

# ASDO NEPA DOCUMENT ROUTING SHEET

NEPA Document Number: **DOI-BLM-AZ-A010-2011-0017-CX**

Project Title: Glazier Dam Grazing Permit Transfer

Project Lead: Jackie Roaque

Date that any scoping meeting was conducted: N/A

Date that concurrent, electronic distribution for review was initiated: 04/11/2011

Deadline for receipt of responses: 05/02/2011

ID Team/Required Reviewers will be determined at scoping meeting or as a default the following:

Gloria Benson, Tribal Liaison  
Diana Hawks, Recreation/Wilderness/VRM  
Rody Cox (acting), Lands/Realty/Minerals  
Lorraine Christian (acting), Wildlife/ T&E Wildlife  
John Herron, Cultural  
Jackie Roaque, Special Status Plants  
Ray Klein, GCPNM Supervisory Ranger  
Linda Price, S&G  
Whit Bunting, Range/Vegetation, Weeds  
Richard Spotts, Environmental Coordinator  
John Sims, Supervisory Law Enforcement

Relevant Manager(s):  
Lorraine Christian ASFO

Required Recipients of electronic distribution E-mails only (not reminders):

Andi Rogers (E-mail address: [arogers@azgfd.gov](mailto:arogers@azgfd.gov) )  
Sarah Reif (E-mail address: [serif@azgfd.gov](mailto:serif@azgfd.gov))  
LeAnn Skrzynski (E-mail address: [lskrzynski@kaibabpaiute-nsn.gov](mailto:lskrzynski@kaibabpaiute-nsn.gov))

(Ms. Rogers and Ms. Reif are Arizona Game and Fish Department (AGFD) habitat specialists. Ms. Skrzynski is Environmental Program Director for the Kaibab Paiute Tribe (KPT). They may review and/or forward on ASDO NEPA documents to other employees. If a Project Lead receives comments from any AGFD employee on their draft NEPA document, they should include them in the complete set/administrative record and share them with the ASDO Wildlife Team. They will then recommend how these comments should be addressed. If a Project Lead receives comments from any KPT employee, they should include them in the complete set/administrative and share them with Gloria Benson as the ASDO Tribal Liaison. Ms. Benson will then recommend how these comments should be addressed.)

**NEPA Document Number: DOI-BLM-AZ-A010-2011-0017-CX**

**A. Background**

BLM Office: Arizona Strip Field Office

Allotment Number: #05202

Proposed Action Title/Type: Glazier Dam Allotment Grazing Permit Transfer

Location of Proposed Action: The Glazier Dam Allotment is located approximately 18 miles south of Hurricane, Utah (Attachment 3). Approximately 1,800 acres of this allotment is within the Lost Spring Mountain Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC). Glazier Dam Allotment is found within the following described area of the Arizona Strip Field Office (Attachment 2):

Gila & Salt River Meridian, Mohave County, Arizona

- T. 42 N., R. 9 W.,  
Sections 35 and 36
- T. 42 N., R. 8 W.,  
Sections 31, 32, 33 and 34
- T. 41 N., R. 9 W.,  
Sections 1, 2, 3, 12 and 13
- T. 41 N., R. 8 W.,  
Sections 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17 and 18

Approximately 460 acres of the Glazier Dam Allotment lies within Utah and is found within the following described area of the St George Field Office (Attachment 2):

Gila & Salt River Meridian, Washington County, Utah

- T. 43 S., R. 12 W.,  
Section 36

BLM acres: 6,877  
 State acres: 2,720  
Private acres: 640  
 Total acreage: 10,237

Description of Proposed Action: This transfer of base water and attached grazing preference from Robert J. Langston to Robert and Mary Langston Family Land and Cattle Ranch Trust applies to the Glazier Dam Allotment. The attached preference of this allotment is described below:

<u>Allotment</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Livestock</u>	<u>Season of Use</u>	<u>%PL*</u>	<u>AUMs</u>
Glazier Dam	70	Cattle	03/01 – 02/28	68	571

\*PL = Public Land

There are no changes to the grazing preference or terms and conditions of the permit. The permit resulting from this transfer would be effective for the same period of time as the current permit, which is valid through February 28, 2012.

---

## **B. Land Use Plan Conformance**

Land Use Plan Name: Arizona Strip Field Office Resource Management Plan (RMP)

Date Approved: January 29, 2008

The proposed action is in conformance with the following RMP decisions:

LA-GM-01, which states: “All allotments will continue to be classified as available for grazing by livestock under the principle of multiple use and sustained yield, except where specifically noted....”

Glazier Dam Allotment is classified as available for grazing by livestock under this decision.

SD-AC-08, which designated the Lost Spring Mountain ACEC for protection of cultural resources and threatened Siler pincushion cactus.

MA-AC-01(PS), which states, “Proposed actions within the ACEC will be evaluated to ensure they do not adversely impact cultural resources. ...”

The nature of the proposed action (i.e. transferring an existing grazing permit from one entity to another) is such that no impact is expected on significant cultural resources or special status plants. This action is therefore in conformance with these decisions.

---

## **C: Compliance with NEPA:**

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 11.9, Appendix 5.4: D. (1) Approval of transfers of grazing preference.

The proposed action is simply to transfer the existing grazing preference from one entity to another. This categorical exclusion is therefore appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed, and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 DM2 apply; see Attachment 1.

---

**D: Signature**

Authorizing Official: /s/ Lorraine M. Christian  
(Signature)

Date: 5/12/11

Name: Lorraine M. Christian

Title: Arizona Strip Field Office Manager

---

**Contact Person**

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact:

Jackie Roaque

Rangeland Management Specialist

BLM, Arizona Strip Field Office

345 East Riverside Drive

St. George, Utah 84790

(435) 688-3242

# Attachment 1

## EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES REVIEW AND CHECKLIST

IMPORTANT: Appropriate staff should review the circumstances listed below, and comment for concurrence. Rationale supporting the concurrence should be included where appropriate.

EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES Does the proposed action...	YES/NO & RATIONALE (If - Appropriate)	STAFF
1. Have significant impacts on public health and safety?	No	JRoaque
2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas?	No	JHerron DHawks LChristian
3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2) (E)]?	No	JRoaque
4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?	No	JRoaque
5. Establish a precedent for future action, or represent a decision in principle about future actions, with potentially significant environmental effects?	No	JRoaque
6. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?	No	JRoaque
7. Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the Bureau or office?	No	JHerron

8. Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?	No	LChristian JRoaque
9. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	No	JRoaque
10. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)?	No	JRoaque
11. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners, or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?	No	GBenson
12. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area, or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?	No	WBunting

# Attachment 2





