

**To:** Mastrofini, Kristi[kmastrof@blm.gov]  
**Cc:** Joel Brumm[jbrumm@blm.gov]; Todd Curtis[tcurtis@blm.gov]  
**From:** Magee, Gerald (Jerry)  
**Sent:** 2017-07-12T14:19:59-04:00  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Subject:** Re: Draft Action Plan  
**Received:** 2017-07-12T14:20:10-04:00  
[CSNM Review Action Plan 5.12.17 JMagee+LFrewing cmts.xlsx](#)

Hi Kristi,

Attached is a copy of the draft action plan with OSO strikeout edits and red font additions in the text. I also added a column for additional OSO comments and explanations for suggested edits. I believe that after incorporating edits/comments, you could re purpose this column to add any remarks that could provide rationale or explain certain aspects of the Action items and their priority.

The report was circulated among OSO Management and pertinent staff specialists for review and comment. Only Leslie Frewing and I had formal comments to submit, and I've indicated who the specific comments are from in the remarks column.

(b) (5) DPP

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid black box. The redaction covers several lines of text, likely containing sensitive information as indicated by the (b) (5) DPP label.

You are free to have and discuss alternative perspectives on these issues and others raised in our comments.

As you know, the timing for submitting this Action Plan to WO is up in the air relative to the Monument Expansion, litigation regarding the expansion, and the current DOI review of CSNM. So there is no particular timeframe attached to incorporating the attached comments. And we need to emphasize that this review and our Action Plan focus solely on the original Monument, as the expansion did not exist at the time of this review and field visits. I have suggested a few edits to pertinent action items that could be affected by the expansion (e.g., timing and process for TTMP completion).

And finally, Leslie and I both have some general comments that are not included in the attached. Following are our general comments:

(b) (5) DPP

A very large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid black box. This redaction covers the majority of the lower half of the page, obscuring all content that would follow the 'general comments' section.

(b) (5) DPP

Please let us know if you have any questions about our comments/edits. And thanks again for your extensive time and effort in drafting the Action Plan well within the original WO deadline. Great work!

Jerry Magee  
BLM Oregon-Washington State Office  
Wilderness & Nat'l Conservation Lands Program Lead  
Telephone: (503) 808-6086  
FAX: (503) 808-6021  
Email: [gimagee@blm.gov](mailto:gimagee@blm.gov)

On Fri, May 12, 2017 at 3:00 PM, Mastrofini, Kristi <[kmastrof@blm.gov](mailto:kmastrof@blm.gov)> wrote:

Jerry,  
I am good with you releasing to other OSO specialists for a coordinated review. I also agree with you that it would be best to release the Review and a completed Action Plan together. I don't recall that we rearranged any priorities. If you see something that you would recommend changing we are open. Regardless of priority some items are easier to tackle and already in progress, the low hanging fruit so to speak. And yes, Medford is taking the lead on the Sundance Briefing.

Thanks,  
Kristi

On Fri, May 12, 2017 at 1:09 PM, Magee, Gerald (Jerry) <[gimagee@blm.gov](mailto:gimagee@blm.gov)> wrote:

Thanks Kristi,

I was in need of new bus reading. I'll start on this tonight.

Is it at a place where it's OK to share with others in OSO w/ expertise in certain areas (e.g., planning, cultural, TTM, etc.)? I can coordinate OSO comments if you're ready for them.

And I'll check to see if you did this, but we can use this Action Plan to re order priorities based on our perspectives and certain opportunities. We don't have to be stuck with the priority order that WO gave us.

Thank you for devoting your staff, time, and energy toward meeting this assignment. I can't believe how fast 6 mos is going. The combo report/action plan will hopefully give us the high level support needed to resolve many of the issues raised in the review.

On a related note, I've drafted Briefing Paper

s

on State Director expectations for formal v informal release of the WO Review Report, but now

I'm thinking that it doesn't make sense to officially release it this close to completion of the Action Plan (depending on WO time to review/comment/accept the Action Plan).

It might make sense to tie any announcements about the review report to completion of the Action Plan. It would be

more like "completed staffwork." Recipients would benefit from not only receiving a list of issues, but also associated actions that BLM intends to take to resolve those issues.

So I'm good with letting that one go for awhile. We've got enough with the expansion attention and Maka Oyate. I have the SD Briefing on my calendar, and Todd Curtis tells me that Elizabeth expects Medford to lead the briefing.

Thanks again for the draft Action Plan. I'll wait to disseminate until I hear from you.

Jerry Magee  
BLM Oregon-Washington State Office  
Wilderness & Nat'l Conservation Lands Program Lead  
Telephone: (503) 808-6086  
FAX: (503) 808-6021  
Email: [gmagee@blm.gov](mailto:gmagee@blm.gov)

On Fri, May 12, 2017 at 9:23 AM, Mastrofini, Kristi <[kmastrof@blm.gov](mailto:kmastrof@blm.gov)> wrote:

Jerry,  
I am forwarding you our draft Action Plan for the CSNM Review to get your feedback. The one issue with excel is that it is hard to track changes. I am not sure if it might go better to load to google drive. Let me know what you think.

Thank you,  
Kristi


--

Kristi Mastrofini  
Ashland Field Manager  
Medford District Office


541-618-2438

3040 Biddle Road  
Medford, OR 97504

(b) (5) DPP



(b) (5) DPP



(b) (5) DPP

