MS/Environmental Policy and Mgmt.; BS/Natural Resources
Anderson, Maren	3	Biological Resources	BA/Ecology and Evolutionary Biology
Bayer, Kelly	16	Fish and Wildlife/ Special Status Species/	BS/Biology and Marine Science
Benz, Jenny	15	Project Management/Forest and Woodlands/ Lands and Realty	BA/Environmental Studies
Doyle, Kevin	25	Cultural Resources/ Paleontological Resources/ National Trails and Scenic Byways	BA/Sociology
Flood, Cameo	24	Wildland Fire and Ecology and Management/ Forestry	BS/Forest Resource Management
Holmgren, Derek	9	Visual Resources/ Public Health and Safety	MPA/Environmental Policy and Natural Resources Management; MS/Environmental Science; BS/Environmental Science; BA/International Studies
Jarman, Cliff	21	Project Manager/ Soils/ Water Resources/ Coal/ Minerals/ Energy and Minerals/ Renewable Energy/ Locatable Minerals, Mineral Materials, Non-energy Leasable Minerals, Executive Summary	MS/Geophysics; BS/Geology
Kaiser, Genevieve	21	Comprehensive Trails and Travel Management/ Socioeconomics/ Environmental Justice	MS/Energy Management and Policy; Professional Certification/GIS; BA/Economics
Loscalzo, Matt	6	Lands with Wilderness Characteristics/ Cave and Karst Resources/ Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas/ Transportation Facilities	MS/Environmental Studies; BS/Political Science
Lynn, Neil	6	Vegetation/ Watchable Wildlife Areas/ Special Status Species	BS/Wildlife Biology
Miller, Craig	19	QA/QC	MS/Wildlife Biology; BS/Wildlife and Fisheries Biology
Phippen, Stephanie	12	Energy and Minerals	MS/Geology and Watershed Science; BA/Geology
Preicko, John	5	Renewable Energy	MURP/ Urban and Environmental Planning; BS/Environmental Studies
Prohaska, Holly	12	Grazing	

**Table 5-9
RMP/EIS Preparers**

Name	Years Experience	Discipline	Education
Ricklefs, Chad	6	Lands and Realty/Public Interaction/Project Management	MURP/Environmental Planning; BA/Political Science and Environmental Conservation
Seymour, Jill	5	Biological Resources	BA/Biology
Scully, Bob	38	Air Quality/ Climate	MS/Ecology; BS/Zoology
Varney, Randolph	20	Technical Editor	MFA/Writing; BA/Technical and Professional Writing
Weidner, Michele	12	Vegetation	MS/Vegetation Ecology
Zaccherio, Meredith	5	Vegetation/ Livestock Grazing/ Special Designations/ Areas of Critical Environmental Concern/ Watchable Wildlife Areas	MA/Biology; BS/Biology and Environmental Science
Zoidis, Ann	20	Biology Review Chapter 3 and 4	MS/Physiology and Behavioral Biology; BA/Geology
Contractor, EMPSi			
Adams, Angie	13	Special Designations/ Areas of Critical Environmental Concern/ Wilderness and Wilderness Characteristics/ Lands with Wilderness Characteristics Outside of WSAs	BA/ Biology
Batts, David	20	Public Interaction/Scoping/Alternatives	MS/Natural Resource Planning; BS/International Development
Whitaker, Jennifer	11	Travel Management, Recreation	MSM/Project Management; BS/Public Affairs, emphasis in Natural Resource Management
Wynant, Kate	4	Public Interaction/Scoping/Wild and Scenic Rivers	BA/Environmental Studies
Contractor, URS			
Bassett, Susan	20	Air Quality	BS/Chemical Engineering
Contractor, Alpine Archeology			
Chandler, Susan		Cultural Resources	MA/Archaeology
Contractor, ESA			
Manka, Mike	16	Wild and Scenic Rivers	BS/Biological Sciences, Ecology and Systematics