From:	Bowman, Randal
To:	Aaron Moody
Subject:	Fwd: Paper requested by Jim
Date:	Friday, May 12, 2017 2:12:43 PM
Attachments:	Range of Options from Review of National Monuments.docx

---

Forgot to copy you on this and have been on the phone since - Option C came from BLM, uses words from Antiquities Act in place of my more general terms

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Bowman, Randal** <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov>
Date: Fri, May 12, 2017 at 2:26 PM
Subject: Paper requested by Jim
To: "Gulac, Catherine" <catherine_gulac@ios.doi.gov>, Gareth Rees <Gareth_Rees@ios.doi.gov>

Jim asked that I prepare a list of possible outcomes of the Monument Review, but did not say what he wanted it for, and I didn't think to ask. However, the intended use is likely key to what I provide.

Attached are (b) (5) [redacted] on 3 pages for such a list. Would you please show them to him when he has a few minutes, and let him know that I don't know what he wants to use it for, so its possible none of the options are appropriate. Thanks

Range of Options for Review of National Monuments

This list illustrates generic examples along a spectrum of choices. There is no priority to the order in which options appear on this list. (b) (5) [redacted]. It is not practical to list all potential options.

Taking into account the factors set forth in E.O. 13792, the range of possible outcomes include:

(b) (5) [redacted]

This list illustrates generic examples along a spectrum of choices. There is no priority to the order in which options appear on this list. (b) (5) [redacted]. It is not practical to list all potential options.

Taking into account the factors set forth in E.O. 13792, the range of possible outcomes include:

(b) (5) [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

DRAFT

This list illustrates generic examples along a spectrum of choices. There is no priority to the order in which options appear on this list. (b) (5) [redacted] is not practical to list all potential options.

Taking into account the factors set forth in E.O. 13792, the range of possible outcomes include:

OPTION C – (b) (5) [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted].