

From: [Meredith Griffin](#)
To: [Peggy Fry](#)
Subject: FW: APS - comment analysis-ARE YOU SUGGESTIONG THAT BACK ROOM DEALS AND MEETINGS IS NOT A
Date: Monday, January 21, 2013 5:22:04 PM

From: Joseph Incardine [mailto:jincardi@blm.gov]
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2013 10:17 PM
To: Ellen Carr; Meredith Griffin
Subject: Fw: APS - comment analysis-ARE YOU SUGGESTIONG THAT BACK ROOM DEALS AND MEETINGS IS NOT A

FYI...

From: Joseph Incardine [mailto:jincardi@blm.gov]
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2013 09:16 PM
To: 'rhawes@blm.gov' <rhawes@blm.gov>; 'KDepukat@blm.gov' <KDepukat@blm.gov>; 'dgodfrey@blm.gov' <dgodfrey@blm.gov>
Subject: Fw: APS - comment analysis-ARE YOU SUGGESTIONG THAT BACK ROOM DEALS AND MEETINGS IS NOT A

Rem... [REDACTED] emails are getting even further accusatory and strange...

Joe

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, January 19, 2013 08:50 PM
To: Incardine, Joseph <jincardi@blm.gov>
Subject: Re: APS - comment analysis-ARE YOU SUGGESTIONG THAT BACK ROOM DEALS AND MEETINGS IS NOT A

TO; JOE INCARDINE
THE BLM AGENCY
BLM , WASHINGTON D.C.
CONGRESSMEN BARBER, PASTOR, FRANKS
APS
ACC
ALL CONCERNED PARTIES

Dear Joe,

Please do not suggest that your role and that of the BLM in the most recent hearings ---does not include back room deals, special considerations given, land swaps for future favors, not including the public about land swaps for giving special favors for land delveopers years later-----

SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN YOUR HEARINGS ????????

YOU ARE SUGGESTING THAT YOU WANT TO CONTINUE THE COVER UPS, THE BACK ROOM DEALS, THE SPECIAL FAVORS, LAND SWAPS IN RETURN FOR MONEY, ETC, ETC, ETC.

SO YOU WANT TO BECOME PART OF SOME POSSIBLE VERY ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES AND THE BREAKING OF FEDERAL LAW-----TO SUGGEST YOUR HEARINGS DO NOT INCLUDED ALL

ASPECTS OF THE USE OF BLM LAND-----AND WHEN YOU AND OTHERS FIND OUT THAT GOING BACK YEARS THAT TO REACH THE POINT IN TIME YOU ARE HOLDING MEETINGS----- -THAT YOUR MEETINGS BECOME POINTLESS AS YOU ARE LEAVING OUT EXTREMELY IMPORTANT DETAILS AND FACTS-----AND WANT TO JUST ADRESS THINGS THAT ARE CLEARLY DEPENDANT ON THE DETAILS AND INFORMATION, THE DEALS, THE MONEY, THE LAND SWAPS, WITH MAJOR CORPORATIONS THAT ARE NOW ASKING TO BENEFIT FROM THESE ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES-----YOU THEN BECOME PART OF THE PROBLEM AND MAYBE A BIGGER PART

These hearing are to be held to be open, honest and if something is brought to the attention of the BLM -that most certainly will have an imense impact on the herings them selves

Then you and BLM are not doing your job and is a complete SHAM- on the public, the American people and possibly illegal on your part ad that of the BLM.

Certainly, withholding details and information, documents, financials, minutes of meetings, notes be it specifically relating to your hearings have been asked for prior to any and all deadlines-----begs to suggst your meetings are illegal and worthless. The information that I have asked for-----

DIRECTLY HAVE TO DO WITH THE APPEAL TO USE THIS BLM LAND-----AND WE KNOW THAT THERE IS INFORMATION OF LAND SWAPS, MONEY EXCHANGING HANDS, FAVORS GIVEN AND TO THIS DAY-----NO ONE CAN COME UP WITH ONE FACT AS TO WHY AND TO WHAT BENEFIT WHY THE NORTH SIDE IS BEING EVEN CONSIDERED-----WHEN THE SOUTH SIDE WILL BE THE CHEAPEST AND SHORTEST ROUTE POSSIBLE -AND WILL SAVE THE NORTH SIDE WHEN THE SOUTH SIDE WILL BE FOR EVER CHAQNGED AND DESTROYED DUE TO COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT-----

THE BLM ---WHAT IS ONE BENEFIT TO USE THE NORTH SIDE RATHER THEN THE SOUTH SIDE-EXCEPT TO GIVE SPECIAL FAVORS AND MONEY TO LAND DEVEOPERS AND BACK ROOM DEALS GOING BACK YARS????

NAME ONE???

AND THE BLM DENIED THE USE THE FIRST TIME AROUND-----WHAT DID APS OFFER THAT CHANGED THOINGS TO EVEN CONSIDER THE NORTH SIDE ???

WHAT DID THESE LAND DEVEOLPERS OFFER OR GIVE IN EXCHANGE FOR THIS APPEAL ??? ? HOW MUCH DID THE BLM RECEIVE????

WAS THE BLM INVOLVED FROM DAY ONE GOING BACK YEARS-----THERE IS A RECORD OF A LAND SWAP DEAL THE BLM MADE-----KNOWING THAT THE BLM WEILL FIRST DENY THE USE-LOOKS REAL GOOD TO THE PUBLIC-----THEN THE DEAL IS FOR THE APS TO APPEAL-----AGAIN I WANT THE RECORD AS TO WHY THE APS APPEALED????? WHAT WAS THE BASIS AND WHAT IS THE BENEFIT TO THE PAYING PUBLIC.????

OR WAS ALL THIS PLANNED IN BACK ROOMS GOING BACK YEARS WITH THE LAND DEVELOPERS AND THE BLM,-----BECAUSE THERE IS A RECORD OF MEETINGS GOING BACK YEARS THAT ONLY INVOLVED THOSE NOW WHO WILL BENEFIT THE MOST BY USING THE NORTH SIDE RATHER THEN THE SOUTH SIDE

i HAVE ASKED YOU AND THE BLM TO EXPLAIN WHY THE NORTH SIDE -WHEN IT IS CLEAR THE SOUTH SIDE WOULD BE OF THE MOST BENEFIT OF THE TAXPAYERS, THE AMERICAN PEOPLE , THE RATE PAYERS AND i CAN GO ON AND ON. THERE IS NOT ONE GOOD REASON TO USE THE NORTH SIDE-----EXCEPT AS A PAY BACK TO THESE LAND DEVEOPERS--- -----

SO WHAT WAS THE BACK ROOM DEAL AND HOW MUCH MONEY EXCHGANGED HANDS SECRETLY ????

still waiting for the documents from the City of Peoria
also breaking the law

one of your co-operating agencies that is

stiull waiting for the information and documents and details fro the BLM and the APS and the ACC..

█

█

█

█

--- jincardi@blm.gov wrote:

From: "Incardine, Joseph" <jincardi@blm.gov>

█ >

Subject: Re: APS - comment analysis

Date: Fri, 18 Jan 2013 19:19:09 -0700

█, the information we gave you was related to THIS project, and part of the project record. The other information you are requesting is outside this Sun Valley to Morgan project record, for which I had not been project manager. However, I will contact the BLM Phoenix District on your behalf regarding their sending you this additional information:

1. the previously issued APS ROW information regarding buried lines in support of the Quintero land and 2. the land exchange between Diamond Ventures and BLM during the 1980s 's . You and I spoke briefly about the Quintero ROW after I looked into that somewhat. I know little about the exchange. Tirue, no information on these two items have yet been given to you.

Is there anything additional to these two items that you are requesting? Please let me know, and BLM will try to help you further...

Joe

On Fri, Jan 18, 2013 at 6:37 PM, █ > wrote:

Hello Joe,

You have been asked for ---formally requested, under the freedom of information act, for additional information and details-----copies thereof, and have received nothing. Please go over these so called 30 e-mails and have the copies sent to me-or bill me-----or I will send payment-----so the information and details and the documents we have asked for can be gone over and comments made along with looking at what possibly was done illegally for some time now-going back years.....

Lets be clear---what you sent me was a "drop in the bucket" of what we have asked for and most recently we have asked for-demanded-----information on a num ber of fronts-such as the land swap deal that the BLM had and did with the very people you are trying to protect . WE have also asked for details -copies of information-----regarding Quintero Counrty Club-----which again we have heard nothing back about -----and certainly no copies of anyhting.

I AGAIN BASED ON THE FULL TRUTH, AND COMPLETE OPENESS NOT BEING DONE, LACK OF NOTIFYING PEOPLE CORRECTLY, FULL DISCLOSER AND COPIES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT,

WE DEMAND THE COMMENT PERIOD BE EXTENDED -AS WE HAVE BEEN DENIED FULL DISCLOSER AND DENIED THE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE NEEDED TO FULLY MAKE OUR POSITONS CLEAR AND THESE ISSUES DIRECTLY PERTAIN THE THE BOOK-THE EIS BOOK- YOU KEEP REFERRING TO.

AS YOU AND THE BLM ARE AWARE-----YOU ARE PRESENTLY RECEIVING ALMOST DAILY-COMMENTS AND REQUESTS FOR COPIES OF DETAILED INFORMATION-----PETITIONS OLD AND NEW-----MAINLY FROM PEOPLE WHO HAVE NOT BEEN NOTIFIED CORRECTLY AND ACCORDING TO LAW. WE ARE PRESENTLY TRYING TO NOTIFY THOSE YOU DID NOT- ESPECIALLY THE SNOW BIRDS-----WHO LIVE IN AND AROUND WITTMAN ONLY PART TIME BUT PAY TAXES AND ARE ENTITLED TO BE GIVEN THE PROPER AMOUNT OF TIME-----AND LEGALLY REQUIRED-----TO MAKE COMMENTS AND NOT BASED ON SOME DAY AND TIME YOU SET UP--THE BLM---WHEN YOU HAVE NOT PROVIDED THE DOCUMENTS AND DETAILS AND INFORMATION THAT WAS ONLY DISCOVERED ABOUT A MONTH AGO.

WE HAVE CONFINED OUR COMMENTS AND OUR INFORMATION REGARDING WHAT YOU AND THE BLM HAS NOT DISCLOSED, WAS NOT TRUTHFUL ABOUT, HAVE PURPOSELY HIDDEN, LIED ABOUT AND STILL AT THIS POINT IN TIME---HAVE NOT BEEN PROVIDING THE INFORMATION THAT IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT TO ANY DECISION THAT IS MADE....WAY MORE IMPORTANT --EXTREMELY MORE IMORTANT AT TIMES THEN THE EIS BOOK AND THE COMMENTS AND FINDINGS THEY COMMENT ON.

IN FACT NO WHERE IN ANY BOOKS YOU HAVE GIVEN TO THE PUBLIC-----HAS THERE BEEN EVEN THE SLIGHTEST MENTION OF NUMEROUS ISSUES WE HAVE RAISED RECENTLY-WHICH CLEARLY SHOWS YOU HAVE PURPOSELY HIDDEN INFORMATION-----HAVE NOT PRODUCED THE COPIES -SOME GOING BACK OVER A MONTH OR MORE-----WHEN THIS INFORMATION WAS AVAILABLE IF ONE DIGS DEEP ENOUGH----GOING BACK YEARS.

I AM PRESENTLY TRAVELING ON BUSINESS THAILAND AND WILL BE SENDING -AS WILL MANY OTHERS-----COMMENTS AND DETAILS AND INFORMATION AND PETITIONS FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE SWEARING UNDER OATH THEY HAD NOT BEEN NOTIFIED PROPERLY AND ACCORDING TO LAW-----

THE COMMENT PERIOD HAS TO BE EXTENDED JUST BASED ON YOUR INABILITY AND THAT OF THE BLM --NOT PROVIDING THE DETAILS AND INFORMATION THAT SO FAR HAS BEEN DEMANDED UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT-----AND THERE WILL BE MORE COMING SHORTLY-----

JUST BASED ON THE COMMON SENSE APPROACH THAT RATHER THEN DESTROY BOTH SIDES OF RTE 74-----IS IS FAR BETTER TO DESTROY JUST THE SOUTH SIDE-AS THE OWNERS AND DEVELOPERS WILL BE DESTROYING IT ANYWAY-THIS PRESERVING THE NORTH SIDE AS CONGRESS AND THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA WANTED AND YOU ARE -THE BLM INTRUSTED TO DO

MORE TO COME-----A WHOLE LOT MORE-----

regulation citation we use from the Council of Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1500), specifically quoted from 1503.4 "Response to Comments":

"**(a)** An agency preparing a final environmental impact statement shall assess and consider comments both individually and collectively, and shall respond by one or more of the means listed below, stating its response in the final statement. Possible responses are to:

(1) Modify alternatives including the proposed action.

(2) Develop and evaluate alternatives not previously given serious consideration by the agency.

(3) Supplement, improve, or modify its analyses.

(4) Make factual corrections.

(5) Explain why the comments do not warrant further agency response, citing the sources, authorities, or reasons which support the agency's position and, if appropriate, indicate those circumstances which would trigger agency reappraisal or further response.

(b) All substantive comments received on the draft statement (or summaries thereof where the response has been exceptionally voluminous), should be attached to the final statement whether or not the comment is thought to merit individual discussion by the agency in the text of the statement.

(c) If changes in response to comments are minor and are confined to the responses described in paragraphs (a)(4) and (5) of this section, agencies may write them on errata sheets and attach them to the statement instead of rewriting the draft statement. In such cases only the comments, the responses, and the changes and not the final statement need be circulated (§ [1502.19](#)). The entire document with a new cover sheet shall be filed as the final statement (§ [1506.9](#))."

Please let me know any questions or further comment you may have...

Thanks, Joe

--

Joe Incardine

National Project Manager
Stationed in Salt Lake City
Off: 801-539-4118
Cell: 801-560-7135

--

Joe Incardine
National Project Manager
Stationed in Salt Lake City
Off: 801-539-4118
Cell: 801-560-7135