

**From:** [Meredith Griffin](#)  
**To:** [Peggy Fry](#)  
**Subject:** FW: APS - comment analysis  
**Date:** Monday, January 21, 2013 5:23:55 PM

---

**From:** Joseph Incardine [mailto:jincardi@blm.gov]  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 19, 2013 10:07 AM  
**To:** KDepukat@blm.gov; dgodfrey@blm.gov  
**Cc:** Ellen Carr; Meredith Griffin  
**Subject:** Fw: APS - comment analysis

Another email from [REDACTED] regarding further information. He is currently in Thailand...

Joe

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 18, 2013 07:16 PM  
**To:** Incardine, Joseph <[jincardi@blm.gov](mailto:jincardi@blm.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: APS - comment analysis

Dear joe,

What you gave me does not come close to what has been asked for. The other information is most certainly for the record and the BLM Agency, for which you work for-----and this information--that we have asked for and has not been provided by you-----then must be provided by those who do know-----as the details and information pertains directly to this whole project and what deals that were possibly made behind closed doors-----as you admit you know nothing-----Since the BLM is directly involved most certainly pertains to what you and the BLM are working on presently-----

SO CONTACT THOSE WITHIN THE BLM AND OTHER AGENCIES THAT DO KNOW-AND THEY CAN FORWARD THE COPIES ABOUT THE TRUE RECORD.

PLEASE JOE-----THERE WERE ADDITIONAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION COPIES OF, OF DETAILS, INFORMATION, MEETINGS, WHEN, WHERE AND WHY, WHO ATTENDED, NOTES, MINUTES, ETC, ETC, AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS AND OUT COMES. IF YOU DO NOT KNOW-----THEN THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO DO WITHIN THE BLM AND THEY TOO ARE HIDING THIS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT INFORMATION.

THERE IS THE 600 ACRES---OR AS YOU GUESSED -200 ACRES, AND I CAN GO ON AND ON

JUST YOUR ADMISSION ON A NUMBER ISSUES THAT YOU HAVE ADMITTED TO AS NOT KNOWING AND STILL DO NOT-----DEMANDS AN EXTENSION TO THE COMMENT PERIOD AND THE REJECTION OF THE APPEAL-----YOU DENIED THE USE ONCE BEFORE-----TO ALLOW THE APS TO USE BLM LAND WHEN IT IS CLEAR THAT THE SOUTH SIDE WILL SAVE WHAT YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE IN SAVING.

THERE WILL BE NUMEROUS NEW REQUESTS FOR COPIES OF DETAILS AND INFORMATION FORTH COMING-----AND OF COURSE WAITING FOR THE COPIES OF THE OLD REQUESTS.

thank you-----by the way I am in Thailand and will reply in a much more timely manner than the BLM has so far.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [jincardi@blm.gov](mailto:jincardi@blm.gov) wrote:

From: "Incardine, Joseph" <[jincardi@blm.gov](mailto:jincardi@blm.gov)>

Subject: Re: APS - comment analysis  
Date: Fri, 18 Jan 2013 19:19:09 -0700

[REDACTED], the information we gave you was related to THIS project, and part of the project record. The other information you are requesting is outside this Sun Valley to Morgan project record, for which I had not been project manager. However, I will contact the BLM Phoenix District on your behalf regarding their sending you this additional information:

1. the previously issued APS ROW information regarding buried lines in support of the Quintero land
- and 2. the land exchange between Diamond Ventures and BLM during the 1980s 's . You and I spoke briefly about the Quintero ROW after I looked into that somewhat. I know little about the exchange. Tirue, no information on these two items have yet been given to you.

Is there anything additional to these two items that you are requesting? Please let me know, and BLM will try to help you further...

Joe

On Fri, Jan 18, 2013 at 6:37 PM, [REDACTED]  
Hello Joe,

You have been asked for ---formally requested, under the freedom of information act, for additional information and details-----copies thereof, and have received nothing. Please go over these so called 30 e-mails and have the copies sent to me-or bill me-----or I will send payment-----so the information and details and the documents we have asked for can be gone over and comments made along with looking at what possibly was done illegally for some time now-going back years.....

Lets be clear---what you sent me was a "drop in the bucket" of what we have asked for and most recently we have asked for-demanded-----information on a num ber of fronts-such as the land swap deal that the BLM had and did with the very people you are trying to protect . WE have also asked for details -copies of information-----regarding Quintero Counrty Club-----which again we have heard nothing back about -----and certainly no copies of anything.

I AGAIN BASED ON THE FULL TRUTH, AND COMPLETE OPENESS NOT BEING DONE, LACK OF NOTIFYING PEOPLE CORRECTLY, FULL DISCLOSER AND COPIES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT,



[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[Walterskip@zona.net](mailto:Walterskip@zona.net)

--- [jincardi@blm.gov](mailto:jincardi@blm.gov) wrote:

From: "Incardine, Joseph" <[jincardi@blm.gov](mailto:jincardi@blm.gov)>  
[REDACTED]

Subject: APS - comment analysis

Date: Fri, 18 Jan 2013 13:46:58 -0700

Hello, [REDACTED]. I just wanted to thank you for the time you took in all your email comments that you've made to the BLM (I count more than 30 emails from Dec 18th to the present). You also participated and spoke at all three of BLM's public meetings/hearings in Peoria, with the hearing transcripts posted on our BLM website from these meetings. You and I have also conversed on the phone, as well as through emails after these meetings. As you know, there is still time for you and others to make further comment, with our comment period officially ending February 8th. Also, BLM provided you a CD of information on January 11th, Fed Ex'd to your home as you requested.

I wanted to assure you that BLM is reading all of your comments, with substantive comments of yours and others' **relative to this project** being considered and analyzed as we move towards the Final EIS. I would like to reiterate how BLM will move forward with its comment analysis, giving you the pertinent regulation citation we use from the Council of Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1500), specifically quoted from 1503.4 "Response to Comments":

**"(a)** An agency preparing a final environmental impact statement shall assess and consider comments both individually and collectively, and shall respond by one or more of the means listed below, stating its response in the final statement. Possible responses are to:

- (1)** Modify alternatives including the proposed action.
  - (2)** Develop and evaluate alternatives not previously given serious consideration by the agency.
  - (3)** Supplement, improve, or modify its analyses.
  - (4)** Make factual corrections.
  - (5)** Explain why the comments do not warrant further agency response, citing the sources, authorities, or reasons which support the agency's position and, if appropriate, indicate those circumstances which would trigger agency reappraisal or further response.
- (b)** All substantive comments received on the draft statement (or summaries thereof where the response has been exceptionally voluminous), should be attached to the final statement whether or not the comment is thought to merit individual discussion by the agency in the text of the statement.
- (c)** If changes in response to comments are minor and are confined to the responses described in paragraphs (a)(4) and (5) of this section, agencies may write them on errata sheets and attach them to the statement instead of rewriting the draft statement. In such cases only the comments, the responses, and the changes and not the final statement need be circulated ( § [1502.19](#) ). The entire document with a new cover sheet shall be filed as the final statement ( § [1506.9](#) )."

Please let me know any questions or further comment you may have...

Thanks, Joe

--

Joe Incardine  
National Project Manager  
Stationed in Salt Lake City  
Off: 801-539-4118  
Cell: 801-560-7135

--

Joe Incardine  
National Project Manager

Stationed in Salt Lake City  
Off: 801-539-4118  
Cell: 801-560-7135