

To: Ginn, Allison[aginn@blm.gov]
From: Ashcroft, Tyler
Sent: 2017-12-22T14:46:01-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: Review of Draft Legislative Report for H.R. 4532, the Shash Jáa National Monument and Indian Creek National Monument Act DD:12/27
Received: 2017-12-22T14:46:09-05:00
BLM UT H.R.4532 LegislativeReport(TAedits).docx

Some comments.

On Thu, Dec 21, 2017 at 4:08 PM, Ginn, Allison <aginn@blm.gov> wrote:

All-

Please find attached a clean copy of the first draft of the legislative report for H.R. 4532, the Shash Jáa National Monument and Indian Creek National Monument Act. I recently reached out to Tyler, Laurie, Alan, and Joe to review specific text excerpts, as noted. I also have a call with WO 410 tomorrow morning to review our response to Sec. 104.

Ed has requested that we provide a final version for his review by COB on 12/28. Therefore, I am requesting that Abbie & Kent (or acting DSD) and the District Manager (Lance or acting) respond with any necessary edits or a note of concurrence by COB on Tuesday, 12/27.

You are welcome to return comments in a Word document using Track Changes or you can make comments and edits directly into the [Google Drive Document](#). (Note: Please click the pencil in the top right corner to turn on "Suggesting Mode". If you would like to see resolved comments, you can do so by clicking the "Comments" button in the top right header bar.)

You are, of course, welcome and encouraged to delegate the review to appropriate staff members, as you see fit.

BLM-Utah Legislative Review of H.R. 4518, 4532 ...

Regards,

Allison Ginn
External Affairs (Detail)
Bureau of Land Management
Utah State Office
801-539-4
195

--

Tyler Ashcroft
Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
(801)-539-4068

DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL



**United States Department of the Interior
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT**

Utah State Office
440 W 200 S, Suite 500
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
www.blm.gov/utah



In Reply Refer To:

XXX XX

To: Legislative Affairs, WO 620

From: State Director, Utah


Subject: Comments on H.R. 4532: Shash Jáa National Monument and Indian Creek
National Monument Act

(b)(5) DPP

Deleted:
Deleted: n
Deleted: m


DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

(b)(5) DPP



DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

(b)(5) DPP



Deleted: or staking of mining claims

Deleted: S

Deleted: a h

Deleted: igh level of potential for oil and gas for an assessment unit that included the

Deleted: monument boundaries established

Deleted: though it is not scientifically valid to statistically assign energy resource numbers in an assessment unit to a specific area.

Deleted: T

Deleted: exploration and development

Deleted: proposed 1.35 million acre withdrawal


Deleted: In the recent past, prior to Presidential Proclamation 9558,

Deleted: that were previously available

Deleted: the northern panhandle/Indian creek area and the eastern portion of the Shash Jáa area

DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

(b)(5) DPP




Deleted: or

Deleted: as appropriate

Deleted:


DRAFT

(b)(5) DPP



DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

(b)(5) DPP




Commented [2]: +abass@blm.gov - Please review. Thanks!

Commented [3]: Potential 410 Assistance

Commented [4]: This is the section we will edit collaboratively tomorrow morning. Thanks!!

DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

(b)(5) DPP



Deleted: intended to amend

Deleted: all already


DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

(b)(5) DPP




DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

(b)(5) DPP



DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL


(b)(5) DPP



Deleted: ten

DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

(b)(5) DPP



DRAFT
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

(b)(5) DPP

