

From: Michael Weiss - NOAA Federal
To: [Bowman, Randal](#)
Subject: Re: time-sensitive question on NE comment
Date: Thursday, September 21, 2017 2:20:35 PM

Ok.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 21, 2017, at 11:47 AM, Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

last word should be "revenue" not "review"

On Thu, Sep 21, 2017 at 2:46 PM, Bowman, Randal
<randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

Never mind - final version goes with cumulative total, not annual, review.

On Thu, Sep 21, 2017 at 2:05 PM, Michael Weiss - NOAA Federal
<michael.weiss@noaa.gov> wrote:

Thanks. I forwarded to NMFS to review.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 21, 2017, at 8:17 AM, Bowman, Randal
<randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

In your earlier report NMFS cited an 8 -year total revenue from the monument area of \$12 million. However, in yesterday's comments, nothing was said about this apparently conflicting sentence -

Available data show that, between 2006 and 2013, the 42 vessels fishing in the Monument area reported 1001 sets occurring on 280 individual trips; that this area contains approximately 1.4% of the total U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline activity for this period, or about \$12 million average annual revenue.

Is the correct number \$12 million cumulatively or annually? Just hoping to avoid correction later, not that this is a substantial issue of itself.