EXHIBIT B

FLTFA LAND DISPOSAL NOMINATION PACKAGE REQUIREMENTS

Packages may be submitted electronically via email and/or in hard copy.

□ Name of Project (include LR2000 serial number if already assigned).

□ Field Office.

If submitted electronically, maps, photographs, and other documents which were not originally created or obtained electronically should be scanned. Maps and photographs must be provided in .jpg format. Text must be provided in MSWord 2003 or higher.

Nomination packages for a 5870 land disposal project MUST include the following information and documents:

☐ Field Office Contact (name and phone number). This should be the project manager, realty specialist, or other person who can answer technical questions concerning the proposed project.
☐ The county in which the property is located.
$\hfill\Box$ The community in which the property is located (if applicable) or closest community and distance.
□ Land use plan(s) (e.g., RMP or MFP) in which property(ies) were identified as suitable for disposal and date of approval; if not all of the property is covered under the FLTFA, specify the portion of the property to which each land use plan/plan amendment is applicable.
□ The legal description and the size of the property in acres; if not all of the property is covered under the FLTFA, specify the portion and size of the property to which FLTFA is, or is not, applicable. If the project includes multiple sale parcels, identify the legal description and acreage for each sale parcel. Include map.
□ The encumbrances of record (e.g., rights-of-way, mining claims, grazing permits, special recreation permits, etc.); include any applications for land use authorizations that may have to be processed in conjunction with the sale processing.
The estimated value anticipated for the property and an explanation of how the estimated value was developed, including anticipated highest and best use, effects of local zoning, or other factors that would affect estimated value, as applicable. Examples are: (a) previous appraisal of the property – if so, provide appraiser name and date of approval of appraisal; (b) consultation with Appraisal Services Directorate appraisal staff; (c) recent appraisal of a similar, nearby property (either private or public lands), include appraiser name and date; (c) recent sales, asking prices, or offers of similar, nearby property; (d) assessed value of the property; (e) a proponent's or broker's estimate of market price and proponent's or broker's basis for the estimated value. For competitive or modified competitive sales, the estimated value would be the anticipated minimum bid amount. If the project includes multiple sale parcels, also identify the estimated value for each sale parcel. If a parcel is to be divided into multiple sale parcels, identify the rationale for the configuration of the parcels, including the effect on estimated value. If not all of the property is covered under the FLTFA, specify the portion of the estimated value to which FLTFA is, or is not, applicable.

office for processing. If not all of the property is covered under the FLTFA, specify the portion of the costs which is applicable to the FLTFA. Also, identify whether the task would be completed in-house or by contract. At a minimum, the following tasks must be addressed: appraisal, cadastral survey, environmental site assessments, mineral report, cultural resource clearance, T&E clearance (plant and animal), NEPA compliance, notice to grazing permittee(s)/waivers, NORA publication and other public information/marketing, and auction costs. Identify tasks that have been completed or are not necessary, as applicable. If the project includes multiple sale parcels, identify if the costs are equally attributable to each parcel □ Known or anticipated processing actions, including costs, above "standard" clearances (e.g., validity examinations because of mining claims, cultural resource treatment plans and treatments, Section 7 consultation, etc.). If the project includes multiple sale parcels, identify whether all parcels are affected. □ Known or anticipated conflicts or issues. □ Reason for nomination of parcel; identify entity(ies) interested in having parcel offered for sale and rationale (e.g., community expansion, trespass resolution, etc.); identify known imminent willing buyer(s) and/or other rationale explaining the expected likelihood of sale at the estimated value. If the project includes multiple sale parcels, identify the likelihood of sale for each parcel. □ Proposed method of sale (competitive, modified competitive, or direct); for methods other than competitive, provide justification in accordance with W.O. I.M. 2002-143 and 2003-259. □ Known or anticipated support or opposition by State/Local Government, interest groups, general public, adjacent or nearby landowners, permittees, etc. Identify the likelihood of protests/IBLA appeals/litigation. □ Identify any adverse consequences of delay of schedule (e.g., proposed use of past appraisal that would expire as of a certain date and delay would require new or updated appraisal). □ Identify if the project is a planned accomplishment/performance measure in the annual work plan. □ Identify how the project would meet Nevada BLM planning targets and workload goals. □ Identify if realty actions would be done by field office personnel or if it is proposed to utilize the Statewide FLTFA realty positions for all or a portion of the case processing. If Statewide positions are proposed, identify the anticipated number of workmonths needed to complete the project.

POTENTIAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SALE NOMINATION PACKAGES

☐ High estimated value parcels and high likelihood that estimated value is reasonable.
☐ High likelihood of sale occurring, at least at estimated value.
□ Expected high ratio of revenue to FLTFA processing costs (e.g., processing costs would be less than 20% of estimated value and/or there are other committed funding sources that would eliminate/reduce FLTFA funding need); could also include projects in which various processing tasks were completed through other means (i.e., lands considered in an exchange but dropped because of equalization).
□ High profile sale (Congressional/State/Local Government/County Citizen Advisory Board support).
☐ Low chance of protests/appeals/litigation.
□ Low chance of resource issues that would stop or add lengthy delays to sale processing or would cause significant processing costs.
□ Comparison of Nevada targets and goals with costs (e.g., SLT willing to do "deficit" sale because of P.R. or other factors).
□ Comparison of disposal actions and Federal land acquisitions, by county.
☐ Identified in annual work plan as a planned accomplishment/performance measure.