

**Categorical Exclusion Documentation Format for Actions Other Than
Hazardous Fuels and Fire Rehabilitation Actions**

**Barry R. Terrell Water Facility Right-Of-Way Renewal
DOI-BLM-AZ-P010-2011-052- CX**

A. Background

BLM Office: Hassayampa Field Office (HFO)

Lease/Serial/Case File No.: AZA-14912

Proposed Action Title/Type: Renewal for a Right-Of-Way (ROW) Grant

Applicant: Barry R. Terrell Senior (Sr.)

Project Code: LLAZPO10000 1430 ER

Location of Proposed Action:

T. 12 N., R. 1 E., G&SR Meridian, Yavapai County, Arizona
Section 16, Lot 14.

USGS Poland Junction 1975 Quadrangle, Arizona – Yavapai County 7.5 Min. Series Topographic Map; The subject land is located one and a quarter mile northeast of Mayer, Arizona, in Yavapai County. It is less than a half mile west of State Highway 69 and approximately 22 miles southeast of Prescott, Arizona. See enclosed EXHIBIT “D”, Directions to Location and EXHIBIT “E”, Visual Map Directions to AZA-14912.

Description of Proposed Action: The applicant requests a 10-year renewal of water well and pipeline ROW (AZA-14912) for use at his personal property (see enclosed EXHIBIT “A”, Enlarged Drawing of AZA-14912 and EXHIBIT “B”, AZA-14912 T. 12 N., R. 1 E., Sec 16). The pre-existing ROW is 140 feet in length and 10 feet in width. The total amount of public land involved is approximately 0.032 acres.

B. Land Use Plan Conformance

Land Use Plan (LUP) Name: Bradshaw Harquahala Resource Management Plan

Date Approved/Amended: 4/1/2010

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s):

The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decision(s) (objectives, terms, and conditions):

Land Use Authorization LR-24, “Continue to issue land use authorizations (right-of-way, leases, permits, easements) on a case-by-case basis and in accordance with resource management prescriptions in this land use plan.”

C: Compliance with NEPA

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with BLM NEPA Handbook H-1790-1, Appendix 4 or 516 Departmental Manual (DM) 11.9:

Categorical Exclusions E. Realty (9), “Renewals and assignments of leases, permits, or rights-of-way where no additional rights are conveyed beyond those granted by the original authorizations.”

A categorical exclusion (CX) is appropriate as there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed, and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in H-1790-1, Appendix 5 or 516 DM 2, Appendix 2 apply.

[NOTE: Appropriate staff should determine exception, comment, and initial for concurrence. If exceptions apply to the action or project, and existing NEPA documentation does not address it (i.e., Part III) then further NEPA analysis is required. Attachment 1 (BLM Categorical Exclusions: Extraordinary Circumstances), enclosed, is a checklist of each extraordinary circumstance and corresponding staff concurrence].

D. Signature

Review: We have determined that the proposal is in accordance with CX criteria and that it would not involve any significant environmental effects (see Attachment 1). Therefore, it is categorically excluded from further environmental review.

Prepared by: _____/s/_____
Hillary Conner
Realty Specialist

Reviewed by: _____/s/_____
Jim Andersen
Lead Realty Specialist

Reviewed by: _____/s/_____
Leah Baker
Planning & Environmental Coordinator

E. Contact Person

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact: Hillary Conner, HFO Realty Specialist, by phone 623-580-5649, e-mail hconner@blm.gov, or the BLM Phoenix District Office address at 21605 N. 7th Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85027.

Note: A separate decision document must be prepared for the action covered by the CX.

F. Recommendation

Project Description: The applicant has applied for the renewal of ROW AZA-14912, authorization for the continued use of existing water well and pipeline (also known as ‘water facility’). The water facility provides water to the applicant’s parcel of residential land. No construction is involved. The water facility is on approximately 0.032 acres of public land. If approved, the grant would be issued for a ten-year term, beginning July 7, 2006, and set to expire December 31, 2015.

Determination: Based on a review of the project described above and field office staff recommendations in Attachment 2 (Specialist Comments for the Renewal of AZA-14912, Water Facility), I have determined the project is in conformance with the land use plan and is categorically excluded from further environmental analysis. I concur with the proposed action provided the decision document includes the mitigation measures/stipulations outlined in Attachment 3 (BLM Mitigation Measures/Other Remarks for AZA-14912).

Approved by: _____/s/_____ **Date:** 09/13/2011
Steve Cohn
Hassayampa Field Manager

BLM Categorical Exclusions: Extraordinary Circumstances¹
Attachment 1

CRITERIA	<u>Comment (Y/N)</u>	<u>Staff Initial</u>
1. Have significant impacts on public health and safety?	<u>NO</u>	<u>TB, HC</u>
2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas?	<u>NO</u>	<u>TB, CC, CM, HC</u>
3. Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]?	<u>NO</u>	<u>TB, CC, CM, HC</u>
4. Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?	<u>NO</u>	<u>TB, CC, CM, HC</u>
5. Establish a precedent for future action, or represent a decision in principle about future actions, with potentially significant environmental effects?	<u>NO</u>	<u>TB, CC, CM, HC</u>
6. Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?	<u>NO</u>	<u>CC, CM, HC</u>
7. Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the Bureau or office?	<u>NO</u>	<u>CM, HC</u>
8. Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?	<u>NO</u>	<u>CC, HC</u>
9. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners, or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?	<u>NO</u>	<u>CM, HC</u>
10. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?	<u>NO</u>	<u>CM, HC</u>

- | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------------|
| 11. Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)? | <u>NO</u> | <u>HC</u> |
| 12. Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area, or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)? | <u>NO</u> | <u>TB, CC, HC</u> |

¹ If an action has any of these impacts, you must conduct NEPA analysis.

Persons/Agencies Consulted:

- ❖ BLM, Field Office Resource Specialists - Wildlife Biologist Codey Carter (CC), Archeologist Chris McLaughlin (CM), Recreation Specialist Tom Bickauskas (TB), Realty Specialist Hillary Conner (HC).

Specialist Comments for the Renewal of AZA-14912 Water Facility
Attachment 2

Codey Carter, Wildlife Biologist:

“Existing facility. No additional impacts..” 8/30/2011

Chris McLaughlin, Archeologist:

““Barry Terrell has submitted a request to renew an existing authorization for a water facility (well and pipeline), across public land, for the applicant’s private property use. An archaeological survey report prepared by BLM archaeologist, Don Simonis, has been reviewed by Christopher McLaughlin. Don Simonis surveyed a total area of 2000’ x 10’ or 0.46 acres, the “area of line” along the proposed pipeline path as documented by Simonis in the BLM form. Impacts of this renewal on historic or cultural resources were considered and therefore the survey limited to the requested right-of-way itself. Especially considering this is an existing facility and no new change to its location is being requested at this time.

The archaeologist, Don Simonis, documented in 1981 there was a historical site, consisting of “an extensive mining habitation site w house foundations, swimming pool, fish pond, mine and tailings (dates between 1915-1930)” that has been adequately recorded.

If the historic site as recorded by Simonis, while not analyzed for its potential to be included on the National Register, is avoided completely by this proposed ROW renewal then the granting of the proposed right-of-way will have no impact on historic or cultural resources. Please include a standard discovery clause as a stipulation if the right-of-way is granted.”” 9/6/2011

Tom Bikauskas, Recreation Planner:

“There are no existing routes that would be affected by the continuation of this water well and pipeline R/W. The attached map shows the existing routes and the area of concern near the well has no routes. Therefore, there is no effect on travel management by reauthorizing this well and pipeline.” 9/6/2011 (See map labeled EXHIBIT “C”, Map of Existing Routes)

BLM Mitigation Measures/Other Remarks for AZA-14912
Attachment 3

1. All terms and conditions of the original grant continue to apply.
2. All applicable regulations in accordance with 43 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) 2800.
3. The holder shall avoid any and all impacts to any historic or cultural resource by ensuring ground disturbing activities including vehicles and equipment are kept within the area approved within the right-of-way (ROW) grant.
4. Any cultural and/or paleontological resources (historic or prehistoric site or object) discovered by the holder or any person working on the holders behalf, on public or federal land shall be immediately reported to the Authorized Officer (AO). The holder shall suspend all operations in the immediate area of such discovery until written authorization to proceed is issued by the AO. An evaluation of the discovery will be made the AO to determine the appropriate actions to prevent the loss of significant cultural or scientific values. The holder will be responsible for the cost of the evaluation and any decision as to the proper mitigation measures will be made by the AO after consulting with the holder.
5. The holder shall protect all survey monuments found within the ROW. Survey monuments include, but are not limited to, General Land Office (GLO) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Cadastral Survey Corners, reference corners, witness points, United States (U.S.) Coastal and Geodetic benchmarks and triangulation stations, military control monuments, and recognizable civil (both public and private) survey monuments. In the event of obliteration or disturbance of any of the above, the holder shall immediately report the incident, in writing, to the AO and the respective installing authority if known. Where GLO or BLM ROW monuments or references are obliterated during operations, the holder shall secure the services of a registered land surveyor or a Bureau cadastral surveyor to restore the disturbed monuments and references using surveying procedures found in the Manual of Surveying Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands in the United States, latest edition. The holder shall record such survey in the appropriate county and send a copy to the AO. If the Bureau cadastral surveyors or other Federal surveyors are used to restore the disturbed survey monument, the holder shall be responsible for the survey cost.
6. The holder shall inform the AO within 48 hours of any accidents on federal lands that require reporting to the Department of Transportation as required by 49 CFR Part 195.
7. The holder shall comply with all State and Federal laws applicable to the authorized use and such additional State and Federal laws, along with the impending regulations, that may be enacted and issued during the term of the grant.
8. The holder shall not use BLM managed land that is within, adjacent to, or outside the ROW for the long-term storage of any materials, equipment, or vehicles during any construction, operation, maintenance, and/or termination activities associated with the ROW.
9. Holder shall maintain the ROW in a safe, usable condition, as directed by the AO.
10. The holder shall operate and maintain any improvements, within this ROW, in strict conformity with that which has been approved by this grant. Any relocation, additional construction, or use that is not in accord with the approved grant, shall not be initiated without the prior written approval of the AO.

11. The ROW reserves to the Secretary of the Interior, or lawful delegates, the right to grant additional ROW, leases, or easements on BLM land for compatible uses over, under, within or adjacent to the lands involved in this grant.
12. No vegetative material, debris or refuse shall be disposed of either within the ROW or on any other federal land. Instead, the holder shall dispose of any vegetative material, debris and/or refuse at legal off-site locations.
13. The holder of this ROW or the holder's successor in interest shall comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.) and the regulations of the Secretary of the Interior issued pursuant thereto.
14. The holder shall comply with all applicable Federal laws and regulations existing or hereafter enacted or promulgated. In any event, the holder(s) shall comply with the Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976, as amended (15 U.S.C. 2601, et seq.) with regard to any toxic substances that are used, generated by or stored on the ROW or on facilities authorized under this ROW grant. (See 40 CFR, Part 702-799 and especially, provisions on polychlorinated biphenyls, 40 CFR 761.1-761.193.) Additionally, any release of toxic substances (leaks, spills, etc.) in excess of the reportable quantity established by 40 CFR, Part 117 shall be reported as required by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, Section 102b. A copy of any report required or requested by any Federal agency or State government as a result of a reportable release or spill of any toxic substances shall be furnished to the AO concurrent with the filing of the reports to the involved Federal agency or State government.
15. The holder shall comply with all Federal, State, and local regulations whether or not specifically mentioned within this grant.
16. The holder shall fully indemnify or hold harmless the U.S. for any liability, for damage, or claims arising in connection with the holder's use and occupancy of the ROW.
17. The holder agrees to indemnify the U.S. against any liability arising from the release of any hazardous substance or hazardous waste (as these terms are defined in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. 9601, et. seq. or the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, 42 U.S.C. 6901 et. seq.) on the ROW, unless the release or threatened release is wholly unrelated to the ROW holder's activity on the ROW. This agreement applies without regard to whether a release is caused by the holder, its agent, or unrelated third parties.
18. This ROW may be renewed. If renewed, the ROW will be subject to regulations existing at the time of renewal, and such other terms and conditions deemed necessary to protect the public interest.
19. The holder shall permit free and unrestricted public access to and upon the ROW for all lawful and proper purposes.
20. Upon expiration of the ROW or upon abandonment, whichever comes first, the holder will restore the lands to their natural grade and condition so far as it is practicable and/or to the satisfaction of the AO.
21. Prior to termination of the ROW, the holder shall contact the AO to arrange a pre-termination conference. This conference will be held to review the termination provisions of the grant.