

To: Mastrofini, Kristi[kmastrof@blm.gov]
From: Magee, Gerald (Jerry)
Sent: 2017-07-06T16:04:37-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: Follow-up on CSNM Grazing Question from DOI
Received: 2017-07-06T16:04:47-04:00

Thanks Kristi,

I don't need the spreadsheet, but want to make sure Rachel rec'd it. Is the spreadsheet on a google drive or was it supposed to be attached?

Thanks again for following up, and KFalls will hopefully answer soon. I'm glad to passed it along to several of the folks there.

Jerry Magee
BLM Oregon-Washington State Office
Wilderness & Nat'l Conservation Lands Program Lead
Telephone: (503) 808-6086
FAX: (503) 808-6021
Email: gmagee@blm.gov



On Thu, Jul 6, 2017 at 12:58 PM, Mastrofini, Kristi <kmastrof@blm.gov> wrote:

Rachel,

My Range Management Specialist, Jason Tarrant, made some corrections in the spreadsheet to the permitted AUMs (Lake Creek Summer) and sold AUMs (Grizzly); the corrections are noted in red next to the original numbers. When they first responded to the data call they were attempting to proportion the AUMs based on the percentage of the allotment in the CSNM. When they changed to just report the totals for the allotment, they missed correcting some of their numbers. One number for sold AUMs (Grizzly) came in the original table and we missed correcting it. We apologize for the confusion and extra work this may have caused on your end.

Deadwood is correct with one AUM higher sold than what is permitted. It is a result of how the AUMs sold are calculated which ends up at 222.6. This is then rounded up to 223.

I sent your email on to Don Holmstrom at Klamath Falls as we are unable to access their data for Dixie. If you or Jerry could work directly with them (if you haven't already) that would be helpful.

I hope this clears things up, and let me know if you still have questions.

Thank you,
Kristi

On Thu, Jul 6, 2017 at 6:12 AM, Wootton, Rachel <rwootton@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Kristi,

I hope you had a great 4th of July. I was asked to follow up on this, any ideas why this might be the case? Feel free to let me know if there is someone else I should contact or work with on this.

Thank you so much for all of your help and I hope things are going well in Medford!

Best,

Rachel

--

Rachel Wootton
Planning and Environmental Specialist
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)
Bureau of Land Management
20 M Street SE Washington, DC 20003
rwootton@blm.gov
desk - (202) 912-7398
cell - (202) 774-8791

[Visit us online!](#)

On Mon, Jul 3, 2017 at 11:30 AM, Wootton, Rachel <rwootton@blm.gov> wrote:

Thanks!

Best,

Rachel

--

Rachel Wootton
Planning and Environmental Specialist
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)
Bureau of Land Management
20 M Street SE Washington, DC 20003
rwootton@blm.gov
desk - (202) 912-7398
cell - (202) 774-8791

[Visit us online!](#)

On Mon, Jul 3, 2017 at 11:29 AM, Kristi Mastrofini <kmastrof@blm.gov> wrote:

Rachel,
I will get with our Range Specialist on Wed and work on this.

Sent from my iPad

On Jul 3, 2017, at 7:44 AM, Wootton, Rachel <rwootton@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Jerry, Joel, and Kristi,
I thought we had already cleared this up, but the folks at DOI had a follow up question regarding the grazing permitted in the expanded CSNM. The reported numbers for sold AUM were actually higher than permitted AUMs in a few instances (Lake Creek Summer. Deadwood, and Grizzly - 2015). Do you know why this is the case? Thank you!

FYI - In response to another grazing question, we sent this along from review report.

Here is the information from the CSNM Review report (pg. 26):

Background: *The Proclamation states that the Secretary of the Interior shall study the impacts of livestock grazing on the objects of biological interest in the Monument with specific attention to sustaining the natural ecosystem dynamics. The CSNM RMP sets up a framework to study and make decisions about livestock grazing. The CSNM RMP deferred many decisions regarding grazing of livestock within CSNM until the results of a grazing study were completed. The CSNM RMP states that for newly acquired lands, "applications for grazing leases or temporary grazing use on newly acquired (after approval of this RMP) lands that had previously been used for authorized livestock grazing at any time since the Proclamation will be analyzed (with information including the determinations from the Livestock Impacts Study) to determine if the grazing would be consistent with protecting Monument objects. The BLM will not authorize those applications that are found to be incompatible with protecting Monument objects. The BLM may authorize those applications that the BLM finds compatible with protecting Monument objects and which do not pose other land use conflicts." (29) A grazing study was conducted to meet this requirement. Most of the existing grazing leases within the CSNM (30) were retired through a third party buy-out action as authorized through the Omnibus Public Lands Management Act of 2009 (OPLMA). The OPLMA ensured a permanent end to grazing on the grazing allotments covered by those donated leases. The removal of livestock grazing from roughly 93 percent of the Monument in 2009 has allowed for ecological changes on CSNM lands that had been grazed for decades. (31) Some grazing continues to occur on the CSNM and is administered by the Klamath Falls FO.*

The notes in this section were (29) Decision GRA-8, p. 72 CSNM RMP, (30) The grazing leases which were purchased were all permitted out of the Medford FO, and (31) CSNM Manager's Annual Report, 2014 and 2015.

Best,

Rachel

--

Rachel Wootton
Planning and Environmental Specialist
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)
Bureau of Land Management
20 M Street SE Washington, DC 20003
rwootton@blm.gov
desk - (202) 912-7398
cell - (202) 774-8791

[Visit us online!](#)

--

Kristi Mastrofini
Ashland Field Manager
Medford District Office

541-618-2438

3040 Biddle Road
Medford, OR 97504