RECEIVED
PHOENIX DISTRICT OFFICE
2013 JAN 23 PM 12: 56
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
PHOENIX, AZ

January/February ___, 2013

Mr. Joe Incardine, BLM Phoenix District Office
21605 North 7th Avenue, Phoenix, Az. 85027-2929

Comments on the BLM's Draft EIS for the Proposed APS Sun Valley to Morgan 500/230kV Transmission Line Project and The Proposed Resource Management Plan Amendment.

Your Draft is evidence of BLM bias against the Public!!!! On P.1-17 your Table 1.7.1 "Formal Scoping ..." does not include the June 8, 2011 5:30-8:00PM scoping meeting which included a representative of the Az. St. Land Dept., the Manager of the Lake Pleasant Regional Park, a spokesman for the Sierra Club and numerous members of the Public. More severely showing the BLM bias against the Public is the Economic Strategies Workshop's (P.1-18) and in Table 1.8.2 (P. 1-19) statement amending the RMP "would benefit developers".

BLM did not have a workshop for Maricopa County Taxpayers who fund Lake Pleasant Park where over 1.5-million folks enjoyed themselves 2011, thanks to our Public and the BLM's cooperation for over 58 years. Industrializing the vicinity near the BLM lands, buffering the Park's entrance and lands westward along SR74, shows us that BLM's words are broken.

Also, BLM did not have a workshop for APS Customers who will pay for Sun Valley-Morgan as electric rates will increase. We are APS electric-bill payers and rising rates should not be decided by the BLM. Rates will increase much more if the Proposed Action or Alternate-1 is BLM's decision, and the ACC's political decision on SV-M will remain; ACC set up BLM politically. BLM will hurt the Public ratewise because the Proposed Action/Alternate-1 already are too expensive for SV-M.

BLM missed Proposed Action/Alternate-1 additional millions in APS costs for crossing SV-M over the "10-lane wide freeway" SR74 will become as reported in the Draft - 20+ lanes wide for medians, shoulders, freeway lanes and spaces for the required safety-structures. Since APS will have to return for constructions as the freeway will be built in stages, millions, millions more, in costs APS will have. The Proposed Action and the Alternate-1 each are way too costly .

APS wants a SV-M construction once . Ratepayers want only one hearing raising electric bills.

We respectively select BLM's "No Action Alternate" to be the Final EIS decision on SV-M.

Sincerely yours,

[redacted]