

From: [Incardine, Joseph](#)
To: [Kathleen Depukat](#)
Cc: [Ellen Carr](#); [Meredith Griffin](#); [Godfrey, Dennis C](#)
Subject: Fwd: Hello and good afternoon
Date: Thursday, January 03, 2013 4:58:14 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED] >
Date: Thu, Jan 3, 2013 at 4:34 PM
Subject: RE: Hello and good afternoon
To: "Incardine, Joseph" <jincardi@blm.gov>

Hello,

The issue is just what you explain-----each individual is responsible for just their little part of it and not taking responsibility for anything else-this is called divide and conquer. As I met with a congressman's office this morning for 2 hours plus—they attempted to do the same thing-but interesting -----claimed that the first meeting I went to some maybe 1 or 18 months ago----- things were pretty much decided already and really no need for any meetings to just look good and maybe follow the law-----which we know now-has not been done—

I have a flyer from the BLM that back in 2010-rejected the use of their land -----period-----one of the issues now----the issue now comes to the front was why that has changed.

I would suggest-that and we demand NEW MEETINGS –PRIOR TO ANY DECISION THAT THE BLM PLANS TO MAKE-----AND OF COURSE EXPECT THE COPIES OF DOCUMENTS, DETAILS, MEETINGS, EVERYTHING INVOLVING THE CITY OF PEORIA, the APS, and the Acc, and the answers to all of our questions that we have raised so far-----We will also be asking for the documents and information , details, all letters being written to the BLM –that the BLM has used and plan to use in the decision making process.

We are interested in what has not been made public and what has not been made available and what is not in your so called drafts or published manuals, that you and the BLM claim you know nothing about and have not been offered this information-----which renders your meetings null and void. One example used was the loss of property values in the 29 to 40% range-----nowhere is this mentioned at all.

Regarding proper notice-----well we know you have signed petitions of some 150 names so far- the Wickenburg newspapers, and we have contacted local newspapers in the Wittman and Circle City areas-and they too have no knowledge----- and we will be canvassing these areas of some 8,000 residents and those that are only part timers -----but have a legal right to be informed and of such matters.

We will be approaching the ACC , APS, as they for the record claimed-they do not have the technology to bury lines-----that is an outright lie-----and on the record-----We are still waiting for the copies and documentation, letters etc, etc, regarding Quintero being forced to bury their lines next too BLM land at a cost of some 8 to 10 million dollars-----You have all the e-mails and requests for copies, especially the from the City of Peoria, of letters, financials, pros, and cons-----especially from the elected officials-----and all of those requests still stand-and are even more important now that there are numerous issues that have never been brought up brought out, some hidden, some lied about, some just plain false-----and now we need answers-----

WE ARE REQUESTING NEW HEARINGS FROM THE BLM-WE WILL BE REQUESTING NEW HEARINGS FROM THE ACC, THE STATE LANDS DIRECTOR AND APS-----AS THERE HAS BEEN FALSE INFORMATION AND HIDDEN FACTS AND NON NOTICE TO A SERIOUS NUMBER OPF RESIDENTS REGARDING ALL PROPSOED ROUTES----- I will mention that back in 2010-the BLM rejected the use of their land-----AS YOU PLAINLY ADMIT-----NONE OPF THESE STATE AGENCIES AND aps CAN FORCE THE blm TO BE USED-----IT IS AMERICA'S LAND NOT LAND USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROTECTING MULTI MILLION DOLLAR LAND INVESTORS -AND NO OE KNOWS WHEN THEY PLAN TO START BUILDING AND WHEN THEY WILL FINISH-----IF EVER-----WE KNOW THE MASTER PLAN OF VISTANCIA HAS CHANGE NUMEROUS TIMES DOWN SIZING-----ETC, ETC, AND STILL NO ONE WANTS TO ASK THE QUESTIONS OF ANY OTHER AGENCY -AS THEY MIGHT BE ASKED BACK IN RETALIATION-----AS NO ONE WANTS RESPONSIBILITY-----

Please pass this and all my e-mails and any you receive-to the ACC, APS, and all agencies that need to begin to have new meetings and answer the questions and provide the information that we all know too well have not been answered or even come close to being discussed-----

Thanks, More to come-----I have a meeting out at Wittman and Circle to interview more residents who swear they were never informed and knew nothing-as all the newspapers admit to the same thing.

Joe thanks, but you are skirting the real issues-----as others have done and continue to do so.

[REDACTED]

From: Incardine, Joseph [mailto:jincardi@blm.gov]

Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2013 4:04 PM

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Hello and good afternoon

Hello, again, [REDACTED]. My contact with APS is with their Project Manager, Richard Stuhan, who was present at the three BLM public meetings that you attended in December. You may recall that he answered some of the questions that the Wittmann community had at that particular meeting.

His number is 602-493-4448. Regarding an ACC contact, I am not sure, since BLM was NOT involved in the State's process.

If you read the Draft EIS, especially Chapter 2, you will see what Alternatives that the BLM considered and analyzed, and which ones were

not analyzed in detail - with the rationale and details for each explained.

As I've indicated to you in prior emails, a lot of the information that you are asking about is already explained in the EIS document. For instance you indicate that ... "the application to the BLM, by the ACC...". The ACC NEVER made application to us, but rather it was APS who made application to BLM for a ROW, after the ACC (a State agency) designated a corridor, through a public process that they held prior to APS making application to BLM. APS as a utility is subordinate to the ACC. **Again, this is explained in the EIS.**

From speaking with those from the community, and reviewing comments that are coming into BLM, it appears that the "sub-Alternative" which was posed to us by State lands, is the major (but not only) concern to the Wittmann community. In regards to further meetings, I understand from speaking with State Trust Lands that they plan to hold further meetings with the Wittmann community after BLM's decision-making - which involves only 9 miles of BLM public lands. Recall from the meeting presentations - that State Trust Lands will make its own decision on their own lands in the Wittmann area - which ONLY involves State lands.

Joe

On Thu, Jan 3, 2013 at 1:58 PM, [REDACTED] >
wrote:

Hello

Joe-who is / are the main persons within the ACC and Aps regarding these power lines and their placement, the contact person or persons at the ACC and APS-----regarding these many issues. Especially the routes and costs involved

in the different route proposals-especially the one using BLM land-----It has been told to me----that at the first meeting I attended at the BLM about a year ago at the BLM offices-that the final decision was already made as to the route-----and that was using BLM land-----If certain decisions had pre-empted any meetings held by you and the BLM with and other concerned parties, regarding the proposed different routes that now were supposedly under consideration, please let us know and who to contact at that level-thk you

That the other proposed routes were never in consideration and that was not the focus on that meeting or any meeting after----This is new news to me and many others.

-----From what has been going on and explained to the communities----that the application to the BLM, by the ACC, can still be rejected, not to use BLM land-and force the route to the south side of Rte. 74 ????

So maybe the information I got this morning needs some confirmation either way ??
?? thks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

--

Joe Incardine

National Project Manager

Stationed in Salt Lake City

Off: 801-539-4118

Cell: 801-560-7135

--

Joe Incardine

National Project Manager

Stationed in Salt Lake City

Off: 801-539-4118

Cell: 801-560-7135