From: Bowman, Randal
To: Cash, Marcia
Subject: Re: Attachments check
Date: Friday, August 11, 2017 11:28:00 AM

OK, thanks. I have a copy of the NRA letter, and gave that to her to upload.

On Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 12:10 PM, Cash, Marcia <marcia_cash@fws.gov> wrote:

> I checked several in each cluster. I have some concerns, and I will relay to Stu in a separate email (will cc you).
>
> Cluster 10 didn't show attachments, but checking Regulations.gov and FDMS showed there was attachments. Some were handwritten letters that would not have OCRd properly. But I thought there would always be the icon for the attachment available on the screen to go directly to the attachment. Will ask Stu about that.
>
> All that I checked were from 1 submitter. The attachment number seemed arbitrary. Don't know how that gets assigned.
>
> My bigger concern is that they were in the near duplicate project. There was nothing remotely "near" about any of them.
>
> And further, I happened upon a letter - that should have OCRd OK but wasn't showing - from the NRA. Document ID DOI-2017-0002-134368. This is a record that Jennifer entered. I am assuming it came in the mail and was scanned. I don't know how it ended up in the "near duplicate" cluster.
>
> Then I noticed that the record was not entered completely. The submitter info page was blank. A letter from the NRA - or any organization - should be entered in that page to tip off the reviewer that it may be substantive. But that's not the issue we're dealing with here.
>
> Cluster 16 showed the OCRd version of the attachment, but did not have the icon for the attachment available to view.
>
> To answer your question about about mass mailings because of the attachment name, I did not find any that were on the mass mailing list.
>
> Marcia Cash
> eERDMS - eRecords - BPHC Representative
> eRulemaking / FDMS Administrator
>
> **U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service**
> *Division of Policy, Performance, and Management Programs (PPM)*
> *(Formerly Division of Policy and Directives Management - PDM)*
> *5275 Leesburg Pike, MS: BPHC*
> *Falls Church, VA 22041-3808*
> *Telephone:* ***703-358-2013***
> *Fax: 703-358-1997*
>
> On Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 9:18 AM, Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
>
> > There are two sets of near-duplicate comments from the June 13 download that say "see

attached attachments". Cluster 10, 226 items, does not show any attachments when the comments are opened - perhaps mistakes by commenters; and cluster 16, 78 items, appears to have the visible comment as the attachment - one comment per attachment, 1 attachment per submitted comment. Both have numbers as part of the comment that could be interpreted as indicating there are over 1000 comments per attachment, or they could be random numbers inserted for who knows what reason.

When you get a moment, could you check a couple from each cluster against both the fdms record to see if there are attachments that don't show up in Discovertext for some reason, and if not, check the spreadsheet to make sure no one interpreted the numbers in the comments as actual attached comments. Conversely, of course, if there are all those comments and we can't see them in DiscoverText, please let Stu know so he is aware of the problem - but not something needing fixing at our expense - and ask Jennifer to go through them to add to the totals.