

**From:** Daniel Jorjani  
**To:** [downey\\_magallanes@ios.doi.gov](mailto:downey_magallanes@ios.doi.gov)  
**Cc:** [cyounger@blm.gov](mailto:cyounger@blm.gov); [heather\\_swift@ios.doi.gov](mailto:heather_swift@ios.doi.gov); Aaron Moody  
**Subject:** Fwd: WSJ - Questions on GSENM and dinos  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 14, 2018 9:10:44 AM  
**Attachments:** [attachedFile.html](#)  
[WSJ qs and as \(1\).docx](#)

---

Good edits from Aaron, ccd.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Moody, Aaron" <[aaron.moody@sol.doi.gov](mailto:aaron.moody@sol.doi.gov)>  
**Date:** February 14, 2018 at 9:07:47 AM EST  
**To:** Daniel Jorjani <[daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov](mailto:daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: WSJ - Questions on GSENM and dinos

Some suggestions/comments in the attached.

Aaron G. Moody  
Assistant Solicitor, Branch of Public Lands  
Division of Land Resources  
Office of the Solicitor  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
202-208-3495

NOTICE: This e-mail (including attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this e-mail or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

On Wed, Feb 14, 2018 at 6:53 AM, Daniel Jorjani <[daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov](mailto:daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov)> wrote:

Thoughts?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Downey Magallanes <[downey\\_magallanes@ios.doi.gov](mailto:downey_magallanes@ios.doi.gov)>  
**Date:** February 13, 2018 at 11:14:07 PM EST  
**To:** "Swift, Heather" <[heather\\_swift@ios.doi.gov](mailto:heather_swift@ios.doi.gov)>  
**Cc:** Cally Younger <[cyounger@blm.gov](mailto:cyounger@blm.gov)>, [daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov](mailto:daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov)  
**Subject:** Re: WSJ - Questions on GSENM and dinos

Adding Dan

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 13, 2018, at 6:38 PM, Swift, Heather  
<[heather\\_swift@ios.doi.gov](mailto:heather_swift@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

Q/A from WSJ below

1) Is there room for responsible mineral development near known Paleontological sites? If so, what sort of measures would be taken to protect the fossils and access for scientists to study them?

Absolutely. This is already happening across the west. First of all, all of the areas that are known to have the highest concentration of paleontological resources are still contained within the monument boundaries. Second, it is illegal to remove fossils or native american artifacts from federal land without the proper permit. These permits are generally reserved for scientific and historic purposes. Lastly, extensive analysis is done before any energy development on federal lands, and if development would induce irreparable damage to irreplaceable objects then the project could be denied or modified.

2) The President's proclamation allows mineral leasing in the reopened parts of the Grand Staircase and Bears Ears monuments. But I understand there have been no applications for leasing permits since the BLM on Feb. 1 said it would begin accepting them. Local Utah lawmakers say that's because the coal market is already saturated there and exploration for other

minerals still faces fierce opposition and a long permitting process. Did Interior expect low demand for this? Do you expect interest will pick up eventually?

Interior did not expect there to be a large clamor towards development. The Secretary said repeatedly that the monument review was not focused on oil and gas. This is further evidenced by the fact that the Department supports Rep. Curtis' mineral withdrawal on the land that formerly fell within the Bears Ears boundary.

3) Would the construction of new roads to access mineral sites open up the dinosaur areas to vandalism and looting, as the paleontologists fear?

This is a false argument. If somebody was focused on breaking the law to steal or vandalize, they wouldn't wait for construction of a dirt road to do it.

4) By breaking up the old GSENM and Bears Ears into monument and non-monument areas, scientists say that has made the permitting system for Paleontological work much harder. ie, some scientists who had monument permits now have to apply for BLM permits because their research site now sits outside of the old monument. Do you agree this is a problem?

We agree that the government permitting process is often burdensome and overly complicated for everything from building a telephone pole to weed control. We are working with stakeholders to streamline the

permitting process across the board.

5) Finally, can I get a general comment on whether you agree the Paleontological remains in both Grand Staircase and Bears Ears are important and need to be protected?

We do agree, which is why the Secretary has kept paleontological resources under federal protection. <see q 1>

-

Heather Swift  
Department of the Interior  
@DOIPressSec  
[Heather\\_Swift@ios.doi.gov](mailto:Heather_Swift@ios.doi.gov) 1  
[Interior\\_Press@ios.doi.gov](mailto:Interior_Press@ios.doi.gov)

***Attorney Client Privileged Communication/Attorney Work Product/Draft Deliberative***

Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic

Formatted: Centered

1) Is there room for responsible mineral development near known Paleontological sites? If so, what sort of measures would be taken to protect the fossils and access for scientists to study them?

(b) (5) ACP, (b) (5) DPP

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

(b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP, (b) (5) AWPP

3) Would the construction of new roads to access mineral sites open up the dinosaur areas to vandalism and looting, as the paleontologists fear?

(b) (5) DPP

[Redacted]

4) By breaking up the old GSENM and Bears Ears into monument and non-monument areas, scientists say that has made the permitting system for Paleontological work much harder. ie, some scientists who had monument permits now have to apply for BLM permits because their research site now sits outside of the old monument. Do you agree this is a problem?

[Redacted]

*Attorney Client Privileged Communication/Attorney Work Product/Draft Deliberative*

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic

(b) (5) ACP, (b) (5) DPP

5) Finally, can I get a general comment on whether you agree the Paleontological remains in both Grand Staircase and Bears Ears are important and need to be protected?

(b) (5) ACP, (b) (5) DPP

ACP, (b) (5)