

To: Nikki Moore[nmoore@blm.gov]; Christopher McAlear[cmcalear@blm.gov]
Cc: Peter Mali[p mali@blm.gov]; Timothy Fisher[tjfisher@blm.gov]; Sally Butts[sbutts@blm.gov]
From: Wootton, Rachel
Sent: 2017-04-03T16:26:55-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: National Monments Congressional Research Service Request Response
Received: 2017-04-03T16:27:43-04:00
[Monument Law Enforcement Activity 2006-2016.xlsx](#)
[Monuments Congressional Research Service Request Response.docx](#)

Hi Nikki, Chris, Peter, Sally and Tim,
I heard back from the folks in law enforcement regarding our questions. Cultural resources didn't have a comment on the response.

Sally asked that I send the updated response and see if you have any questions before we submit it this afternoon.

Thank you and have a great rest of your day!

Best,

Rachel

--

Rachel Wootton
Planning and Environmental Specialist
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)
Bureau of Land Management
20 M Street SE Washington, DC 20003
rwootton@blm.gov
desk - 202-912-7398
cell - 202-774-8791

[Visit us online!](#)

On Sat, Apr 1, 2017 at 10:49 AM, Wootton, Rachel <rwootton@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Nikki and Chris,
Sally, Tim and I went over the National Monuments Congressional Research Service Request draft response yesterday and we think it is ready for your review. I still have a few outstanding questions for law enforcement regarding terminology (the name of the database, etc.), but I'll fill those in when I hear back.

Sally suggested I send the response to you. Please let me know if you have any questions, edits, or would like to discuss it.

Thank you and I hope you had a nice weekend!

Best,

Rachel

--

Rachel Wootton
Planning and Environmental Specialist
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)
Bureau of Land Management
20 M Street SE Washington, DC 20003
rwootton@blm.gov
desk - 202-912-7398
cell - 202-774-8791

[Visit us online!](#)

**Congressional Research Service Request
National Monument Destruction of Objects, etc.
BLM Draft Response**

This response was created with support from WO-400, WO-120, and WO-240.

Background: The Congressional Research Service requested information on destruction or theft of objects, artifacts, and other resources within monuments designated under the Antiquities Act for the most recent years available. The National Conservation Lands coordinated with Legislative Affairs, Law Enforcement, and Cultural Resources on this request. Law Enforcement provided a spreadsheet of law enforcement incidents on National Monuments and National Conservation Lands staff sorted the list for items applicable to resource related incidents (e.g. those that involve destruction or loss of objects, etc).

Timeframe: Data is reported from FY 2006 to FY 2016, the last available full year.

Method: Bureau of Land Management law enforcement officers input incident information into the Department of Interior's Incident Management, Analysis and Reporting System (IMARS) Incident Management, Analysis and Reporting System (IMARS), a national database used to track DOI law enforcement events. Prior to 2012, BLM used the LAWNET database system and its data was merged with IMARS. The information to respond to this request was collected from the IMARS law enforcement database.

Limitations: While the Bureau of Land Management tries to keep as up to date information about public lands, there are limitations to staffing, time, and other resources that affect our collection and reporting of data. The public lands cover many acres and land managers are not always aware of actions that occur on these lands. When incidents occur, the best efforts are made to track and report them. However, if incidents were not identified to the law enforcement professionals or the Bureau of Land Management, there is no mechanism to track the information. Additionally, while BLM staff carry Global Position Systems (GPS), there is not usually a physical boundary marking a National Monument or other National Conservation Lands area. This could mean that incidents reported might not have been identified as within a National Monument boundary. Finally, if incidents occurred prior to a monument's designation then they would not be reported associated with the National Monument name. Due to the factors above, the results reported may underrepresent total incidents within National Monuments.

Results: (b)(5) DPP

Items deemed not applicable included incidents not related to proclamation values (i.e. fence cutting not associated with resource damage, government vehicle damage, etc.). The two legislatively designated National Monuments, Santa Rose and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument and Prehistoric Trackways National Monument were included for reference. The results of this analysis were broken-down by national monument and year. They are reported in the pivot table entitled 'Response'. The raw data is also attached in the same spreadsheet, entitled 'Raw Data'.