## LINE MANAGER CERTIFICATION REPORT FORM

Instructions:

Please review the 1998 and 2003 NMFS and USFWS Biological Opinion requirements (Attachment A) for each of the following questions. Each question requires a "yes" or "no" answer. Provide a concise supporting narrative along with all "yes" and "no" answers. The adjectives "concise" and "brief" as used herein generally mean one short paragraph unless a longer narrative is necessary for coherent explanation.

- 1. Have you implemented all applicable Standards and Guidelines in INFISH and/or PACFISH (www.fs.fed.us/r6/fish/documents/)? Use Attachments A, B, & C to assist with answering this question. Provide a brief narrative that: 1) identifies any relevant S&Gs that were not applied; 2) why they were not applied; and 3) what other mechanisms were used to ensure that riparian management objectives were met.
- 2. To identify important places and priority actions for the conservation and restoration of ESA-listed salmonids (salmon, steelhead, bull trout), the 1998 and 2003 Opinions require that various processes and assessments be utilized. Were all of those processes and assessments, listed in Attachment A under Question 2, used on your unit? Provide a concise narrative that: (1) identifies any that were not used; (2) briefly explains why and; (3) lists and briefly describes any substitute processes or assessments used to identify important places and priority actions.
- 3. For PACFISH only, the 1998 NMFS Biological Opinion identified various tools and processes (Attachment A, Question 3) to manage risk for ESA-listed salmon and steelhead. Were all of these used on your unit? Identify any that were not used and provide a brief explanation as to why they were not. Describe any functionally equivalent tools and processes that were used.
- 4. The 1998 Opinions identified various processes (Attachment A, Question 4) to ensure accountability and successful outcomes for ESA-listed salmonids through implementation of FS and BLM management actions.
- a. Was the Certification Report completed in coordination with NOAA and USFWS through Level 2 or other process? Provide concise explanation along with your "yes" or "no" answer.
- b. Did you convene at least one interagency-interdisciplinary field review in the past calendar year to address ongoing implementation questions, management issues, or other actions that may affect salmon, steelhead, and bull trout? Provide concise explanation along with your "yes" or "no" answer.
- c. Can you demonstrate full compliance with the processes for successful outcomes identified in Attachment A, Question 4? Answer "yes" or "no" and provide a brief explanation as to why and describe any other mechanisms used.
- d. Can you demonstrate full compliance with the previous calendar year's sampling scheme for Implementation Monitoring of grazing allotments and upward reporting? Along with your "yes" or "no" answer identify: (i) which sampling sites were not monitored; (ii) which non-monitored sites were PIBO EM sites and; (iii) explain why monitoring was not accomplished.
- e. Adaptive Management Can you demonstrate appropriate management response to monitoring results from previous fiscal year? Provide concise explanation along with your "yes" or "no" answer.

5. Aquatic Habitat Restoration Accomplishments – Salmon, Steelhead, and Bull trout. List previous fiscal years accomplishments in the table below.

BLM	FS
# Miles of Stream/Riparian Treatments	# Miles of Stream Improved
# Stream/Riparian Projects Completed	# Acres of Lake Improved
# Stream/Riparian Projects Maintained	# Acres of Watershed Improved
# Miles of Stream/Riparian Inventories	# Miles of Stream Inventoried (Physical)
# Miles of Stream/Riparian Monitored	# Miles of Stream Inventoried (Biotic)

6. Line Manager Certification "I have coordinated responses to these questions with USFWS and NOAA Fisheries field managers through Level 2 or other interagency processes".