

From: [Meredith Griffin](#)
To: [Peggy Fry](#)
Subject: FW: I oppose siting the Sun Valley/Morgan Transmission Line north of SR74
Date: Monday, February 11, 2013 9:58:41 AM

From: Ellen Carr
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2013 9:54 AM
To: Meredith Griffin
Subject: FW: I oppose siting the Sun Valley/Morgan Transmission Line north of SR74

From: dgodfrey@blm.gov [<mailto:dgodfrey@blm.gov>] **On Behalf Of** SunValley_Morgan, BLM_AZ
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2013 9:50 AM
To: Ellen Carr
Subject: Fwd: I oppose siting the Sun Valley/Morgan Transmission Line north of SR74

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Fri, Feb 8, 2013 at 5:58 PM
Subject: I oppose siting the Sun Valley/Morgan Transmission Line north of SR74
To: sunvalley-morgan@blm.gov

Feb 8, 2013

Mr. Joe Incardine
21605 N 7th Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85027

Dear Mr. Incardine,

I urge you to oppose the proposed siting of the Sun Valley to Morgan 500/230kV Transmission Line Project on public lands north of SR74. The previous location for the line is more appropriate and preserves a wonderful stretch of public land. Siting this line on public lands north of SR74 is certainly not in the best interests of the public. It primarily benefits one developer, while sacrificing our public resources.

I am extremely disappointed that the BLM's Preferred Alternative in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement is to place this line to the north of State Route 74. The information in the DEIS indicates that this route could have tremendous negative impacts on resources, including on sensitive desert tortoise habitat, recreational opportunities, scenic beauty, and more.

The Resource Management Plan (RMP), which was published only a couple of years ago, determined that a transmission line right-of-way is inappropriate for this area due to the negative impacts it would have. Less than a year after the RMP was finalized, however, a developer began pushing the BLM to change it in order to accommodate this line proposal. The BLM should say no to the developer and continue to manage these lands for their natural resource values.

I strongly recommend that the BLM reject this proposal and maintain that this area is off-limits to transmission lines and other development. Please select the No Action Alternative in the DEIS and do not amend the RMP. Keep our public lands public!

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.