

**To:** McAlear, Christopher[[cmcalear@blm.gov](mailto:cmcalear@blm.gov)]  
**From:** Nikki Moore  
**Sent:** 2017-06-26T15:20:40-04:00  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Subject:** Re: Additional Information request, Monument Review  
**Received:** 2017-06-26T15:28:50-04:00

I haven't yet except with Randy and Sally. did you see my previous email to you? Randy wanted it to go through Mike so if agencies choose to respond they respond with one submission - so I was wondering how you wanted to handle that. We could discuss Tuesday. Also we did do this for Bears Ears which is what gave Randy the idea.

Nikki Moore  
 Acting Deputy Assistant Director,  
 National Conservation Lands and Community Partnerships  
 Bureau of Land Management, Washington DC  
 202.219.3180 (office)  
 202.288.9114 (cell)

On Jun 26, 2017, at 5:17 AM, McAlear, Christopher <[cmcalear@blm.gov](mailto:cmcalear@blm.gov)> wrote:

Hello All,  
 Nikki have you discussed with staff the value (or not) of responding to the factors for one or more of the Monuments?

Please notify team of the process at the Tuesday meeting and assign a lead to this project.

Thanks  
 Chris m

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** **Bowman, Randal** <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)>  
**Date:** Thu, Jun 22, 2017 at 12:43 PM  
**Subject:** Additional Information request, Monument Review  
**To:** "Boone, Whitney" <[whitney\\_boone@nps.gov](mailto:whitney_boone@nps.gov)>, Aaron Moody <[aaron.moody@sol.doi.gov](mailto:aaron.moody@sol.doi.gov)>, Ann Navaro <[ann.navaro@sol.doi.gov](mailto:ann.navaro@sol.doi.gov)>, Herbert Frost <[bert\\_frost@nps.gov](mailto:bert_frost@nps.gov)>, Benjamin Simon <[benjamin\\_simon@ios.doi.gov](mailto:benjamin_simon@ios.doi.gov)>, Betsy Hildebrandt <[betsy\\_hildebrandt@fws.gov](mailto:betsy_hildebrandt@fws.gov)>, "Maucieri, Mathew" <[mmaucieri@usbr.gov](mailto:mmaucieri@usbr.gov)>, Tanya Joshua <[tanya\\_joshua@ios.doi.gov](mailto:tanya_joshua@ios.doi.gov)>, "Appel, Elizabeth" <[elizabeth.appel@bia.gov](mailto:elizabeth.appel@bia.gov)>, "McAlear, Christopher" <[cmcalear@blm.gov](mailto:cmcalear@blm.gov)>, Jeff Rupert <[Jeff\\_Rupert@fws.gov](mailto:Jeff_Rupert@fws.gov)>, Randal Bowman <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)>, Nikki Moore <[nmoore@blm.gov](mailto:nmoore@blm.gov)>, Sally Butts <[sbutts@blm.gov](mailto:sbutts@blm.gov)>, Anthony Rodman <[anthony.rodman@bia.gov](mailto:anthony.rodman@bia.gov)>, Laura Brown <[laura.brown@sol.doi.gov](mailto:laura.brown@sol.doi.gov)>, Timothy <[tjfisher@blm.gov](mailto:tjfisher@blm.gov)>, "Powell, Christine" <[chris\\_powell@nps.gov](mailto:chris_powell@nps.gov)>, Kaiini Kaloi <[kaiini\\_kaloi@ios.doi.gov](mailto:kaiini_kaloi@ios.doi.gov)>, "Van Houten, William" <[william\\_vanhouten@ios.doi.gov](mailto:william_vanhouten@ios.doi.gov)>, Marcia Cash <[marcia\\_cash@fws.gov](mailto:marcia_cash@fws.gov)>, Jennifer Stevenson <[jennifer\\_stevenson@ios.doi.gov](mailto:jennifer_stevenson@ios.doi.gov)>, Deanna Mitchell <[deanna\\_mitchell@nps.gov](mailto:deanna_mitchell@nps.gov)>

We had originally anticipated that there would be a considerable amount of public comment related to the factors set out in the Executive Order for the Secretary to take into account in the review, to which the management bureaus could react, and so did not ask directly for bureau comments on those factors. Several of them were indirectly included or referenced in the two prior information requests, and some bureaus have provided some material on some of the factors in their submissions. However, since the overwhelming percentage of public comments continue to be expressions of personal opinion, Downey would like any information or comments the bureaus might want to provide on these factors for any monument.

This is an invitation to comment, not a requirement. Please provide any comments by COB Friday July 14.


As a reminder, the 7 factors for the Secretary to consider in his review are:

- (i) the requirements and original objectives of the Antiquities Act, including the Act's requirement that reservations of land not exceed "the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected";
- (ii) whether designated lands are appropriately classified under the Act as "historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, [or] other objects of historic or scientific interest";
- (iii) the effects of a designation on the available uses of designated Federal lands, including consideration of the multiple-use policy of section 102(a)(7) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (43 U.S.C. 1701(a)(7)), as well as the effects on the available uses of Federal lands beyond the monument boundaries;
- (iv) the effects of a designation on the use and enjoyment of non-Federal lands within or beyond monument boundaries;
- (v) concerns of State, tribal, and local governments affected by a designation, including the economic development and fiscal condition of affected States, tribes, and localities;
- (vi) the availability of Federal resources to properly manage designated

areas; and

(vii) such other factors as the Secretary deems appropriate. [NOTE - This covers anything a bureau may wish to bring to the Secretary's attention regarding any monument]

--



Christopher McAlear  
Assistant Director  
National Conservation Lands  
and Community Partnerships  
(W) 202-208-4731  
(C) 775-722-9539