From: Bowman, Randal
To: Hoy, Mark
Cc: Stu Shulman; Marcia Cash
Subject: Re: another possible glitch
Date: Monday, August 14, 2017 7:20:01 AM

Thank you - glad it was something simple

On Sun, Aug 13, 2017 at 11:47 PM, Hoy, Mark <mark@texifter.com> wrote:

> Hi Randal -
>
> I've found and fixed the issue - it was only a display issue (not a problem with the codes or coding...) - the color was just not synchronized correctly between the code labels and the code percentages (however, if you hover over the coding percentage, it will display the proper code label)... this should be all fixed now and displaying properly.
>
> - Mark
>
>
> On Sun, Aug 13, 2017 at 7:41 PM, Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
>
>> It is still open - should I close it? Name is "singles v5", URL is
>>
>> http://doi.discovertext.com/dataset/details/0000000500000000000000000000000930000000000000000
>>
>> On Sun, Aug 13, 2017 at 6:08 PM, Stu Shulman <stu@texifter.com> wrote:
>>> Randal,
>>>
>>> Please send the URL of the dataset details page. It is not possible to evaluate your feedback without more information.
>>>
>>> Stu
>>>
>>> On Sun, Aug 13, 2017 at 6:04 PM Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
>>>>> I created a new dataset in the June 13 project, to capture and let me code the remaining uncoded items here from the "curley quotes" mishap. I used the standard "new 4-code set" in creating it.
>>>>>
>>>>> However, when I stopped, I noticed that the coding report is off. It shows
>>>>>
>>>>> Coding Percentages
>>>>>

67%	11%	22%

>>>>>
>>>>> I have watched the placement of every check mark as I go, and none by design or accident have gone in box 3, new information. Everything has been either oppose, support or uncodable.

> The system appears to be transposing new information and uncodable, of which there have been a lot.

--
Dr. Stuart W. Shulman
Founder and CEO, Texifter
Cell: 413-992-8513
LinkedIn: http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman