

To: Sally Butts[sbutts@blm.gov]; Peter Mali[pмали@blm.gov]; Cindy Osorto[cosorto@blm.gov]
From: Nelson, Britta
Sent: 2017-02-27T11:43:13-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Monthly Hot Topic Snapshot for week of February 27
Received: 2017-02-27T11:44:10-05:00
[Monthly Hot Topic Snapshot for week of February 27.docx](#)
[FY2017 Tracking Spreadsheet.xlsx](#)

Hi Sally, Peter, and Cindy - the Monthly Hot Topic Snapshot for the week of February 27 is attached for us to go over at today's check in. The tracking spreadsheet is also attached. Thanks.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (WO-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.236.0539

	Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement and Notice of Decision for Proposed Land Exchange Between the Bureau of Land Management and the California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection	DTSA 1323	DTSA 1323 received on 12-29-16. Approved on 01-04-2017. (2017-01-04)
24	Update provided for week ending 01-09-17 (2017-01-09)		
	Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement and Notice of Decision for Proposed Land Exchange Between the Bureau of Land Management and the California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection	DTSA 1399	DTSA 1399 received on 01-13-17. Approved on 01-13-17. (2017-01-13)
25	Update provided for week ending 01-16-17 (2017-01-16)		
26	No update provided for week ending 01-23-17 due to no activity.		
27	No update provided for week ending 01-30-17 due to no activity.		
28	No update provided for week ending 02-06-17 due to no activity.		
29	No update provided for week ending 02-13-17 due to no activity.		
	Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement and Notice of Decision for Proposed Land Exchange Between the Bureau of Land Management and the California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection	DTSA 1469	DTSA 1469 received on 02-14-17. Approved on 02-14-17. (2017-02-14)
42	Update provided for week ending 02-21-17 (2017-02-21)		
	Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement and Notice of Decision for Proposed Land Exchange Between the Bureau of Land Management and the California Board of Forestry and Fire Protection	DTSA 1469	DTSA 1469 received on 02-14-17. Approved on 02-14-17. (2017-02-14)
43	Update provided for week ending 02-28-17 (2017-02-28)		

Call ID: [REDACTED]

Commenter: Britta Nelson

08/21/24

Hi Nina and Peter, I've been recommended for OTHA 1218, NCA for the Southwestern States PRMP/FDES. WDC102 reviewed the RMP and there are no outstanding issues. The planning area contains the higher value LightHouse CHANASC, WSA, and WDAF. The WDC102 BP can be found at: <https://doi.gov/engr/engr/>. Comments provided on the Federal Register Notice include the notice should state the RMP establishes National Trail Management Conditions for segments of the Potomac, National Heritage Science Trail and the Washington-Rochester Revolutionary Route National Historic Trail, and suggested adding to the notice that in other rule package materials. The NMA/NCA program reviewed and doesn't have comments on the notice package. The NMAF program concurs with the FRM comments. Can't recall if I haven't heard on the WSA program but it should be fine with the language added to the FRM in the stipulations described above. No waterways meet the WSA at Please let me know if additional information is needed.

[REDACTED]

To Steve Weather, Ryan Nink, Carol Thanks for the update! Is WSA included in the meeting with Eastern States? Since the OTHA is a National Conservation Lands unit, WSA should be included in discussions in the ACDC meeting. Thanks,

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands WDC102
Bureau of Land Management
303.218.0139

On Fri, Sep 13, 2024 at 1:10 PM, Asher, Steve <asher@blm.gov> wrote:
Hello Britta,

WDC comments were addressed and Pre-30-day was done. So, AD briefing took place. There were some issues regarding overlapping designations between nomination and ACDC. Additionally making sure that the purpose and need statement captures the spirit and content is also essential. I think Eastern States is working internally w respect of where the Federal Register package is. SO and we will inform that the issues raised at the AD briefing, are addressed. We will need help and support from AOS as well. We will keep you in the loop regarding any further developments.

I will keep you updated.
All the best,
Steve

Steve Asher, PhD
Planning and Environmental Analyst
800 WDC 102 Director of Decision Support, Planning & NEPA
2020 M Street, S.E. | Washington, DC 20003
steve.asher@blm.gov

On Fri, Sep 20, 2024 at 1:19 PM, Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Steve, I was hoping you could provide some information on the Southwestern States PRMP/FDES. WDC102 has the NCA for the Southwestern States PRMP/FDES in DTS. There are remaining issues that were to be worked out by Eastern States, WDC102, and WDC103 but I am not sure if those discussions have not occurred?

Thanks!

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst

[REDACTED]
303.218.0139

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov>

[REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2024 10:58 AM
To: Ryan Weather; cshelton@blm.gov; Carol; WSA@blm.gov; steve.asher@blm.gov

Hi Ryan, I hope you are well. WDC102 has the NCA for the Southwestern States PRMP/FDES in DTS. There are remaining issues that were to be worked out by Eastern States, WDC102, and WDC103 but those discussions have not occurred yet. Should we set something up to discuss or is that something 210 will coordinate.

Thanks,

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands WDC102
Bureau of Land Management
303.218.0139

Call ID: [REDACTED]

Commenter: Britta Nelson

Hi Nina and Peter, I've been recommended for OTHA 1218, NCA for the Southwestern States PRMP/FDES. WDC102 reviewed the RMP and there are no outstanding issues. The planning area contains the higher value LightHouse CHANASC, WSA, and WDAF. The WDC102 BP can be found at: <https://doi.gov/engr/engr/>. Comments provided on the Federal Register Notice include the notice should state the RMP establishes National Trail Management Conditions for segments of the Potomac, National Heritage Science Trail and the Washington-Rochester Revolutionary Route National Historic Trail, and suggested adding to the notice that in other rule package materials. The NMA/NCA program reviewed and doesn't have comments on the notice package. The NMAF program concurs with the FRM comments. Can't recall if I haven't heard on the WSA program but it should be fine with the language added to the FRM in the stipulations described above. No waterways meet the WSA at Please let me know if additional information is needed.

Call ID: [REDACTED]

Commenter: Britta Nelson

Nelson, Britta <brittan@blm.gov>
08/21/24 11:07 AM PST

To Steve Weather, Ryan Nink, Carol Thanks for the update! Is WSA included in the meeting with Eastern States? Since the OTHA is a National Conservation Lands unit, WSA should be included in discussions in the ACDC meeting. Thanks,

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands WDC102

Assigned By: W0350 NCE DIVISION User: Andy Tenney

Case ID: 15
Comment Status: Open

Hi Nina and Peter, Lawrence's recommendation for DSH 1128, NCA for the Southwestern States PRMP/FEIS. W0350 reviewed the RMP and there are no outstanding issues. The planning area contains the upper river Lighthouse Chimney, NCA, and NWH. The W0350 PDF can be found at: <https://doi.gov>
Comments provided on the Federal Register Notice include the notice should cite the RMP for the National Trail Management Considerations for segments of the Potomac, National Heritage Scenic Trail and the Washington-Rochester Revolutionary Route National Historic Trail, and suggested adding to the notice that it will include package contents.

The NCE/NEC program reviewed and doesn't have comments on the notice package. The NCE/NEC program concurs with the RMP comments. Comments on the RMP program but it should be fine with the language relative to the RMP on the discussion described above. We welcome your feedback on the RMP or

Comment: [Redacted]
Nina, Britta, [Redacted]@blm.gov
12/14/16 11:05 AM
Hi Steve, Heather, Ryan, Nina, Carol
Thanks for the update!
Is NWH included in the meeting with Eastern States? Since the DSH is a National Conservation Lands unit, NWH should be included in discussions in the NCE meeting.

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands W0349
Bureau of Land Management
303.336.6109

On Fri, Sep 23, 2016 at 11:02 AM, Steve, Heather, Ryan, Nina, Carol wrote:
with Britta.

W0350 comments were addressed and the draft was done. See all meeting back plan. There were some issues regarding overlapping designations between recreation and NCE. Additionally making sure that the program and need statement captures the spirit and content is also essential. I think Eastern States is working internally w/ respect to where the Federal Register package, so and we will inform that the issue raised at the all meeting, are addressed. We will need help and support from A03 to get it done. We will keep you in the loop regarding any further developments.

I will keep you updated.
All the best,
Steve

Steve Aiche, PhD
Planning and Environmental Analyst
BLM W03200 (Division of Decision Support, Planning & NEPA)
2500 W. 1st, Reno, NV 89501
<https://twitter.com/steveaiche>

On Fri, Sep 23, 2016 at 11:11 AM, Britta Nelson, [Redacted]@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Steve, I was hoping you could provide some information on the Southwestern States PRMP/FEIS. W0350 has the NCA for the Southwestern States PRMP/FEIS. There are remaining issues that were to be worked out by Eastern States, W0350, and W0350 but I am not sure if those discussions have not occurred.

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands W0349
Bureau of Land Management
303.336.6109

From: Nina, Britta Nelson [mailto:[Redacted]@blm.gov]
Date: Mon, Sep 19, 2016, at 11:04 AM
Subject: Check in on Southwestern States PRMP/FEIS
To: Ryan, Heather, [Redacted]@blm.gov
Cc: Nina, Britta Nelson [mailto:[Redacted]@blm.gov]

Hi Ryan, hope you are well. W0350 has the NCA for the Southwestern States PRMP/FEIS. There are remaining issues that were to be worked out by Eastern States, W0350, and W0350 but those discussions have not occurred. Should we set something up to discuss or is that something I'd still coordinate.

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands W0349
Bureau of Land Management
303.336.6109

Case ID: 15
Comment Status: Open

Hi Steve, Peter, Robert
Nina, Lawrence's recommendation for DSH 1128 Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rules for Canyons of the Ancients National Monument. The NCA and Wilderness programs reviewed the Notice package and responded with comments.
The proposed supplementary rules would result in changes to some currently authorized activities related to collecting geological and biological materials, commercial filming and photography, recreational shooting activities, geocaching, climbing, camping in archaeological sites, and land management.

- Thanks,
Canyons of the Ancients Supp Rules, 8/26/16.docx
CANN Supplementary Rules press release.docx
CANN Supplementary Rules Canon Plan.docx
CANN Supplementary Rules Social Media Posts.docx
CANN Briefing Paper.doc
CANN Map.pdf

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (900-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.2519

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Nelson, Britta (bnelson@blm.gov)
Date: Fri, Oct 15, 2016 at 10:46 AM

Subject: Permit (M) Feedback on Request - Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rules for Campuses of the Ancestral National Monument in Montezuma and Dolores Counties, Colorado
To: Robert Wick (rwick@blm.gov), Tobias, Lisa M (lisa@blm.gov)
Cc: Niki Moore (nmoore@blm.gov), Peter Wolf (p.wolf@blm.gov)



Thanks,

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (900-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.2519

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Nelson, Britta (bnelson@blm.gov)
Date: Fri, Oct 15, 2016 at 10:37 AM

Subject: Permit (M) Feedback on Request - Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rules for Campuses of the Ancestral National Monument in Montezuma and Dolores Counties, Colorado
To: Lisa Colton (lcolton@blm.gov), Robert Wick (rwick@blm.gov)
Cc: Peter Wolf (p.wolf@blm.gov), Niki Moore (nmoore@blm.gov)

Hi Lisa and Rob, attached is a package for the Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rules for Campuses of the Ancestral National Monument (ancnml). Please provide feedback using the "suggestions" mode in people drive or let me know you don't have feedback by Oct 20.

The proposed supplementary rule would result in changes to some currently authorized activities related to collecting geologic and biological information, commercial filming and photography, recreational shooting activities, geocaching, climbing, camping in archaeological sites, and land management.

Thanks,

Contents of the Ancients Logo Rules, N.20.15.docx

CANM Supplementary Rules permit website.docx

CANM Supplementary Rules Camp Plan.docx

CANM Supplementary Rules Social Media Photos.docx

CANM Briefing Paper.doc

CANM Map.pdf

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (900-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.2519

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Nelson, Britta (bnelson@blm.gov)
Date: Fri, Oct 15, 2016 at 10:36 AM

Subject: DTS Assignment - Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rules for Campuses of the Ancestral National Monument in Montezuma and Dolores Counties, Colorado
To: laura@blm.gov, lisa@blm.gov, cblake@blm.gov, dcolton@blm.gov, dshannon@blm.gov, kurt@blm.gov, nelson@blm.gov, rick@blm.gov, houston@blm.gov, dr@blm.gov
Cc: Peter Wolf (p.wolf@blm.gov)

Your office (W04410NCCS-DIVISION) has a task assigned.
Please log in to the Data Tracking System at the following link. Address: <https://blm.gov/MyLogIn/MyLogIn.aspx?Office=W04410NCCS> and view Document Control Number (DCN)** 63MR001126.

To move the document to the next office in the routing process, enter your estimate information for your office's task and save the record.

Document Subject: Notice of Proposed Supplementary Rules for Campuses of the Ancestral National Monument in Montezuma and Dolores Counties, Colorado

Action Required: 3 Surveys
Assigned By: Office: W04410NCCS-DIVISION User: Andy Terney

Call: 317

Comment: Britta Nelson
Niki and Peter, surveys re incorporated of DTS 1011 82th Transmission line Project FERC/ground amendments.

The Wilderness program reviewed with no comments.

The WMA program provided a comment on page 12 of the FRN. Instead of stating that the agency preferred alternative in segment C, "avoid" the WMA suitable (Dwayne Bure), the FRN states that the alternative "minimizes impacts" to it. As of the FRN review, SWP/Map, and discussion, it had been "avoid".

The updated documents are attached and have been updated into DTS.

Please let me know if there is anything else needed at this point. Thanks,

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (900-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.2519

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Nelson, Britta (bnelson@blm.gov)
Date: Fri, Nov 4, 2016 at 11:12 AM

Subject: FRN (M) Feedback on Request - 10/26/16 11:12 AM: Revision to Investigate Transmission Line Project
To: "Tara Decker" (tdecker@blm.gov), "Niki, Cori" (n@blm.gov)
Cc: Niki Moore (nmoore@blm.gov), Peter Wolf (p.wolf@blm.gov), Robert Wick (rwick@blm.gov)

Please provide feedback ASAP on DTS 1011 for the 82th Notice so the package can be returned today. Please let me know when your review is complete.

Rob, thanks for reminding me you've completed your review!

Thanks!

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-NEO)
Bureau of Land Management
505.246.6100

----- Forwarded message -----
From: CJT@blm.gov

Date: Wed, Nov 14, 2018 at 10:57 AM

Subject: EIS Agreement: Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Deep South Expansion Project Amendment, Lander and Eureka Counties, Nevada

To: Susan@blm.gov, Whitcomb@blm.gov, CJohnson@blm.gov, Johnson@blm.gov, Bennett@blm.gov, Lander@blm.gov, TCDavis@blm.gov, murray@blm.gov, Pritchard@blm.gov, moulton@blm.gov, duffy@blm.gov



Please log in to the Data Tracking System at the following URL. Address: <https://blm.gov/ef/track/track.do?effort=NCL> and review Document Control Number (DCN)** 6438002126.

To insure the document to the next office in the routing process, enter your surname information for your effort's task and save the record.

Document Subject: Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Deep South Expansion Project Amendment, Lander and Eureka Counties, Nevada

Action Required: EIS/Comment

Assigned to Office: NCL-NEO (505) 246-6100 User: CJT@blm.gov

Thanks you

Call: 505

Comments: Britta Nelson

Checked by: Kevyn@blm.gov Date: Nov 14, 2018

id: 6438002126

id: 6438002126

Notify: Britta Nelson@blm.gov

Nov 14 11:22 AM PST

to: Felicia

Hi Felicia, I am working on the EIS package for the NCL for St. George/Beaver Dam/Washoe/COPV and can't tell from the notice package what the decisions are. Were there changes between the PMP and approved plan for the NCLs, both with additional characteristics, or NMT?

Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-NEO)
Bureau of Land Management
505.246.6100

Mon, Nov 14, 2018

Nov 14 11:22 AM PST

to: Felicia, Heather, me

We talk to with NCLs currently if there's changes between the PMP and the NCL, since project reduction hasn't concluded and the Governor's Report period hasn't ended yet (mid Dec. 15).

That said, from, I can you please let Britta know (and copy me) if the NCLs as they currently stand contain any changes between the PMP and NCLs for the NCLs, both with additional characteristics, or NMT?

Thanks!

Felicia

Britta Nelson

Nov 14 11:22 AM PST

to: Felicia, me, Heather

Hi Felicia, me, Heather

Thanks Felicia

Mon, Nov 14, 2018

Nov 14 11:22 AM PST

to: Felicia, me, Heather

Thanks Felicia

Thanks!

Felicia

Britta Nelson

Nov 14 11:22 AM PST

to: Niki, Lily, Felicia, Patricia, Heather

Thanks Felicia and Pat

Mon, Nov 14, 2018

Nov 14 11:22 AM PST

to: me, Heather, Niki, Lily

Hi Felicia,

to: me, Heather, Niki, Lily

Wednesday, April 24, 2024 1:17 PM EDT

To: NINA, NINA, Heather, Sally
Many thanks NINA and Betsy!

Heather, Betsy, chris@nps.gov
On: 5/1/24 10:41 AM

To: Fallon, NINA, Heather, Sally
Hi Fallon, we received your letter from NINA and provided a comment to DTS.

(b)(5) DPP

Call: 5/1/24

Comment: NINA action

Hi NINA and Sally, comment is recommended for DTS 1/307 NCA of 100 for the Proposed Gold Back Mine Project, White Pine County, NV. Per the Notice BP, there are no lands with wilderness characteristics or units of the National Conservation Lands in the project area. I've noted this in a comment to DTS.

The Notice BP says #12 states "There are no natural/subsurface, natural conservation areas, or other designations, national lands, or historic trails, wild and scenic rivers, wilderness study areas, wilderness areas or lands with wilderness characteristics located within the proposed project area. The BLM and BLM's National Wetland Inventory of the proposed project area in the Nevada-Texas National Forests (N-TNF) District. The remaining four wilderness areas located within the proposed project area include: Snakehead, Steel Mountain, Laurel Mountain, and Red Mountain. Portions of each of these wilderness study areas (WSAs) are located

The Notice documents are attached. Please let me know if additional information is needed.

Betsy Nelson, M.F.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)

Call: 5/1/24

Comment: NINA action

Hi Fallon, this is in regard to our asking BLM to include in the NINA for the NCA for Eastern Interior that outstanding remarkable status of the designated WSAs are being identified and suitability determinations are being made for eligible segments.

Does the language in the provided work?

Betsy Nelson, M.F.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.0149

Bobby, Cathy
Nov 29 11:13 AM EDT

To: NINA, NINA, Betsy

I don't quite remember the specifics of our request for the NINA but provide the following as a quick response.

The Proposed BLM Final EIS considered the items to be eligible for potential designation as WSA and Scenic River, but the NINA do not determine them to be suitable for designation as WSA and Scenic River, instead protecting them through other means.

Thanks!

Call: 5/1/24

Comment: NINA action

Hi Betsy - WSAs has submitted the NINA for DE-NCA.

Betsy Nelson, M.F.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.0149

----- Forwarded message -----
From: NINA, NINA, chris@nps.gov

Subject: Proposed Project 2024-0111: NINA of NCA for the Domingue-Escalante National Conservation Area Resource Management Plan Final Environmental Impact Statement

To: "NINA, Betsy" <chris@nps.gov>
Cc: "NINA, NINA" <comment@nps.gov>

Betsy,

I just commented. Thanks for the good coordination on this.

Sally

On Wed, Nov 20, 2024 at 5:08 PM, NINA, Betsy <chris@nps.gov> wrote:

I contacted the BP and BLM regarding comment of DTS 1/307 NCA of 100 for the Proposed Gold Back Mine Project, White Pine County, NV. I reviewed the package and found it is consistent with our land BP on the PRM/TREX (Lands/NCA). I haven't sent the package to the program for review but can you

Please let me know if there is anything else needed before we submit. Thanks!

Betsy Nelson, M.F.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.0149

----- Forwarded message -----
From: NINA, NINA, chris@nps.gov

On Wed, Nov 20, 2024 at 7:27 AM, NINA, Betsy <chris@nps.gov> wrote:
Sally, like they should address both the WSA and the closure unless they have a compelling reason not to highlight it. Go ahead and upload with the recommendation to add it then I can remove. Thanks!

NINA, Betsy

Director/Chief, National Conservation Lands
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.
202.862.7343 (office)
202.288.8144 (cell)

On Tue, Nov 29, 2024 at 2:23 PM, NINA, Betsy <chris@nps.gov> wrote:

Hi NINA and Sally, we're following feedback, comment of DTS 1/307 NCA of 100 for the Proposed Gold Back Mine Project, White Pine County, NV. I reviewed the package and found it is consistent with our land BP on the PRM/TREX (Lands/NCA). I haven't sent the package to the program for review but can you

The Conservation Plan plan addresses WSA, NCA, wilderness, lands with wilderness characteristics and other management of the NCA.

The NINA is listed in these areas (I added a comment): "These include in the NINA that the Approved BLM Wilderness Suitability Determinations for WSA, wilderness, a national trail management corridor for the DE-Escalante NCA, and other decisions on the protection of lands with wilderness characteristics."

The NINA does not address the target shooting closure but we can use that as a compelling reason not to highlight it. Go ahead and upload with the recommendation to add it then I can remove. Thanks!

The BP identifies all areas except for NCA as I added a comment to: "These include in the NINA that the Approved BLM Wilderness Suitability Determinations for WSA, wilderness, a national trail management corridor for the DE-Escalante NCA."

The only other item is some of the NCA lands interests address the public utility corridor along Canyon Hwy 111 or what? I don't know if it should be included in the public utility documents or NINA.

The notice documents have been shared with you. I haven't updated them into DTS yet so I can make sure to include your feedback and the program if needed. Let me know how you would like to proceed.

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.2529

Call 527

Comment: Britta Nelson
NCL-412 needs to show that under the proposed plan, a NCL adjustment would be applied to the suitable NCL segments along the Colorado and Green Rivers (20,307 acres), and the Montezuma NCL Segment 3 along the Colorado River would be closed to mineral leasing (723 acres). Have there been changes for NCL-412?
To: Patrick, Ian, Nath, Sally, Nikki, Cami
My understanding is that no changes will be made between the MBLB NEUP/NEOP amendments and the NCL/Approved MAP Amendments related to some of NCL and areas closed to mineral leasing. Pam, please correct me if I'm wrong.



To: Brent, Frank, Ian, Nath, Sally, Nikki, Cami
Correct, there are no changes to acreage between proposed and approved.

Call 528

Comment: Britta Nelson
From: Nathan, Stephanie (nstep@blm.gov)
Date: 9/24/2016 10:41 AM

To: Peter, Robert, Nikki, Sally
Hi Peter, Nathan, we received DOI's 1213 NCL for an EIS for the Andean Mining District Access Project. There are no NCLs units but there are lands with wilderness characteristics in the project area. The EIS has indicated a lands with wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed but this has not been sent.

Thanks,

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.2529

Andean NEOP 11-2-16.docx

Andean NCL 1-2-16.docx

Andean Comment 1-2-16.docx

Andean Mining Access NCL_412.docx

Road to Andean Map.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nathan, Stephanie (nstep@blm.gov)

Date: Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 10:02 AM

Subject: Re: Question re: 1213-1214 Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andean Mining District Industrial Access Project

To: "Nathan, Robert" <nstep@blm.gov>

CC: "Theresa, Laura" <thelaur@blm.gov>; Peter, Robert (pstep@blm.gov); Robert, Nikki (rnick@blm.gov); Nikki, Meagan (mneagan@blm.gov); Sally, Britta (sbritta@blm.gov)

Hi Britta - The draft notice to start following question is "no". We are currently in writing alternatives for our AMP revision, in which we will make those decisions on NCLs. But decisions on NCLs have not been made for this area at this point because our RMP is still (EIS) for the area of the Andean Road project.

[Redacted]

Thank you for your questions and recommendations on the Andrus Road NEI Redefining Package. I added the document you shared with me in the Share Drive, and I also downloaded it so we have the current version as well. And I have attached it to this email.

If you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call or email.

Thank you,

Laura Therpe
BWS Admin
Planning and Environmental Coordination
603-773-4368
603-773-8887 ext.

Subject: Final Questions on DTPM 133 - Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project
To: Laura Therpe ltherpe@blm.gov

Hi Laura, I got this note from WO-612 yesterday. We may need to have a call with them to discuss.

Tia La Mear
Central Valley Field Office Manager
California State District Office
222 Lindenmy Avenue
Colton, CA 92316
951-474-2354

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Nathan, M.F.A. nathan@blm.gov

Date: Wed, Dec 17, 2014 at 8:46 AM

Subject: Question re DTPM 133 - Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project

To: Timothy Larkin tlarkin@blm.gov; Tully Parks tparks@blm.gov; Robert Wick rwick@blm.gov; Peter Mast pmast@blm.gov

Hi Tim, WO612 received the NOI to prepare an EIS on the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project for sometime and has some follow-up questions. I am reaching out to you because your name is listed as the point of contact for the project in the DTPM system.

The Andrus NEI (drafted) indicates that wilderness characteristics are a key issue. Can more information be provided about this including if the wilderness characteristics inventory is current, if the current MAP address book, with wilderness characteristics, and if the project is consistent with the plan?

Are there National Conservation lands with such in wild and scenic rivers or NCA in the project area?

Please let me know if we need to discuss.

Thanks,

Bette Nelson, M.F.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-418)
Bureau of Land Management
303 J Hill Drive
Andrus NEI BP 11-3-14.docx

----- Forwarded message -----
From: ct1@blm.gov

Date: Tue, Dec 9, 2014 at 12:17 PM

Subject: DTPM 133 - Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project

To: ct1@blm.gov; tlarkin@blm.gov; therron@blm.gov; colman@blm.gov; kcc@blm.gov; mcnease@blm.gov; rhoads@blm.gov; reaverton@blm.gov; duffy@blm.gov

Hello and welcome to the DTPM automated email list!

Your office (WO-612-NCL-5 DTPM) has a task assigned.

Please log in to the Data Tracking System at the following URL: <https://dtpm.blm.gov/MyRights.do?officer=612> and review Document Control Number (DCN)** 618M001331.

To review the document to the next office in the routing process, enter your summary information for your office's task and save the record.

Document Subject: Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project

Synopsis: Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Central Valley Field Office, Parkersburg, Alaska, intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Federal authorizations to construct and operate an industrial access road in the southern Brooks Range foothills of Alaska, originating at the Dalton Highway in the vicinity of Andrus National Monument.

Assigned By Office: WO-310-PARK DISTRICT User: mteaher Bester

Thank you!

Date: 12/17

Comment: [Redacted]

Date: 12/17/2014 1:41 PM

To: Peter, Robert, Wally, Tully

Hi Peter and Rob, we received DTPM 133 NCI for an EIS for the Andrus Mining District Access Project. There are no NCL units but there are lands with wilderness characteristics in the project area. The FO has indicated a lands with wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed but this has not been JED

Thanks,

Bette Nelson, M.F.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-418)
Bureau of Land Management
303 J Hill Drive
Andrus NEI BP 11-3-14.docx

Andrus NEI BP 11-3-14.docx

Andrus NEI BP 11-3-14.docx

Andrus Mining Access NCL_24_1011a.docx

Thank you!

Case 013

Comment: Britta Nelson
Nelson, Britta (brittan@blm.gov)
Date: 7/15/2016 9:41 AM

To Robert, Peter, Nikki, Leah,
Hi Peter and Bob, just a quick check on the NIS for the Andrus Mining District access project and if Alaska has indicated if there are wilderness characteristics that will be addressed in project NEPA. Alaska added to the 078 Briefing Package that stands with wilderness characteristics inventory has been 2
<http://www.google.com/maps/place/Alaska+Wilderness+Inventory+2012-2013/@61.2154417,-149.9014417,15z/data=!3m1!1e3!1m1!1s1>



2016-07-15 09:41 AM

On Mon, Dec 12, 2016 at 3:17 PM, Nikki, brittan@blm.gov wrote:
I have a call to the NIS and need them to be addressed to the about the proposed status plan and will make sure to touch on Caribou habitat too.

On Mon, Dec 12, 2016 at 12:14 PM, Nelson, Britta (brittan@blm.gov) wrote:
Peter, Bob,

Based on Chris's direction, let's actually check in early with him and find out what their plan is for addressing/extracting wilderness characteristics in the NEPA now that have completed the inventory.

Thanks!

Nikki Moore
Director/Chief, National Conservation Lands
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.
202.923.1441 (office)
202.388.8141 (cell)

On Mon, Dec 12, 2016 at 3:17 PM, Nikki, brittan@blm.gov wrote:
Hi Britta,

I made sure a reviewer will be on the BP, but whenever the package gets good - We'll need to keep in touch with AM as they move forward to see how they are addressing wilderness characteristics.

On Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 10:44 AM, Nelson, Britta (brittan@blm.gov) wrote:
Hi Peter and Bob, we received 078-018 NIS for us for the Andrus Mining District Access Project. There are no NCL units but there are lands with wilderness characteristics in the project area. The FO has indicated a lands with wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed but this has not been add

Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.F.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management

Case 014

Comment: Britta Nelson
Nelson, Britta (brittan@blm.gov)
Date: 7/15/2016 9:41 AM

To Peter, Robert, Nikki, Leah,
Hi Peter and Bob, we received 078-018 NIS for us for the Andrus Mining District Access Project. There are no NCL units but there are lands with wilderness characteristics in the project area. The FO has indicated a lands with wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed but this has not been add



Britta Nelson, M.F.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
202.923.1441



Andrus NIS 078-018.docx
Andrus ConsentPlan 1-3-16.docx
Andrus Mining Access NIS_078_018.docx

Re: Andrus Mining Map.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta (brittan@blm.gov)
Date: Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 10:43 AM
Subject: Re: Question on 078-018 NIS status of review to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Andrus Mining District Industrial Access Project

To: 'Nikki, Britta' (brittan@blm.gov)

Cc: 'Theresa, Leah' (leah@blm.gov), Peter, Bob (bob@blm.gov), Robert (bob@blm.gov), Nikki Moore (moore@blm.gov), Leah (leah@blm.gov)



Re: Leah

Carroll Nelson, Lead OTC Liaison Manager
Wilderness Act District Office
222 University Avenue
Ely, Nevada, NV 89301
867-474-2354

On Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 7:54 AM, Nelson, Britta (brittan@blm.gov) wrote:
Thanks Leah! I quick follow up, your update to the NIS briefing paper state that a wilderness characteristics inventory has been completed for the project area. When wilderness characteristics decisions been made in this area through the MMP? Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson, M.F.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
202.923.1441

On Thu, Dec 8, 2016 at 6:31 PM, Theresa, Leah (leah@blm.gov) wrote:
Hi Britta,

I am the BLM Project Manager for the Andrus EG.

Thank you for your questions and recommendations on the Andrus Road NIS Briefing Package. I added the document you shared with me in the Share Drive, and I also downloaded it so we have the current version as well. And I have attached it to this email.

If you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call or email.

Timothy J. Fisher, Program Lead
National Monuments and Conservation Areas
National Conservation Lands
2025 M Street, S.E. (Jaw 430)
Washington, D.C. 20002
202-953-7123 Office
202-944-0704 Cell
202-949-6000 Fax
tjfisher@blm.gov



To: "Timothy, Douglas" <tjfisher@blm.gov>
Cc: "William Herrera" <wherrera@blm.gov>, "Douglas" <douglas@blm.gov>, "Douglas" <douglas@blm.gov>, "Douglas" <douglas@blm.gov>, "Douglas" <douglas@blm.gov>, "Douglas" <douglas@blm.gov>

Hi Doug,

We're in DC this week and it's looking at this. We also got steps of the exchange recently. We should be able to continue tomorrow I expect.

Wrote at 10:15, anything else I missed?

Safe

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 22, 2017, at 4:02 PM, Herrera, Douglas <wherrera@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Mike and Lyle!

I hope the Friday you both doing well. I just realized that this land exchange is in 432, so I thought I'd reach out and ask if you had any questions about it or if there's anything holding it up. It's an exchange between BLM and the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians right here in Palm Springs.

The idea of Doug today, our SR&EM management manager, and Susan, our project manager.

Thanks!

Best,

Doug

Douglas L. Herrera, D.D.

Field Office Manager, Palm Springs South Coast
Division of Land Management
1200 East Center Drive
Palm Springs, California 92262
(760) 833-7300

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Douglas, Timothy <tjfisher@blm.gov>

Date: Wed, Feb 22, 2017 at 12:48 PM

To: "Timothy, Douglas" <tjfisher@blm.gov>

Subject: RE: 432

Cc: "Mike, Lyle" <lyle@blm.gov>, "Douglas" <douglas@blm.gov>, "Susan" <susan@blm.gov>, "Douglas" <douglas@blm.gov>, "Douglas" <douglas@blm.gov>, "Douglas" <douglas@blm.gov>, "Douglas" <douglas@blm.gov>

Hi Doug,

As of 10:00 AM on Friday, February 24, 2017, the status of the 432 exchange is as follows: The NMA/NDP package has been submitted to DOI and is currently being reviewed by DOI. Estimated date of publication is unknown.

Publication of the NMA/NDP into the FRN and local newspapers will initiate a 45 day protest period. After the protest period and absent any protests, the 432 would be completed.

No other major accomplishments or issues to report this week.

NOTE: Please let me know if there is anyone that needs to be included or removed from the list of people receiving these reports. Thanks!

WD ND Review Process:

Below is a list of offices, as identified in 432, for review of the NMA/NDP (offices that have completed their review/turnover are marked with X)

WD-430 Regulatory Affairs (Completed 2/20/17)

WD-430 Land Tenure (Completed 2/23/17)

WD-430 NE/D Division (pending)

WD-430 Regulatory Affairs

WD-430 Land Use Office (Lead)

WD-430 Regulatory Affairs

WD-430 Public Affairs

WD-430 [Redacted]

WD-430 Communications

WD-100 Risk Reduction Office

WD-430 Regulatory Affairs

DOI Assistant Secretary-Land/Minerals

DOI DOI Regulatory Affairs

WD-430 Regulatory Affairs

Next Steps:

* NMA/NDP published (45 protest period begins)

* Final NE/D Review Complete

* Final EIS/CEP Complete

* Final FRN Approval (aka Agency FRN)

Appointed Values and Equivalency: Date of Value 4/24/2016

Non-Federal Lands: \$465,000

Federal Lands: \$746,000

Grant Equivalency Value (per BLM Best Practice): \$20,000

Current Plan for this Week:

* Non-432/432 - Coordinate with 432/432 on NMA/NDP Package

Exchange Overview

- This exchange involves disposing of 1,540 acres of Federal land to the Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians in exchange of 1,471.24 acres of non-Federal lands.
- All of the lands involved in the exchange are located within the Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument, Southern California Desert District.
- The Agua Caliente roll number is CA-4262P-FD.

Exchange Decision Phase Accomplishments

Final Spring OIA IS (Completed Mar 2014)
EIS Review OIA IS (Completed April 2014)
Final Spring OIA IS/NOA for IS review (Completed April 2014)



IS/NOA/NOI to WI (Completed Mar 2014)
Statement of Work (SOW) issued to WI (Completed Oct 2014)
WI NOA published (Completed Jan 2015)
Final Report released (Completed Jan 2015)
Revised OIPMS Construction (Completed Mar 2015)
Revised Compliance Approval Report (Completed April 2015)
OIS Review Approval Report (Completed May 2015)
NCE Acquisition of Water and Office to Exchange (Completed June 2015)
Final Spring OIA CA/CAG/NOI - Value Equalization (MFT) Funding (Completed Aug 2015)
Final Spring - IS/NOA/NOI/NOI (Completed Dec 2015)
CAG Review of IS/NOA/NOI/NOI (Completed Dec 2015)
IS/NOA/NOI - Decision Package (Completed Feb 2016)
CAG Approval IS - Review Decision Package (Completed Mar 2016)
Market Analysis - (Completed Mar 2016)
IS/NOA/NOI - Approval Decision (Completed April 2016)
Exchange Decision (NOI) package to WI (Completed April 2016)
WI NOA/Approval (Completed Oct 2016)
Signing Exchange Agreement

Please let me know if you have any questions.



National Conservation Lands, a WFO admin review of the Cedar City DRMP ADEIS is scheduled for January 30 through February 6 with a kick-off briefing scheduled for January 18. After reviewing the review files from WFO301 I will share them along with a WFO301 comment form on google drive. WFO301 Briefing Page Admin and NGL, can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the Cedar City DRMP ADEIS to help guide WFO301 review?

Context
About National Conservation Lands units and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the BMAP alternatives? If not, is there a stand alone plan for that unit?
An integration analysis identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?
An appropriate land use allocations and/or management actions identified in the BMAP alternatives or exclusion/land use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?
Has the State Director been briefed on BMAP alternatives and decisions regarding National Conservation Lands, lands with wilderness characteristics, eligible and suitable (job designation) WMA and Sensitive River segments, and took under study or recommended as suitable for designation?

Lands with wilderness characteristics
Does the CD have a complete, up-to-date inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?
Is an inventory report included in an Appendix?
An decision made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?
Is relevant included for decisions to: (1) emphasize other multiple uses, (2) emphasize other multiple uses while applying management restrictions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics, and/or (3) protect wilderness characteristics over other multiple uses?
Is a table included that compares each management action listed to how wilderness characteristics, uses and the percentage of lands that fall within each of the categories identified in BLM Manual 532, which include: (1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics in a priority over other uses, (2) emphasizing other multiple uses to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics, will occur and wilderness character will be maintained?

Eligible and Suitable WMA
Did the WFO complete WMA eligibility/transition consistent with current policy requirements (BLM 5400)?
Did the WFO complete suitability evaluations and determinations for all eligible streams using factors and criteria from WMA50?
An suitability/transition decision made for all WMA eligible streams in the planning area?
Is the WMA Study Report included as an Appendix and is consistent with current policy?
For segments determined not suitable, do other resource allocations protect their river values, and/or is a suitable provided for not protecting the river values especially if implementation of the BMAP will degrade or eliminate those river values?

National Conservation Lands
Is the national trail management corridor allocation included in the alternatives?
Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purposes of the trail?

Other BMAP Issues
Does the BMAP include any unique issues (e.g., associated settlement agreements) or background (e.g., inclusions in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternatives?
How do the unique issues or background affect the alternatives?
Please let me know if you would like to discuss.

Thanks, Brett
Cedar City_DRMP_ADEIS_WFO301_Briefing.docx

WFO Review Kick-off Briefing Cedar City DRMP ADEIS
WFO Review Kick-off Briefing Cedar City DRMP ADEIS
To WFO Admin review period for the Cedar City Draft BMAP (DRMP) will run from January 30 - February 6, 2021. To help inform your WFO review, please attend this briefing for an overview of the Cedar City Draft BMAP. I will send the DRMP and presentation material in subsequent emails.
Please let me know if you have any questions.
-J.L.B.

Cedar City
Comment letter to WFO
National Conservation Lands, a WFO admin review of the Cedar City DRMP ADEIS is scheduled for January 30 through February 6 with a kick-off briefing scheduled for January 18. After reviewing the review files from WFO301 I will share them along with a WFO301 comment form on google drive. WFO301 Briefing Page Admin and NGL, can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the Cedar City DRMP ADEIS to help guide WFO301 review?
Context
About National Conservation Lands units and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the BMAP alternatives? If not, is there a stand alone plan for that unit?
An integration analysis identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?
An appropriate land use allocations and/or management actions identified in the BMAP alternatives or exclusion/land use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?
Has the State Director been briefed on BMAP alternatives and decisions regarding National Conservation Lands, lands with wilderness characteristics, eligible and suitable (job designation) WMA and Sensitive River segments, and took under study or recommended as suitable for designation?
National Conservation Lands
Is the national trail management corridor allocation included in the alternatives?
Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purposes of the trail?
Other BMAP Issues
Does the BMAP include any unique issues (e.g., associated settlement agreements) or background (e.g., inclusions in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternatives?
How do the unique issues or background affect the alternatives?
Please let me know if you would like to discuss.
Thanks, Brett
Cedar City_DRMP_ADEIS_WFO301_Briefing.docx

Cedar City
Comment letter to WFO
I think the WFO301 brief and WMA comments were a substantial effort. I'm sorry, but "WFO" doesn't mean anything to me - I don't have a guide to all the program numbers and for both to use each with the associated program names for it to make sense and the rest of the BMAP team (WFO, WMA, WMA, WMA) to summarize, here is the letter of the "WFO" management ND programs (but not sure)
WFO - you have the original WFO comment and have provided it to Tom Kitchin (State WFO - the Lead)
WFO - you have the original WFO comment and have provided it to Tom Kitchin (State WFO - the Lead) and Kevin Koenig (District WFO Lead)
WFO - you are waiting on observations per our State Director's direction. Both WFO and Tom Kitchin could not reach conclusions, so I want to the CD and Dick Matzler's team. As a result, there will be no responses to these comments.

Cedar City
Comment letter to WFO
Review kick-off briefing location 10-10-16

Cedar City
Comment letter to WFO
National Conservation Lands, a WFO admin review of the Cedar City DRMP ADEIS is scheduled for January 30 through February 6 with a kick-off briefing scheduled for January 18. After reviewing the review files from WFO301 I will share them along with a WFO301 comment form on google drive. WFO301 Briefing Page Admin and NGL, can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the Cedar City DRMP ADEIS to help guide WFO301 review?

Context
About National Conservation Lands units and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the BMAP alternatives? If not, is there a stand alone plan for that unit?
An integration analysis identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?
An appropriate land use allocations and/or management actions identified in the BMAP alternatives or exclusion/land use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?
Has the State Director been briefed on BMAP alternatives and decisions regarding National Conservation Lands, lands with wilderness characteristics, eligible and suitable (job designation) WMA and Sensitive River segments, and took under study or recommended as suitable for designation?

National Conservation Lands
Is the national trail management corridor allocation included in the alternatives?
Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purposes of the trail?
Other BMAP Issues
Does the BMAP include any unique issues (e.g., associated settlement agreements) or background (e.g., inclusions in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternatives?
How do the unique issues or background affect the alternatives?
Please let me know if you would like to discuss.
Thanks, Brett
Cedar City_DRMP_ADEIS_WFO301_Briefing.docx

Lands with wilderness characteristics
Does the CD have a complete, up-to-date inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?
Is an inventory report included in an Appendix?
An decision made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?
Is relevant included for decisions to: (1) emphasize other multiple uses, (2) emphasize other multiple uses while applying management restrictions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics, and/or (3) protect wilderness characteristics over other multiple uses?
Is a table included that compares each management action listed to how wilderness characteristics, uses and the percentage of lands that fall within each of the categories identified in BLM Manual 532, which include: (1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics in a priority over other uses, (2) emphasizing other multiple uses to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics, will occur and wilderness character will be maintained?

Eligible and Suitable WMA
Did the WFO complete WMA eligibility/transition consistent with current policy requirements (BLM 5400)?
Did the WFO complete suitability evaluations and determinations for all eligible streams using factors and criteria from WMA50?
An suitability/transition decision made for all WMA eligible streams in the planning area?
Is the WMA Study Report included as an Appendix and is consistent with current policy?
For segments determined not suitable, do other resource allocations protect their river values, and/or is a suitable provided for not protecting the river values especially if implementation of the BMAP will degrade or eliminate those river values?

National Conservation Lands
Is the national trail management corridor allocation included in the alternatives?
Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purposes of the trail?

Call 102

Comment Entry Status: Review list of briefing topics 10-13-16

Call 103

Comment Entry Status: National Conservation Lands, WDO admin review of the GSDMMP ADGDS is scheduled for January 30 through February 20 with a kick-off briefing scheduled for January 11. After receiving the review files from WDC201 will share them along with a WDC202 comment form on google drive. WDC212 comment form, Allow and NoB, can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the GSDMMP ADGDS to help guide WDC212 review?

- General
 - Are all National Conservation Lands units and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the RMP alternative? If not, is there a stand alone plan for that unit?
 - Are riparian corridors identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?
 - Are appropriate land use alternatives and management actions identified in the RMP that do not or include land use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Land units and other related special areas?

- Are all land use planning decisions in the RMP consistent with the purpose and objectives of the originating proclamation or Act of Congress that established the NCA/NA?
 - Are the utility corridors or RPOs in the NCA/NA identified as exclusion or avoidance areas?
- Land with wilderness characteristics
 - Does the FO have a complete, up-to-date inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?
 - Is an inventory report included in the RMP alternative?
 - Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?
 - Is a table included that describes how the RMP will manage other multiple uses (if applicable) other multiple use while applying management restrictions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics, and/or (2) protect wilderness characteristics over other multiple uses?
 - Is a table included that compares areas inventoried as being found to have wilderness characteristics, areas and the percentage of lands that fall within each of the categories identified in BLM Manual 632, which include: (1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics as priority over other uses, (2) emphasizing other values over wilderness characteristics, and (3) land use and wilderness character will be maintained?
- Eligible and Suitable WMA
 - Did the FO complete WMA eligibility inventory consistent with current policy requirements (BLS 6400)?
 - Did the FO complete suitability, restrictions and determinations for all eligible streams using factors and criteria from 64000?
 - Are suitable/un-suitable decisions made for all WMA eligible streams in the planning area?
 - Do the WMA Stream Report included an Appendix with a conditions with current policy?
 - Are segments determined not suitable. Are other resource allocation projects for river values, and/or is a table provided for not protecting the river values especially if implementation of the RMP will degrade or eliminate those river values?
- National Lands and Related Topics
 - Is the riparian tool management corridor allocation included in the alternative?
 - Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purpose of the USOP?
- Other RMP Issues
 - Does the RMP include any unique issues (e.g. associated settlement agreement or background) (e.g., anomalies included in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternative?
 - Does the RMP include the unique issues of background that affect the alternative?

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks, Britta

How Details:

WDO Review Kick-off Briefing: GSDMMP Grazing RMP Amendment
The WDO Admin review period for the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument (GSENM) Grazing Draft RMP Amendment (DRMPA) will run from January 31 - February 20, 2017. To help inform your WDO admin review, please attend this briefing for an overview of the GSDMMP Grazing DRMPA. I will send the DRMPA and presentation. Please let me know if you have any questions.

- Felicia

When:

Thu Jan 11, 2017 from 10am - 11am Mountain Time

Where:

(b) (5) if needed, contact Conference Room

Call 104

Comment Entry Status: National Conservation Lands, WDO admin review of the Cedar City Draft RMP ADGDS is scheduled for January 30 through February 20 with a kick-off briefing scheduled for January 10. After receiving the review files from WDC21 will share them along with a WDC22 comment form on google drive. The WDC23 Briefing Paper Allow and NoB, can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the Cedar City Draft RMP ADGDS to help guide WDC23 review?

- General
 - Are all National Conservation Lands units and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the RMP alternative? If not, is there a stand alone plan for that unit?
 - Are riparian corridors identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands units and other related special areas?
 - Are appropriate land use alternatives and management actions identified in the RMP that do not or include land use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Land units and other related special areas?
 - Are the State Strategy from land-use and RMP alternatives and decisions regarding National Conservation Lands, lands with wilderness characteristics, rights and suitable (per designations) (BLS and BLM), their agencies, and tools under study or recommended as suitable for designation?
- Land with wilderness characteristics
 - Does the FO have a complete, up-to-date inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?
 - Is an inventory report included in the RMP alternative?
 - Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?
 - Is a table included that describes how the RMP will manage other multiple uses (if applicable) other multiple use while applying management restrictions to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics, and/or (2) protect wilderness characteristics over other multiple uses?
 - Is a table included that compares areas inventoried as being found to have wilderness characteristics, areas and the percentage of lands that fall within each of the categories identified in BLM Manual 632, which include: (1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics as priority over other uses, (2) emphasizing other values over wilderness characteristics, and (3) land use and wilderness character will be maintained?
- Eligible and Suitable WMA
 - Did the FO complete WMA eligibility inventory consistent with current policy requirements (BLS 6400)?
 - Did the FO complete suitability, restrictions and determinations for all eligible streams using factors and criteria from 64000?
 - Are suitable/un-suitable decisions made for all WMA eligible streams in the planning area?
 - Do the WMA Stream Report included an Appendix with a conditions with current policy?

- National Lands and Related Topics
 - Is the riparian tool management corridor allocation included in the alternative?
 - Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allowable uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purpose of the USOP?

- Other RMP Issues
 - Does the RMP include any unique issues (e.g. associated settlement agreement or background) (e.g., anomalies included in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternative?
 - Does the RMP include the unique issues of background that affect the alternative?

Please let me know if you would like to discuss.

To: Britta

Cedar City_DRMPA2017_WDO_GSDMMP_030115_Anti.docx

How Details:

WDO Review Kick-off Briefing: Cedar City Draft RMP
The WDO Admin review period for the Cedar City Draft RMP (DRMP) will run from January 31 - February 9, 2017. To help inform your WDO admin review, please attend this briefing for an overview of the Cedar City Draft RMP. I will send the DRMP and presentation material in subsequent emails. Please let me know if you have any questions.

- Felicia

Call 105

Comment Entry Status:

Call 194

Comment 194a Subj: Review of off-briefing funding 10-13-14.

Call 197

Comment 197a Subj:

National Conservation Lands. With certain review of the GSDM/DMRP AGDS is scheduled for review through February 28 with a kick-off briefing scheduled for January 11. After receiving the review from WDC2011 will share them along with a WDC2011 comment form on a single sheet. WDC14 commented previously. Allow and Adv. can you provide answers to each of the following questions from your review of the GSDM/DMRP AGDS to help guide WDC2011 review?

General

Are all National Conservation Lands and other related areas that are located within the planning area identified and the management addressed in the RMP alternative? If not, is there a stated action plan for that area?

Are mitigation conditions identified for future land use activities that impact National Conservation Lands, and other related special areas?

Are appropriate and enforceable measures for mitigation conditions identified in the RMP that will be enforceable and effective? How are mitigation measures and the management of National Conservation Lands and other related special areas?

Are the State Director land use RMP alternatives and decisions regarding National Conservation Lands, both with wilderness characteristics, eligible and suitable (per designation) NEPA and land use agreements, and both under study or recommended is suitable for designation?

National Monuments/National Conservation Areas and Similar Designations

What is a suitable RMP. Is there a unique set of decisions specific to the MONUMENT?

Does the RMP identify the resources, objects, and values (ROV) for which the MONUMENT was designated, and how those ROVs will be managed?

Are all land use planning decisions in the RMP consistent with the purpose and objectives of the designating proclamation or Act of Congress that established the MONUMENT?

Are the eligible conditions of ROV in the MONUMENT specified as inclusion or exclusion areas?

Lands with wilderness characteristics

Does the FO have a complete, administrative history of lands with wilderness characteristics?

Is a wilderness report included as an appendix?

Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?

Is a table included that lists the lands with wilderness characteristics, the management actions, and the percentage of lands that fall within each of the categories identified in BLM Manual 6330, which include: 1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics as priority over other uses, 2) emphasizing other values relative to wilderness characteristics, and 3) land use and wilderness character will be maintained?

Eligible and suitable ROV

Do the FO complete wilderness eligibility inventories consistent with current policy requirements (BLS 6400)?

Do the FO complete suitability inventories and determinations for strategic streams using factors and criteria from BLM2007?

Are suitable/unsuitable decisions made for all ROV eligible streams in the planning area?

Do the Wild Fish Report include an appendix with a table with current status?

Are segments identified not suitable, do other resource allocations protect the river values, and/or is a release provided for not protecting the river values especially if implementation of the RMP will degrade or eliminate those river values?

National Lands and Related Tools

Is the National Land Management Council allocation included in the alternative?

Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, objectives, and restrictions, to safeguard the nature and purposes of the USOT?

Other RMP Issues

Does the RMP include any unique issues (e.g. associated settlement agreement or background) (e.g., Antiquities included in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternative?

Comments from the review team or background affect the alternative.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks, Brita

To summarize, here's the status of what "WISAP" encompasses: NO programs (but not sure)
WISAP just means the original WIS comment and has provided it to Tom Wickham (State NE) + the lead
WISAP just means the original WIS comment and has provided it to Tom Wickham (State NE) + the lead and have never followed WISAP lead
LDC - we are making our observation per our State Director's direction. Both Wick and Tom Wickham could not reach resolution, so I went to the SD and Chris Macdonald's desk. As a result, there will be no responses to these comments.

Call 1348

Comment: 3/17/13 Action:
Review WISAP off briefing feedback to US EPA

Call 1348

Comment: 3/17/13 Action:
National Conservation Lands, 180 action items of the 6/20/12 WISAP are scheduled for January 30 through February 28 with a 10-day off briefing scheduled for January 31. After reaching the review time from WISAP will share them along with a WISAP comment form on google drive. WISAP is concerned products

[Redacted]

Are appropriate land use allocation/management actions identified in the MAP that will or excluded use activities that are incompatible with the management of National Conservation Lands and other related special areas?
Are the State Director's land use allocation/management actions regarding National Conservation Lands, lands with wilderness characteristics, rights-of-way (e.g. designations) and their agencies, and their order study or recommended as suitable for designation?

National Monuments/National Conservation Areas and Similar Designations

If this is a National MAP, is there a unique set of decisions, specific to the WISAP?
Does the MAP identify the resources, objects, and areas (WISAP) for which the WISAP was designated, and how those WISAPs will be managed?
Are all land use planning decisions in the MAP consistent with the purpose and objectives of the designating proclamation or Act of Congress that established the WISAP?
Are the WISAPs consistent with WISAP in the WISAP specified as a restriction or management area?

Lands with wilderness characteristics

Does the FO have a complete, up-to-date inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics?
Is an inventory report included in the MAP?
Are decisions made for all lands with wilderness characteristics within the planning area?
Is a table included that compares the management actions for each of the WISAPs with the management actions for lands with wilderness characteristics, and the percentage of lands that fall within each of the categories described in BLM Manual 530, which include: 1) protection of lands with wilderness characteristics as a priority over other uses, 2) emphasizing other values on lands with wilderness characteristics, and 3) other management actions that are consistent with the purposes and objectives of the WISAP?
Are the WISAPs consistent with WISAP in the WISAP specified as a restriction or management area?

Right-of-Way (ROW)

Did the FO complete WISAP right-of-way inventories consistent with current policy requirements (BLS 4400)?
Did the FO complete consistency/inconsistency determinations for all rights-of-way along factors and criteria from WISAP?
Are WISAP/consistency determinations made for all WISAP right-of-way areas in the planning area?
Is the WISAP Report included as an Appendix or consistent with current policy?
Are appropriate determinations provided for the ROW, and/or is a table provided for ROW values especially if implementation of the MAP will degrade or eliminate those ROW values?

National System and Historic Trails

Is the National System and Historic Trails allocation included in the alternatives?
Does the plan include objectives and associated management actions, allocation uses, and restrictions to safeguard the nature and purpose of the trail?

Other MAP Issues

Does the MAP include any unique issues (e.g., associated settlement agreements) or background (e.g., anomalies included in a National Monument proclamation) that affect the alternatives?
Comment on how the unique issues or background affect the alternatives.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanks, Brita

WISAP 1348
WISAP Review 4/18 off briefing 6/20/12 WISAP are scheduled for January 30 through February 28, 2013. To help inform your WISAP review, please attend this briefing for an overview of the 6/20/12 WISAP. I will send the WISAP and present
Please let me know if you have any questions.

When

On Jan 11, 2013 from 1:00pm Mountain Time
(6) (5) WISAP/Consistency Conference

Call 1348

Comment: 3/17/13 Action:
Wilderness Program:
1) Consistent with wilderness characteristics: not wilderness values for WISAP, section 504 (wilderness study areas), and planning lands with wilderness characteristics in a separate section (not a designation)
2) Ensure inter-land-use management transportation planning is consistent with WISAP management.

Call 1348

Comment: 3/17/13 Action:
Wilderness Program:
1) Separate lands with wilderness characteristics from special designations.
2) Add WISAP to wilderness land management in WISAP.
3) Ensure all allowable uses and conservation authorizations in WISAP conform to the recreation section of the 6/20/12 manual.

[Redacted]

Call 02

Comment: 6/15/2021... I have reviewed the... I am writing to you regarding the... I am writing to you regarding the... I am writing to you regarding the...

Call 03

Comment: 6/15/2021... I have reviewed the... I am writing to you regarding the... I am writing to you regarding the...

Call 04

Comment: 6/15/2021... I have reviewed the... I am writing to you regarding the... I am writing to you regarding the...

Call 05

Comment: 6/15/2021... I have reviewed the... I am writing to you regarding the...

Call 06

Comment: 6/15/2021... I have reviewed the... I am writing to you regarding the...

Call 07

Comment: 6/15/2021... I have reviewed the... I am writing to you regarding the...

Call ID

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/24/16
Email 2 of 3

From: Skowron, Dawn [mailto:dskowron@blm.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 5:28 PM
To: Dylan Page, Gregoire Smith, Kirby Babbitt, Nigel Larkin, Christine Fischer, Stephen Fuller, Scott Whitehead, Andrew Stratford, Shanna DeBartolo, Jennifer Whyte, Loretta Wagner, Perry Wickham, Buddy Green, Derek Sault, Michael Vitek, Darin DeGroot, Michael Smith, Sally Bortz, Britta Nelson
Cc: Kristin Landwehr, Kristin Landwehr, Britta Nelson, Nigel Larkin, Scott Whitehead, Scott Stratford, Victoria Gorman
Subject: Here is the TransWest Express Final ROD Plus of Development Appendix which is the 3rd of 3 emails

Attached is each body of the TransWest Plan of Development which is Appendix B to the TWE ROD. It is being sent in 3 emails due to its size. The third and final email will contain the appendices to this Plan of Development. This is being sent to you for a courtesy reference as you review BLM's draft

1111 Industrial Road
Chester, Wyoming 82009
307 375-4334, cell 801 378-6191
Fax 307 375-6262

"Sincerely is not French from the coast, but gentle with the coast"

Call ID

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/24/16
Email 2 of 3

Revised Final draft ROD for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NDR package are for review by Skowron Knecht on 05-18-16. Comments responded to: 05-18-16. National Track comments on ROD provided on 05-24-16. 05-24-16. Followed up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing detailing project. I will meet later today several options including the purchase of archeology to nearby developed addresses. The NDR has defined a plan and it is now waiting on Director's approval. Once ready, it can be shared internally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/24/16
Email 2 of 3

Hi Dawn, I received from the Wilderness Council
- WDC's provided feedback on draft Final ROD, NDR, and NDR package 05-24-16.
- WDC has defined compensatory mitigation language. The issue was resolved and WDC's agreed to the mitigation language which was not final (pending mitigation and not avoid mitigation). The compensatory mitigation package language, as modified by WDC, was incorporated into an Addendum to the ROD on 05-24-16.
Call ID
Comment: Britta Nelson
05/24/16 11:09 AM. Nelson, Britta - bbnelson@blm.gov writer
Hi Britta, Mike, and Terry - I will make sure and include you on the TransWest Transmission project NDR amendments/ES. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of the project and let me know if support is needed from WDC in the 400k, being sure
Our records show the following project issues:
1) the project area includes eligible and cultural resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
2) the project will cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NDRS.
3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's 2004 Final Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible ES for this project.
Additional project information follows:

Call ID

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/24/16
Email 2 of 3

Hi Britta, Terry, Tim and what ever plans are relative to a WDC review of the draft ES. It's crucial with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NDR Coordinator and get back to you on that question.
Britta Nelson
05/24/16 11:09 AM. Nelson, Britta - bbnelson@blm.gov writer
Hi Britta, Mike, and Terry - I will make sure and include you on the TransWest Transmission project NDR amendments/ES. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of the project and let me know if support is needed from WDC in the 400k, being sure
Our records show the following project issues:
1) the project area includes eligible and cultural resources including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires looking at "increment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
2) the project will cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NDRS.
3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's 2004 Final Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible ES for this project.
Additional project information follows:

Call ID

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/24/16
Email 2 of 3

From: Nelson, Britta [mailto:bbnelson@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2016 3:43 PM
To: Ashton Garcia
Cc: Mike Allen, Deborah Lutz, Terrence (Terry) Hovels, Shelly Lott, Peter West, Nikki Moore, Cary Bailey, Robert Wick
Subject: Re: Check in on the TransWest Transmission Project RMP amendments/ES
Hi Ashton, Thanks for the note - I will make sure and include you on communications on the TransWest project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WDC's support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project list on the TransWest
TransWest
Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
307 375-4334
On Mon, Oct 17, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Ashton Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:
Britta,
I have provided the email below from Mike Allen here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to be sure that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the TransWest Transmission Project. It is also listed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the ES/ES.

Call ID

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/24/16
Email 2 of 3

From: Mike Allen [mailto:miallen@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2016 5:23 PM
To: Ashton Garcia
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the TransWest Transmission Project RMP amendments/ES
Hi Ashton, Thanks for the note - I will make sure and include you on communications on the TransWest project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WDC's support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project list on the TransWest
TransWest
Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
307 375-4334
On Mon, Oct 17, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Ashton Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:
Britta,
I have provided the email below from Mike Allen here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to be sure that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the TransWest Transmission Project. It is also listed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the ES/ES.

Call ID

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/24/16
Email 2 of 3

From: Ashton Garcia [mailto:agarcia@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2016 5:23 PM
To: Ashton Garcia
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the TransWest Transmission Project RMP amendments/ES
Hi Ashton, Thanks for the note - I will make sure and include you on communications on the TransWest project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WDC's support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project list on the TransWest
TransWest
Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
307 375-4334
On Mon, Oct 17, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Ashton Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:
Britta,
I have provided the email below from Mike Allen here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to be sure that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the TransWest Transmission Project. It is also listed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the ES/ES.

Call 521

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/14/16

From: Knechtel, Sharon [mailto:sknechtel@blm.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 14, 2016 3:24 PM
To: Steve Page; Nicholas Wilson; Bradford Purdy; Georgeann Gracia; Kelly Butler; Tigris Larrabee; Christine Fletcher; Scott Whitlock; Andrew Stradling; Shauna Derpholice; Loralee Wiggins; Jennifer Whyte; Perry Wickham; Buddy Green; Dennis Sault; Darrelle Dismore; Michael Smith; Lily Butz; Britta Nelson; De
C. Mary B. Regard; Kristen Lombardi; Lucas Lorenz; Larry Clappard; John Ruhl; Ruth Welch; Janna Whitlock; Tamara Gersbach
Subject: Re: the TransWest Express draft EIS and NEPA package for review (3 e-mails)

With respect to this, it is first of all providing the draft NEPA package described above. We are pleased to provide the revised final draft NEPA for the TransWest Express Project and the NEPA package for review. The body of the NEPA is attached, along with the Mitigation and Monitoring appendices, which is a
draft NEPA, Appendix A: Mitigation and Monitoring with the respective response to comments, Appendix B: Response to Governor's Consistency and FERC comments, Appendix C: Alternatives Eliminated from further analysis and Appendix D: NPS requirements for access to Denali Road. Appendix E is the Plan of Development wh



In order to maximize our efficiency and allow for robust review and comment we are providing this package out now for final review and comment. Still pending completion are the final EIS and the PA.

Please respond with any suggested revisions by close of business May 20th, 2016. Subsequent emails will contain a courtesy copy of the TWE Plan of Development in 2 parts due to size limitations.

Regards,
Sharon

Sharon Knechtel
BLM Project Manager, Chaynesee State Office
5214 Yellowstone Road
Crownpoint, Wyoming 82409
887 775-6144, cell 801 574-4421
fax: 887 775-6281

"Sincerely is not French from the north, but generic within the desert"

Call 521

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/14/16
Email of 1

From: Knechtel, Sharon [mailto:sknechtel@blm.gov]
Sent: Friday, May 14, 2016 3:24 PM
To: Steve Page; Georgeann Gracia; Kelly Butler; Tigris Larrabee; Christine Fletcher; Stephen Fuller; Scott Whitlock; Andrew Stradling; Shauna Derpholice; Jennifer Whyte; Loralee Wiggins; Perry Wickham; Buddy Green; Dennis Sault; Michael Vella; Darrelle Dismore; Michael Smith; Lily Butz; Britta Nelson
C: Kristen Lombardi; Lucas Lorenz; Larry Clappard; John Ruhl; Ruth Welch; Janna Whitlock; Tamara Gersbach
Subject: Re: the TransWest Express draft EIS and NEPA package for review (3 e-mails)

Attached is main body of the TransWest Plan of Development which is Appendix B to the TWE NEPA. It is being sent in 2 parts due to size. The NEPA and final email will contain the appendices to this Plan of Development. This is being sent to you for a courtesy reference as you review BLM's draft

Regards, et
Sharon

Sharon Knechtel
BLM Project Manager, Chaynesee State Office
5214 Yellowstone Road
Crownpoint, Wyoming 82409
887 775-6144, cell 801 574-4421
[Redacted]

"Sincerely is not French from the north, but generic within the desert"

Call 521

Comment: [Redacted] [Redacted] TransWest Express Project and the NEPA package sent for review by Sharon Knechtel on 05-13-16. Comments requested by COM, 5/14/16. National Trade comments on NEPA provided on 05-24-16. 05-26-17. Followed up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendices which is missing detailing
project. It will meet that comment several options including the purchase of mitigation in nearby designated wilderness. The NEPA has drafted a plan and it is now waiting our Director's approval. Once ready, it can be shared internally with the larger BLM group.

Call 521

Comment: Britta Nelson
If review received from the Wilderness Society
in 2016/05 provided feedback on draft NEPA, NEPA, and NEPA package 05-24-16.
in 2016/05 draft compensatory mitigation language. The issue was resolved and WCA/NEPA agreed to the mitigation language which was not ideal (somehow mitigate and not avoid/mitigate). The compensatory mitigation package language, as modified by WCA/NEPA, was incorporated into an addendum to the FEIS on 05-01-16.

Call 523

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/14, 05/19, 05/19 at 1:19 PM, Nelson, Britta [mailto:sknechtel@blm.gov] wrote:
Hi Mike, Mike, and Tony - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the TransWest Express project NEPA amendments/EIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCA/NEPA as the draft is being prep.
Our records show the following project issues:
1) the project area includes 48,648 and 14,016 WCA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande Run. Section 7 of the WMA and Section 8(a)(2) requires looking at "historic" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated Ave. Based
[Redacted]

2) There are 10 reach with different characteristics per existing treatments. Treatments may have been conducted as part of the BLM's TransWest Express NEPA Amendment of 2012. Additional treatments may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible EIS for this project.
Additional project information follows.

Call 121

Comment: Britta Nelson
05/06/16

Adrian Garcia
05/13/16 (Thu AM)
Hi Mr. Miller, Melissa, Terrence, Mike, Peter, Niki, Emily, Robert

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WCA review of the draft EIS. If you consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NEPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia
Biotic Landmark/Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
New Mexico Office
0505 624 2039

From: Andrew, Britta [mailto:sknechtel@blm.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 02, 2016 3:42 PM
To: Adrian Garcia

Re: 2017-05-02

"Security is not freedom from the storm, but peace within the storm"

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

To: Dylan Page, Morgan Smith, Kelly Butler, Tigris Larrabee, Christine Fischer, Scott Whitehead, Andrew Stradgell, Shanna Derogatis, Laverne Whipple, Perry Wickham, Buddy Green, Dennis Swaine, Michelle Vande Driessche, Michael Smith, Lily Butts, Britta Nelson, Dr. Mary D. Buehler, Kristin Larrabee, Susan Loomis, Corey Chapman, John Rife, Ruth Stewart, Jason Whitehead, Tami Derogatis

All comments received on the draft RMO and NEM govt that have been addressed except those listed here or not highlighted in the document. For those who have previously received documents, please verify we've adequately addressed your comments. The purpose of this review is to identify where changes are needed.

We anticipate finalizing the general usage review and regulatory final mitigation after the technical advisory group completes its task in the first half of June. We'll also start mitigation then. When we provide the books with wilderness characteristics details for inclusion in the mitigation and monitoring appendix, in order to maximize our efficiency and allow for robust review and comment we are providing this package out now for final review and comment. Still pending completion are the Final RMO and the PA.

Please respond with any suggested revisions by close of business May 24th, 2016. Subsequent email will contain a copy of the TWE Plan of Development in 2 parts due to size limitations.

Regards,
Shawn

Shawn Brundage
BLM Project Manager, Chocoma State Office
5434 Independence Road
Chocoma, Wyoming 82408
307 775-4134, ext 805 139 4333
Re: 2017-05-02

"Security is not freedom from the storm, but peace within the storm"

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

To: Dylan Page, Morgan Smith, Kelly Butler, Tigris Larrabee, Christine Fischer, Stephen Frazier, Scott Whitehead, Andrew Stradgell, Shanna Derogatis, Laverne Whipple, Laverne Whipple, Perry Wickham, Buddy Green, Dennis Swaine, Michelle Vande Driessche, Michael Smith, Lily Butts, Britta Nelson, Dr. Mary D. Buehler, Kristin Larrabee, Susan Loomis, Corey Chapman, John Rife, Ruth Stewart, Jason Whitehead, Tami Derogatis

Subject: Here is the TransWest Express Draft Final RMO Plan of Development appendix which is the 2nd of 3 emails. Attached is an early copy of the TransWest Plan of Development which is Appendix B to the TWE RMO. It is being sent in 2 emails due to its size. The first email contains the appendices to this Plan of Development. This is being sent to you for a courtesy reference as you review BLM's draft.

Regards,
Shawn

Shawn Brundage
BLM Project Manager, Chocoma State Office
5434 Independence Road
Chocoma, Wyoming 82408
307 775-4134, ext 805 139 4333
Re: 2017-05-02

"Security is not freedom from the storm, but peace within the storm"

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Call ID: 05-13-14

From: [redacted]

Revised Final draft RMO for the TransWest Transmission Project and the NEM package are for review by Sharon Knauth on 05-13-14. Comments requested by 05-13-14. National Trails comments on RMO provided on 05-01-14. 05-26-17. Follow-up on the Mitigation and Monitoring appendix which is missing details on project. It will need to be revised to ensure compliance including the purchase of archeology in nearby agricultural landscapes. The RMO has a draft plan which is now waiting for Director's Approval. Once ready, it can be shared externally with the larger BLM group.

Comment: Britta Nelson
B2H AFCEC review period begins 09-20-20 and ends on 07-18-20. Wilderness program comments provided on 08-20-20, submitted to Tamara Gerlach and Renee Strub on 07-14-20. WSA comments submitted on 07-15-20. NEAF comments submitted on 07-18-20.

Call: 138
Comment: Britta Nelson
On Fri, Sep 16, 2010 at 11:09 AM, Britta Nelson <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Molly, Mike, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/ES. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WOOD in the draft being prep.
Our records show the following project team:
1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WSA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WSA and scenic. Rivers Act requires looking at "historic" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tejas National & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM 96230.



Call: 138
Comment: Britta Nelson
10-28-10
Adrian Garcia
Oct 19 (Wed AM)

To: Mike, Deborah, Terrence, Molly, Peter, Niki, Cathy, Robert
Britta,
At this time, I'm not sure what your plans are relative to a WCA review of the B2H ES. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NHP Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia
Verde TSP/CEP Project Manager
Director of Land Management
BLM State Office
303.236.6299
From: Britta Nelson <brittan@blm.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:53 PM
To: Adrian Garcia
Cc: Mike Adler <adler@blm.gov>, Terrence (Terry) Healey <thealey@blm.gov>, Peter Mal <pmal@blm.gov>, Niki Mezure <nmezure@blm.gov>, Cathy Bailey <cbailley@blm.gov>, Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/ES
Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WCA/ES support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I see this project is identified on the priority project transmission if
Thanks,

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands WCA/ES
Director of Land Management
303.236.6299

On Mon, Oct 4, 2010 at 2:23 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:
Britta,
I just finished the email before from Mike Adler here at the BLM New Mexico Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned to the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM New Mexico State Office. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails re:
to me and the preparation of the B2H ES.



Adrian Garcia
Verde TSP/CEP Project Manager
Director of Land Management
303.236.6299

From: Mike Adler <mialder@blm.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 4:52 PM
To: Adrian Garcia
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/ES

Mike Adler
Acting National Conservation Lands/WCA/ES Program Lead
BLM New Mexico State Office
303-236-6176
mialder@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Britta Nelson <brittan@blm.gov>
To: Mike Adler <mialder@blm.gov>, Peter Mal <pmal@blm.gov>, Terrence (Terry) Healey <thealey@blm.gov>, Peter Mal <pmal@blm.gov>, Niki Mezure <nmezure@blm.gov>, Cathy Bailey <cbailley@blm.gov>, Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>, "Mike, Deborah" <mldeborah@blm.gov>, Ryan Heston <rhstony@blm.gov>
Copy sent before I could reply/forward. Thanks.

On Fri, Sep 16, 2010 at 11:09 AM, Britta Nelson <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Molly, Mike, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/ES. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WOOD in the draft being prep.
Our records show the following project team:
1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WSA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WSA and scenic. Rivers Act requires looking at "historic" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tejas National & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM 96230.
3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics pending inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's TSP Field Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible ES for this project.

Additional project information follows:
Thanks,
Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands WCA/ES

On Thu, Jan 12, 2017 at 10:59 AM, Fuller, Stephen <steph@blm.gov> wrote:
If you get this email I can update the revised document for you. Attached is what's the current version in DTS.

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Moore, Nikki <nmoore@blm.gov>
Date: Thu, Jan 12, 2017 at 12:51 PM
Subject: BLM-NS - Comments

To: Tamara Sorech <gsorech@blm.gov>; Stephen Fuller <steph@blm.gov>; Georgetown Ingle <georgetown@blm.gov>; "Nikki Moore" <nmoore@blm.gov>; "Nikki Moore" <nmoore@blm.gov>
Cc: Leah Kelly <lkelly@blm.gov>; "Ted Peterson" <tpeterson@blm.gov>; "Nicholas Christopher" <nicholas@blm.gov>

Tamara, Steve, and Georgetown - thank you for sending us the BLM-NSD for a quick review. We did notice that the WQ language used for other transmission projects appears to be missing. To meet policy requirements, we recommend the following language be added to the National Conservation Lands (NCL) section on

[REDACTED]

The terms and conditions within the permit will include all identified NCL-related avoidance, minimization, and compensatory mitigation measures, which may include applicable proposed mitigation measures (e.g. design features), including the associated monitoring, adaptive management, and reporting requirement.

Please let me know if you have any questions!
Thanks,

Nikki Moore
Acting Deputy Assistant Director, National Conservation Lands and Community Partnerships
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.
202.219.2300 (office)
202.288.8148 (cell)

Call: 518

Comment: Britta Nelson

On Fri, Dec 31, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Nikki, Steve, and Tamra - I hope you're all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/ES. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WFOAS as the BLM is being prep

- 1) We need to discuss the following project issues.
- 2) The project will include eligible and suitable WQ measures including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande River. Section 7 of the WMA and BLM's Rivers Act requires funding of "enhancement" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
- 3) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Charles Red de Terra Anticosti & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NAC200.
- 4) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two Final Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible GIS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Call: 518

Comment: Britta Nelson

On Mon, Dec 26,

Adrian Garcia
On Fri, Dec 23, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WQ review of the BLM-NSD. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NCPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia
[REDACTED]

Britta Nelson
On Fri, Dec 23, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Cc: Maria Adler <madler@blm.gov>; Terrence (Terri) Hechler <tmhechler@blm.gov>; Peter Wozniak <pwozniak@blm.gov>; Nikki Moore, CTRP <nmoore@blm.gov>; Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/ES

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WQAS support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission id

Thanks,

Britta Nelson

Management and Program Analyst

National Conservation Lands/NSCP

Bureau of Land Management

202.219.2300

On Mon, Dec 5, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta,

[REDACTED]

Thank you

Adrian Garcia

Priority Specialist/Project Manager

Bureau of Land Management

BLM State Office

(202) 954-2099

From: Adler, Maria <madler@blm.gov>

Sent: Monday, December 26, 2016 11:21 AM

To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: Final Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/ES

Maria Adler

Acting National Conservation Lands/NSCP Program Lead

BLM New Mexico State Office

505-954-2176

madler@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Melissa, Bette Chiswick@blm.gov
Date: Fri, Sep 20, 2013 at 11:48 AM
Subject: Re: Check on the Nevada Transmission Project BHP amendments?
To: Mark Gales, cecilia@blm.gov, Tasha, tasha@blm.gov, Thomas (Terry) Heckel, theckel@blm.gov, Peter Watt, gwatt@blm.gov, Nita Moore, nmoore@blm.gov, Chris Bailey, cbailey@blm.gov, Robert Wick, rwick@blm.gov, Tia, Tia@blm.gov, Deborah A. Hubbard@blm.gov, Ryan Hoffmeyer, rhoffm@blm.gov, Greg, greg@blm.gov, www@blm.gov

On Fri, Sep 20, 2013 at 11:09 AM, Melissa, Bette Chiswick@blm.gov wrote:
> Hello, Mark, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a good week. I wanted to check in with you on the Nevada Transmission project BHP amendments. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from BLM in the BHP, is being etc.

[Redacted]

As there are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories, inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's, Two Field Office BHP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible GIS for this project.
Additional project information follows.

Thanks,
Bette Nelson

Bette Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands Division
Bureau of Land Management
505.246.6100

Call: 505
Comment: Bette Nelson

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Mark, Melissa, Tia, Deborah, Christopher, Greg, and Terry, Steve, and Greg - thank you for sending us the BHP RDO for a quick review. We did notice that the RDO language used for other transmission projects appears to be missing. To meet policy requirements, we recommend the following language be added to the National Conservation Lands RDO section on:

To meet policy and purposes of the National Trails System Act (93 Stat. 845), to permit a project which will not substantially interfere with the nature and purposes of the trail (NATL) (see 75), and to safeguard the nature and purposes of the National System and historic trails (NHS) (BLM M-8881 (L.A.S. 1-1),

Analysis and mitigation measures to mitigate impacts to National Trails System components, including the Oregon National Historic Trail (Oregon NHT), will be applied for the duration of the impacts from the Project. For avoided (i.e., unavoidable) effects to the values and settings of the Oregon NHT, that have National Trails System components, and will be required to be applied for the duration of the impacts. All mitigation measures will be durable, additional, timely, monitored, adaptively managed, and reported upon.

The terms and conditions within the permit will include all identified NHT-related avoidance, minimization, and compensatory mitigation measures, which may include adaptive management measures (e.g., design alternatives) including the associated monitoring, adaptive management, and reporting requirements. Please let me know if you have any questions!

Thanks,
Nita Moore

Nita Moore
Acting Deputy Assistant Director, National Conservation Lands and Community Partnerships
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.
202.219.8300 (office)
202.219.8114 (cell)

[Redacted] has incorporated the BHP RDO into the RDO.

Mon, 9/20/13
Friday, September 20, 2013
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

On 10/15/13
Mon, 9/20/13
11:11 AM (PST)

Hi Terry,
Thanks Terry - will forward it to DFL.

From: Adria, Maria [mailto:adria@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2018 1:12 PM
To: Adria, Garcia
Subject: Final check on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EG

Maria Adria
Biting National Conservation Lands/Verde/OTM Program Lead
BLM New Mexico State Office
505-954-2174
adria@blm.gov



To: Marka Cobble [mailto:mcobble@blm.gov], Adria, Maria [mailto:adria@blm.gov], Terrence [Terri] Neeshy [mailto:terneesh@blm.gov], Peter Wolf [mailto:pwolf@blm.gov], Mike Moore [mailto:mamoore@blm.gov], Cathy Bailey [mailto:cbailey@blm.gov], Robert Wick [mailto:rwick@blm.gov], "Liz, Deborah A" [mailto:ldeborah@blm.gov], Ryan Holtzwey [mailto:rholtz@blm.gov], and before [mailto:blm@weeey.com] Re:Verde

On Fri, Sep 28, 2018 at 11:07 AM, Adria, Maria [mailto:adria@blm.gov] wrote:

Hi Marka, Maria, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/EG. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WIDE in the BLM's being prep

Our records show the following project team:

- 1) The project area includes rights and interests BLM owns including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WEE and Scurie Down and requires looking at "treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
- 2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be consistent per BLM 96263.
- 3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two Year Office RMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible EG for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Adria Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands/OTM/OTM
Bureau of Land Management
505.236.2549

CAR: CLJ

Comment: Adria Nelson
On Fri, Sep 28, 2018 at 11:07 AM, Adria, Maria [mailto:adria@blm.gov] wrote:

Hi Marka, Maria, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/EG. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WIDE in the BLM's being prep

Our records show the following project team:

- 1) The project area includes rights and interests BLM owns including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WEE and Scurie Down and requires looking at "treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
- 2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be consistent per BLM 96263.
- 3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two Year Office RMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible EG for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Adria Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands/OTM/OTM
Bureau of Land Management
505.236.2549

On Tue, Oct 4, 2018 at 6:38 AM, Adria, Garcia [mailto:agarcia@blm.gov] wrote:

Hi Terry,

At this time, I've not seen what your plans are relative to a WDC review of the BLM EG. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NHP Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adria Garcia
Verde Transmission Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
NM State Office
505.954.2174

From: Adria, Maria [mailto:adria@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2018 1:12 PM
To: Adria, Garcia

Subject: Final check on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/EG

Hi Adria, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WIDE support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I use this project's identified on the priority project transmission of

Thanks,

Adria Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands/OTM/OTM
Bureau of Land Management
505.236.2549

On Mon, Oct 1, 2018 at 2:21 PM, Adria, Garcia [mailto:agarcia@blm.gov] wrote:

Hi Terry,

I was provided the e-mail below from Maria Adria here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails reg to our best preparation of the BLM EG.

Thank you

Adria Garcia
Verde Transmission Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
NM State Office

0501669555

From: Mike [mailto:mike@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016 1:23 PM
To: Mike Garcia
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/ES

Mike Adler
Acting National Conservation Lands/PLM/VRM Program Lead
BLM New Mexico State Office



From: Mike [mailto:mike@blm.gov]
Date: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 11:41 AM
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/ES
To: Mike Garcia <mike@blm.gov>; Mike Garcia <mike@blm.gov>; Terrence [mailto:terrence@blm.gov]; Peter Mal-gonoff@blm.gov; Celia Bailey <celia@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <rob@blm.gov>; "Liz, Deborah" <lizdeb@blm.gov>; Ryan Rathway <rathway@blm.gov>;
Cory, sent before 10/27/2016 10:41 AM
Re: [mailto:terrence@blm.gov]

On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Mike [mailto:mike@blm.gov] wrote:

Hi Mike, Mike, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/ES. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WSD as the draft is being prep

Our needs show the following project items:

- 1) The project area includes eligible and suitable WSD streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WSD and Section 8 requires looking at "Technical" projects that are upstream or on a tributary of a designated area. Based
- 2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NHTS.
- 3) There are no study with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tux Park Office RMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to complete a complete and defensible ES for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Calli Felt

Calli Felt
Bureau of Land Management
BLM State Office
0501669555

To: Mike, Deborah, Terrence, Mike, Peter, Niki, Calli, Robert
Bette,

At this time, I'm not sure our plans are related to a WSD review of the BLM ES. If consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NHPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Mike Garcia
Bureau of Land Management
BLM State Office
0501669555

From: Mike [mailto:mike@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016 4:43 PM
To: Mike Garcia
Cc: Mike Adler [mailto:mike@blm.gov]; Terrence [mailto:terrence@blm.gov]; Peter Mal-gonoff@blm.gov; Celia Bailey <celia@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <rob@blm.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/ES

Hi Mike, Thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WSD support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project identified on the priority project transmission of

Thanks,

Bette Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands Division
Bureau of Land Management
0501669555

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Mike Garcia <mike@blm.gov> wrote:

I was provided the e-mail below from Mike Adler from at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails regarding the preparation of the BLM ES.

Thanks,

Mike Garcia
Bureau of Land Management
BLM State Office
0501669555

From: Mike [mailto:mike@blm.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016 1:23 PM
To: Mike Garcia
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/ES

Mike Adler
Acting National Conservation Lands/PLM/VRM Program Lead
BLM New Mexico State Office

0501669555
mike@blm.gov

----- Original message -----
From: Mike [mailto:mike@blm.gov]
Date: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:41 AM
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/ES

To: Mike.Lobley@blm.gov, "Adrian, Mike" <mike@blm.gov>, Terrence [Terri] Healy <thel@blm.gov>, Peter.Mat@blm.gov, Mike.Moore@blm.gov, Chris.Bailey@blm.gov, Robert.Wick@blm.gov, "Liz, Deborah" <deborah@blm.gov>, Ryan.Holtway@blm.gov, Cays, west@blm.gov or @weyher.com

On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Mike, Terri <thel@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Mike, Mike, and Terri - I hope you are well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WYDAS in the next a being prep.

Our records show the following project issues:
1) The project area includes riparian and suitable WCA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WMA and Section 8 requires looking at "Treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated area. Based

[Redacted]

Thanks,

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands WYDAS
Bureau of Land Management
303.324.6339

Call: 633

Comment: Britta Nelson
On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Mike, Terri <thel@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Mike, Mike, and Terri - I hope you are well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/DIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WYDAS in the next a being prep.

Our records show the following project issues:
1) The project area includes riparian and suitable WCA streams including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WMA and Section 8 requires looking at "Treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated area. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tejas National & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NHTS.
3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tule River RMP Amendment of 2013. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DIS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Call: 633

Comment: Britta Nelson
On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Mike, Terri <thel@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Adrian, I hope you are well. I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WYDAS's tracking files and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands WYDAS
Bureau of Land Management
303.324.6339

On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 5:38 AM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WCA review of the BLM DIS. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NHPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia

[Redacted]
New Mexico Office
(505) 624-3339

From: Mike, Terri <thel@blm.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016, 11:09 AM

To: Mike, Terri <thel@blm.gov>, Terrence [Terri] Healy <thel@blm.gov>, Peter.Mat@blm.gov, Mike.Moore@blm.gov, Chris.Bailey@blm.gov, Robert.Wick@blm.gov, "Liz, Deborah" <deborah@blm.gov>, Ryan.Holtway@blm.gov, Cays, west@blm.gov or @weyher.com

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DIS

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you in communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WYDAS support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission of

Thanks,

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands WYDAS
Bureau of Land Management
303.324.6339

On Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta,

I have provided the e-mail below from Mike, Terri at the BLM New Mexico Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. The disc attached here at the BLM New Mexico Office will. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mails re

Thanks you.

Adrian Garcia
Verde Transmission Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
New Mexico Office
(505) 624-3339

From: Mike, Terri <thel@blm.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016 1:52 PM
To: Adrian Garcia

Subject: FW: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/DIS

Mike, Terri

Acting National Conservation Lands/Neu/NHPA Program Lead
BLM New Mexico State Office
505-624-3339
mike@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta [bri@blm.gov]
Date: Fri, Sep 20, 2013 at 11:28 AM
Subject: Re: Check to see the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendments/DIS
To: Marky Madala [m@blm.gov], Julie Wiles [j@blm.gov], Terrence [T@blm.gov], Peter Mal [p@blm.gov], Nikki Moore [n@blm.gov], Carli Bailey [c@blm.gov], Robert Wick [r@blm.gov], "Liz, Deborah" [l@blm.gov], Ryan Hathaway [h@blm.gov]
Oops, sent before I could unsend! Resend.

On Fri, Sep 20, 2013 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta [bri@blm.gov] wrote:
Hi Marky, Julie, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendments/DIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from W0410 as the draft is being prep.



3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tux Field Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DIS for the project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Britta

Carli Bailey

Management and Program Analyst

Nation of Conservation Lands W0410

Division of Land Management

BLM State Office

(202) 616-2999

From: Nelson, Britta [bri@blm.gov]

Date: Monday, October 01, 2012 4:43 PM

To: Nikki Moore [n@blm.gov]

Cc: Marky Madala [m@blm.gov], Terrence [T@blm.gov], Julie Wiles [j@blm.gov], Peter Mal [p@blm.gov], Nikki Moore, Carli Bailey, Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check to see the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendments/DIS

Hi Nikki, Thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what W0410 support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission if

Thanks,

Britta

Management and Program Analyst

Nation of Conservation Lands W0410

Division of Land Management

BLM State Office

(202) 616-2999

From: Nelson, Britta [bri@blm.gov]

Date: Monday, October 01, 2012 4:43 PM

To: Nikki Moore [n@blm.gov]

Cc: Marky Madala [m@blm.gov], Terrence [T@blm.gov], Julie Wiles [j@blm.gov], Peter Mal [p@blm.gov], Nikki Moore, Carli Bailey, Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check to see the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendments/DIS

Hi Nikki, Thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what W0410 support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission if

Thanks,

Britta

Management and Program Analyst

Nation of Conservation Lands W0410

Division of Land Management

BLM State Office

(202) 616-2999

From: Nelson, Britta [bri@blm.gov]

Date: Fri, Sep 20, 2013 at 11:28 AM

Subject: Re: Check to see the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendments/DIS

To: Marky Madala [m@blm.gov], Julie Wiles [j@blm.gov], Terrence [T@blm.gov], Peter Mal [p@blm.gov], Nikki Moore [n@blm.gov], Carli Bailey [c@blm.gov], Robert Wick [r@blm.gov], "Liz, Deborah" [l@blm.gov], Ryan Hathaway [h@blm.gov]

Oops, sent before I could unsend! Resend.

On Fri, Sep 20, 2013 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta [bri@blm.gov] wrote:
Hi Marky, Julie, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendments/DIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from W0410 as the draft is being prep.

Our records show the following project items:
1) The project area includes 4800 and 10000 W0410 stream including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the W04 and some Bureau of Land Management requires looking at "Technical" projects that are upstream on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM NCH200.
3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tux Field Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible DIS for the project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Britta

Thanks,
Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
Bureau of Land Management
303.224.8539

On Fri, Sep 10, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Britta Nelson <britna@blm.gov> wrote:
[REDACTED]

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM 96230.
3) There are no trails with wilderness characteristics per existing Inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Tule Creek Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and definable GIS for the project.
Additional project information follows.

On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 8:46 AM, Britta Nelson <britna@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Britta, I hope you are well. I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WCEID's tracking file and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thank!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
Bureau of Land Management (960-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.224.8539

On Tue, Oct 4, 2016 at 8:46 AM, Britta Nelson <britna@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Britta, I'm not sure what our plan are relative to a WCEID review of the BLM GIS. I'll consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NHT Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Britta Nelson
Bureau of Land Management
303.224.8539

From: Britta Nelson <britna@blm.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:43 PM
To: Allison Garcia
Cc: Mark Allen, Deborah A. Lutz, Terrence (Termy) Healy, Mandy Collins, Peter M. Quinn, Nikki Moore, Carli Bailey, Robert Wick
Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/GIS

Hi Allison, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WCEID support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I saw this project is identified on the priority project transmission id

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
[REDACTED]
303.224.8539

On Wed, Oct 5, 2016 at 7:21 PM, Allison Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:
[REDACTED]

Hi Allison, I'm glad to hear from you. I would be happy to have you as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Allison Garcia
Bureau of Land Management
303.224.8539

From: Allison Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:43 PM
To: Allison Garcia
Subject: Fwd: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/GIS

Blm New Mexico State Office
303-224-2176
agarcia@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Britta Nelson <britna@blm.gov>
Date: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:27 AM

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/GIS
To: Mark Allen <malen@blm.gov>, "Adele, Wade W" <wade@blm.gov>, Terrence (Termy) Healy <thealy@blm.gov>, Peter M. Quinn <pquinn@blm.gov>, Nikki Moore <nmoore@blm.gov>, Carli Bailey <cbaily@blm.gov>, Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>, "Tom, Deborah A" <deboraha@blm.gov>, Lynn Hattaway <lhattaway@blm.gov>
Oops, sent before I hit the "reply" button.

On Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Britta Nelson <britna@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Mandy, Wade, and Terry - I hope you are all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment/GIS. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WCEID as the BLM's being prep

Our records show the following project team:
1) The project area includes eligible and suitable BLM lands including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near "Cactus 7" of the WMA and bounds. Review and requires looking at "treatment" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated flow. Based
2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT's). The project needs to be considered per BLM 96230.

- 2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM M230.
- 3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two Field Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible OIS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Call ID#

Comment: Britta Nelson

09/28/16

Hi Adrian, I hope you are well! I thought I would check in to see if there is a status update on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendment that you could share. I am updating WOOD's tracking files and wanted to make sure updates are included. Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit



At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WDC review of the WTP OIS. If I consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NCPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia

Verde Transmission Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
BLM State Office
02025 NCL Unit

From: Nelson, Britta (britta.nelson@blm.gov)

Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016, 4:43 PM

To: Adrian Garcia

Cc: Mark Adler; Deborah Sisk; Terrence (Terri) Healy; Mandy Lofsky; Peter M.; Nikki Moore; Carli Bailey; Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/OIS

Hi Adrian, thanks for the note. I will make sure and include you on communications on the Verde project. Thanks for keeping me updated and letting me know what WDC/OIS support might be needed as you move forward. I do have a question - I use this project's identified on the priority project transmission if

Thanks,

Britta Nelson
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit
Bureau of Land Management
BLM State Office
02025 NCL Unit

On: Mon, Oct 3, 2016 at 2:21 PM, Adrian Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:

Britta,

I was provided the e-mail below from Mike Adler here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also listed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we work the implementation of the OIS/OA.

Thank you

Adrian Garcia

Verde Transmission Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
BLM State Office
02025 NCL Unit

From: Adler, Mike (mike.adler@blm.gov)

Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016, 4:52 PM

To: Britta Nelson

Subject: Final Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/OIS

Mike Adler

Acting National Conservation Lands/NCL/NM Program Lead
BLM New Mexico State Office

505-664-2174

madler@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nelson, Britta (britta.nelson@blm.gov)

Sent: Monday, October 03, 2016, 11:41 AM

Subject: Re: Check in on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendment/OIS

To: Mark Adler; mickadler@blm.gov; Britta Nelson; britta.nelson@blm.gov; Terrence (Terri) Healy; terhealy@blm.gov; Peter M.; pm@blm.gov; Nikki Moore; nmoore@blm.gov; Carli Bailey; cbaily@blm.gov; Robert Wick; rwick@blm.gov; "Liz, Deborah A"; ldeborah@blm.gov; Ryan Hoffmeyer; rhoffmeyer@blm.gov

Okay, will follow up in my next e-mail.

On: Fri, Sep 30, 2016 at 11:09 AM, Nelson, Britta (britta.nelson@blm.gov) wrote:

Our response shows the following project issues:

1) The project area includes rights and/or title WDC concerns including additional segments of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the WMA and Section 9(a) requires looking at "technical" projects that are upstream, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based

2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be considered per BLM M230.

3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two Field Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible OIS for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,

Call ID#

Comment: Britta Nelson

09/28/16

Adrian Garcia

09/28/16 (Wed)

To: Mike, Deborah, Terrence, Mandy, Peter, Nikki, Carli, Robert

Britta,

At this time, I'm not sure what our plans are relative to a WDC review of the WTP OIS. If I consult with our local management team here in New Mexico and our NCPA Coordinator and get back to you on that question.

Adrian Garcia

Wally Spaulding@Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
BLM State Office
(505) 624-2099

From: Wally Spaulding@Project Manager
Sent: Monday, October 05, 2015 4:14 PM

To: Arlan Garcia
Cc: Mike Allen; Deborah Lutz; Thomas (Terry) Healy; Wally Spaulding; Peter Hald; Mike Moore; Cori Bailey; Robert Wick

Subject: Re: Check on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendments/ES

[Redacted]

Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands Division
Bureau of Land Management
BLM State Office

On Mon, Oct 5, 2015 at 2:21 PM, Arlan Garcia <agarcia@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi,

I was provided the email below from Mike Allen here at the BLM NM State Office. I wanted to let you know that I have been assigned as the Project Manager for the Verde Transmission Project. I'm also stationed here at the BLM NM State Office as well. Please ensure that you include me in all future e-mailing as we start the preparation of the EIS/ES.

Thank you

Arlan Garcia
Wally Spaulding@Project Manager
Bureau of Land Management
BLM State Office
(505) 624-2099

From: Mike Allen <mikeallen@blm.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 05, 2015 4:17 PM

To: Arlan Garcia
Subject: Re: Check on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendments/ES

Mike Allen
Acting National Conservation Lands/Verde/VMW Program Lead
BLM New Mexico State Office
505-624-2174
mallen@blm.gov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Wally Spaulding@Project Manager
Date: Fri, Sep 18, 2015 at 11:46 AM

Subject: Re: Check on the Verde Transmission Project RMP amendments/ES
To: Mike Allen <mikeallen@blm.gov>; Mike Allen <mallen@blm.gov>; Thomas (Terry) Healy <thehal@blm.gov>; Peter Hald <phald@blm.gov>; Mike Moore <mimoore@blm.gov>; Cori Bailey <cbailor@blm.gov>; Robert Wick <rwick@blm.gov>; "Tad, Deborah" <tad@blm.gov>; Lynn Hestaway <lhesta@blm.gov>

[Redacted]

On Fri, Sep 18, 2015 at 11:09 AM, Mike Allen <mikeallen@blm.gov> wrote:

[Redacted] you all well and have had a great week. I wanted to check in with you on the Verde Transmission project RMP amendments/ES. I am hoping you can keep me updated on the progress of this project and let me know if support is needed from WOOD as the EIS is being prep.

- Our records show the following project team:
- 1) The project area includes eight miles of the WCA easements including additional easements of the Rio Grande. The proposed route crosses the Rio Grande near Section 7 of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act requires looking at "segment" projects that are adjacent, downstream or on a tributary of a designated river. Based
 - 2) The project would cross two National Historical Trails (the Camino Real de Tierra Abierta & Old Spanish NHT). The project needs to be completed per BLM NAC200.
 - 3) There are no lands with wilderness characteristics per existing inventories. Inventories may have been conducted as part of the BLM's Two Field Office RMP Amendment of 2012. Additional inventories may need to be conducted to prepare a complete and defensible ES for this project.

Additional project information follows.

Thanks,
Wally Spaulding
Management and Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands Division
Bureau of Land Management
BLM State Office

[Redacted]

FY 2021									
Agency	Activity Type	Activity Name	Activity Description	Activity Status	Activity Start Date	Activity End Date	Activity Budget	Activity Location	Activity Contact
1	AD-001	AD-001	AD-001	AD-001	AD-001	AD-001	AD-001	AD-001	AD-001
2	AD-002	AD-002	AD-002	AD-002	AD-002	AD-002	AD-002	AD-002	AD-002
3	AD-003	AD-003	AD-003	AD-003	AD-003	AD-003	AD-003	AD-003	AD-003
4	AD-004	AD-004	AD-004	AD-004	AD-004	AD-004	AD-004	AD-004	AD-004
5	AD-005	AD-005	AD-005	AD-005	AD-005	AD-005	AD-005	AD-005	AD-005
6	AD-006	AD-006	AD-006	AD-006	AD-006	AD-006	AD-006	AD-006	AD-006
7	AD-007	AD-007	AD-007	AD-007	AD-007	AD-007	AD-007	AD-007	AD-007
8	AD-008	AD-008	AD-008	AD-008	AD-008	AD-008	AD-008	AD-008	AD-008
9	AD-009	AD-009	AD-009	AD-009	AD-009	AD-009	AD-009	AD-009	AD-009
10	AD-010	AD-010	AD-010	AD-010	AD-010	AD-010	AD-010	AD-010	AD-010
11	AD-011	AD-011	AD-011	AD-011	AD-011	AD-011	AD-011	AD-011	AD-011
12	AD-012	AD-012	AD-012	AD-012	AD-012	AD-012	AD-012	AD-012	AD-012
13	AD-013	AD-013	AD-013	AD-013	AD-013	AD-013	AD-013	AD-013	AD-013
14	AD-014	AD-014	AD-014	AD-014	AD-014	AD-014	AD-014	AD-014	AD-014
15	AD-015	AD-015	AD-015	AD-015	AD-015	AD-015	AD-015	AD-015	AD-015
16	AD-016	AD-016	AD-016	AD-016	AD-016	AD-016	AD-016	AD-016	AD-016
17	AD-017	AD-017	AD-017	AD-017	AD-017	AD-017	AD-017	AD-017	AD-017
18	AD-018	AD-018	AD-018	AD-018	AD-018	AD-018	AD-018	AD-018	AD-018
19	AD-019	AD-019	AD-019	AD-019	AD-019	AD-019	AD-019	AD-019	AD-019
20	AD-020	AD-020	AD-020	AD-020	AD-020	AD-020	AD-020	AD-020	AD-020
21	AD-021	AD-021	AD-021	AD-021	AD-021	AD-021	AD-021	AD-021	AD-021
22	AD-022	AD-022	AD-022	AD-022	AD-022	AD-022	AD-022	AD-022	AD-022
23	AD-023	AD-023	AD-023	AD-023	AD-023	AD-023	AD-023	AD-023	AD-023
24	AD-024	AD-024	AD-024	AD-024	AD-024	AD-024	AD-024	AD-024	AD-024
25	AD-025	AD-025	AD-025	AD-025	AD-025	AD-025	AD-025	AD-025	AD-025
26	AD-026	AD-026	AD-026	AD-026	AD-026	AD-026	AD-026	AD-026	AD-026
27	AD-027	AD-027	AD-027	AD-027	AD-027	AD-027	AD-027	AD-027	AD-027
28	AD-028	AD-028	AD-028	AD-028	AD-028	AD-028	AD-028	AD-028	AD-028
29	AD-029	AD-029	AD-029	AD-029	AD-029	AD-029	AD-029	AD-029	AD-029
30	AD-030	AD-030	AD-030	AD-030	AD-030	AD-030	AD-030	AD-030	AD-030

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
<p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> <p>26</p> <p>27</p> <p>28</p> <p>29</p> <p>30</p> <p>31</p> <p>32</p> <p>33</p> <p>34</p> <p>35</p> <p>36</p> <p>37</p> <p>38</p> <p>39</p> <p>40</p> <p>41</p> <p>42</p> <p>43</p> <p>44</p> <p>45</p> <p>46</p> <p>47</p> <p>48</p> <p>49</p> <p>50</p> <p>51</p> <p>52</p> <p>53</p> <p>54</p> <p>55</p> <p>56</p> <p>57</p> <p>58</p> <p>59</p> <p>60</p> <p>61</p> <p>62</p> <p>63</p> <p>64</p> <p>65</p> <p>66</p> <p>67</p> <p>68</p> <p>69</p> <p>70</p> <p>71</p> <p>72</p> <p>73</p> <p>74</p> <p>75</p> <p>76</p> <p>77</p> <p>78</p> <p>79</p> <p>80</p> <p>81</p> <p>82</p> <p>83</p> <p>84</p> <p>85</p> <p>86</p> <p>87</p> <p>88</p> <p>89</p> <p>90</p> <p>91</p> <p>92</p> <p>93</p> <p>94</p> <p>95</p> <p>96</p> <p>97</p> <p>98</p> <p>99</p> <p>100</p>																									

Call ID:

Comment: Britta Nelson
01/09/21, 10:56 AM, Shiva
9:25 AM (6 minutes ago)

To: Shiva, Ryan, Anne
Hi Britta,

Thanks and hope the same to you too!

All briefing was held on December 30th and Chris attended it from 4:00 and it was agreed to go ahead with Director's briefing and some suggestion were provided for fine tuning the presentation. Director's briefing request has been submitted but not yet scheduled.

All the best,

Bill Wooten (Director of Decision Support, Planning & M&P)
200 M Street, S.E. | Washington, DC 20003
https://twitter.com/bwouten

On Mon, Jan 8, 2021 at 10:58 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Ryan, Shiva, and Anne - I hope your week was off to a great start! Thank you for adding W0400 to the routing for the Southeastern States nation in DTS. I am updating W0400 tracking records and wanted to check it on where we are with briefings on the plan. Have the AD and Director's brief been held?

Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Division of Land Management
303.236.2539

Call ID:

Comment: Britta Nelson
01/09/21, 10:56 AM, Shiva
9:25 AM (6 minutes ago)

To: Shiva, Ryan, Anne
Hi Britta,

Thanks and hope the same to you too!

All briefing was held on December 30th and Chris attended it from 4:00 and it was agreed to go ahead with Director's briefing and some suggestion were provided for fine tuning the presentation. Director's briefing request has been submitted but not yet scheduled.

All the best,

Shiva
Shiva A. Alcar, PhD
Planning and Environmental Analysis
303 M Street, S.E. | Washington, DC 20003
https://twitter.com/shiva

On Mon, Jan 8, 2021 at 10:58 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Ryan, Shiva, and Anne - I hope your week was off to a great start! Thank you for adding W0400 to the routing for the Southeastern States nation in DTS. I am updating W0400 tracking records and wanted to check it on where we are with briefings on the plan. Have the AD and Director's brief been held?

Thanks!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Division of Land Management
303.236.2539

Call ID:

Comment: Britta Nelson
01/09/21, 10:56 AM, Shiva
9:25 AM (6 minutes ago)

To: Ryan, Shiva, Molly, Christopher
Hi Britta,

In mid-December we briefed State Director Amy Lunder on the W01 response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GIS and user estimate.

The holidays have ebbed as dawn a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!
Chad

On Fri, Jan 22, 2021 at 1:00 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad and Molly, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the CallIDed information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Division of Land Management
303.236.2539

On Fri, Nov 18, 2020 at 1:10 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

No further of us on pending about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end user greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) estimate into the RMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecasted oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimate sent to us with the upcoming habitat report in a few weeks before we are able to finalize this data.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thanks,
Chad

On Sun, Nov 13, 2016 at 7:27 AM, Britta Olsenlund@blm.gov wrote:
Hi Chad, sorry for being vague you can't know you are following up on this but you wondering if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thank!

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.734.0139

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 11:48 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Correction. We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.



We are getting close to having our responses ready to send back to the WOs. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas and user interests. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 10, 2016 at 8:02 AM, Britta Olsenlund@blm.gov wrote:
Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribou information.
Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.734.0139

On Fri, Oct 11, 2016 at 1:03 PM, Britta Olsenlund@blm.gov wrote:
Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with us being going on. Please let me know if anything changes on information so I can let folks know on our end.

We look forward to working with you to clear this out. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.734.0139

On Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 11:03 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Britta,

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual lease and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions asked during the GSD briefing sent to your office. We are hoping to bring your patience and look forward to having your office's thoughts since we provide these responses.

Chad

Chad

Chad

Comment: Britta Nelson

On 10/11/16, Chad, Steve

3:15 AM (4 minutes ago)

Hi Britta,

Thanks and I will file cases by you last

and Chad attended to them 400 and it was agreed to go ahead with Director's briefing and some suggestions were provided for fine tuning the presentation. Director's briefing request has been submitted but not yet scheduled.

All the best,

Steve

Steve A. Clark, PhD
Planning and Environmental Analyst
BLM WFO J20 (Division of Decision Support, Planning & NEPA)
2100 Canyon Blvd., Fort Collins, CO 78501
https://twitter.com/sclark

On Mon, Jan 8, 2017 at 10:18 AM, Britta Olsenlund@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Chad, Steve, and Annie - I hope your week is off to a great start! Thank you for adding BLM400 to the routing for the backcountry States nation 10276. I am updating BLM400 tracking records and wanted to check to see where we are with briefing on the plan since the AG and Director's book have been here!

Thank!

Britta Nelson, M.P.A., Program Analyst

303.734.0139

Chad

Comment: Britta Nelson

On 10/11/16, Young, Richard

1:03 PM (2 hours ago)

To Tim, me, Britta, Molly, Christopher

Hi Britta,

In mid-December we briefed Steve Director Army leaders on the WOI request and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GSD and user estimates.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!

Chad

On Fri, Jan 20, 2017 at 1:08 PM, Britta Olsenlund@blm.gov wrote:

Hi Chad and Britta, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check to see if there is an update on the Caribou information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson, M.F.P.A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)
Bureau of Land Management
303.226.6539

On Fri, Nov 19, 2016 at 12:18 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Britta,

No further action being about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end user greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) estimate into the RMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast oil/gas production in our field offices. We are close to having the production estimate sent to us.

Thanks,
Chad

On Sun, Nov 1, 2016 at 7:27 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you over I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Carlsbad situation. Thanks!

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)
Bureau of Land Management
303.226.6539

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 12:43 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Correction: We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 9:39 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Britta,

We are getting closer to having our response ready to send back to the WFO. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end user estimate. We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 9:01 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Carlsbad information. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)
Bureau of Land Management
303.226.6539

On Fri, Oct 11, 2016 at 1:31 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with us much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on the timeline so I can let folks know on our end.

We both forward to working with you to close this out. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-412)
Bureau of Land Management
303.226.6539

On Fri, Oct 11, 2016 at 1:31 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Britta,

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual lease and extending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting closer to having a response to several of the questions listed during the 9/27 briefing sent to your office. We are hoping for your patience and I look forward to hearing your office's thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thanks,
Chad

On Sun, Nov 13, 2016 at 7:37 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Chad, sorry to hear regarding your email. I have you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thank!

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (900-410)



Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 9:10 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having our responses ready to send back to the BCI. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas and user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 1.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 9:03 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Chad, sorry to hear that you are still waiting for an update on the Caribou information.

Thank! Britta
Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (900-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303-216-2519

On Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 1:03 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on the timeline so I can let folks know on our end.

We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thank! Britta

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (900-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303-216-2519

On Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 11:08 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Britta,

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of the requests BCI indicate. Annual base and standing inventory with supporting agencies has closed at this time but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions closed during the BCI working visit to your office. We are having

Thanks for understanding and I look forward to hearing your office's thoughts once we provide these responses.



Chad

Comment: Britta Nelson
On 10/21/16, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Britta,

Chad

In mid-October we finished State Director Amy Lunden's on the BCI response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GMS and user analysis.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!
Chad

On Fri, Oct 20, 2017 at 1:09 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Chad and Miki, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribou information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thank! Britta



Bureau of Land Management
303-216-2519

On Fri, Nov 18, 2016 at 12:29 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Britta,

No bother at all adding about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end user greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) estimates into the MAP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimates sent to us

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thanks,
Chad

On Sun, Nov 13, 2016 at 7:37 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Chad, sorry to hear regarding your email. I have you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thank!

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (900-410)
Bureau of Land Management

303.216.6528

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 12:42 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Correction: We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 8:18 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Betsy,

We are getting closer to having our response ready to send back to the BWS. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end-user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Thanked Betsy

Betsy Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.6528

On Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 1:13 PM, Nelson, Betsy <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your effort especially with so much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on timelines so I can let folks know on our end.

We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thanked Betsy

Betsy Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.6528

On Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 1:08 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Betsy,

We are working on providing a thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual base and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions closed during the OCT working visit to your office. We are hoping to have your presence and look forward to hearing your office's thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Chad SCS
Comments: Betsy Nelson

On 10/21/16, Young, Richard
1:43 PM (2 hours ago)

To: Tim, Sara, Molly, Christopher
Hi Betsy,

In mid-December we finished State Director Amy Lunden on the BWS response and we are making a few adjustments to the memo response. We are also close to completing the GMS end user estimates.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

[REDACTED] update

Thanks New Year!
Chad

[REDACTED] you, Betsy <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad and Molly, I hope January is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribou information you have been working on.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss. Thanked Betsy

Betsy Nelson, M.P., A., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.6528

On Fri, Nov 18, 2016 at 1:19 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Betsy,

No bother if not asking about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end user greenhouse gas emissions (GMS) estimate into the MMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecasted oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimate sent to of

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

[REDACTED]

Thanks,
Chad

On Sat, Nov 12, 2016 at 7:17 AM, Nelson, Betsy <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Chad, sorry to hear budgeting you could have an open following given this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribou information. Thanked!

Betsy Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.216.6528

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 12:42 PM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Correction: We are anticipating having response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2016 at 8:18 AM, Young, Richard <young@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Betsy,

We are getting closer to having our response ready to send back to the BWS. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end-user analysis. We are anticipating having responses ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2010 at 9:02 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information.
Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.219.0109

On Fri, Oct 22, 2010 at 1:27 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

[Redacted]

Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management
303.219.0109

On Fri, Oct 22, 2010 at 1:08 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

We are still working on providing a thorough response. Some of this requires GIS analysis. Annual lease and attending meetings with cooperating agencies has slowed us down but we are getting close to having a response to several of the questions asked during the R32 briefing sent to your office. We are hoping
Thanks for your patience and look forward to hearing your officer's thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Chad D'S

Comment: Britta Nelson

Follow up from Director's staff. Met with MMS, WDS&L, WDS&L on Oct 4 to discuss needs with wilderness characteristics scum. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Caribbead briefing. As follow up, Chad will work with Sally and Melissa on updating the briefing information related to wilderness characteristics information needed for WSA suitability and also for included other activity or in the management presentation. New ideas to provide information in capacity for WDS&L review. Checked with Mel on 10/17 re status.

Chad D'S

Comment: Britta Nelson

Re: 10/17 Young, Richard
1:04 PM (PST) 10/17

To: Tom, ms, Sally, Melly, Christopher
Hi Britta,

In mid-December we briefed Area Director Amy Lunden on the WSA response and we are making a few adjustments to the events response. We are also close to completing the GIS and user extracts.

The holidays have slowed us down a bit but submitting this response to your office promptly remains high on the priority list.

Thanks again for keeping this on your radar.

Happy New Year!
Chad

Chad

On Fri, Oct 26, 2010 at 1:09 PM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad and Melly, I hope everyone is off to a great start for you both! I wanted to check in to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information you have been working on.

[Redacted]

Britta Nelson, M.P., Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)
Bureau of Land Management

On Fri, Nov 19, 2010 at 12:39 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

As better as at on adding about the status of our response. I appreciate you keeping it on your radar.

We have decided to go ahead and include the end user greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) estimates into the BMP and this effort is taking some time due to the complexity involved in generating current and forecast oil/gas production in our field office. We are close to having the production estimates sent to us.

With the upcoming holidays it may be a few weeks before we are able to have this done.

If you have any other questions I would be glad to answer them.

Thanks,
Chad

On Sat, Nov 13, 2010 at 7:37 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, sorry to keep bugging you but I know you are following up on this but I was wondering if there is an update on the Caribbead information. Thanks!

Britta Nelson

[Redacted]
Bureau of Land Management
303.219.0109

On Mon, Oct 11, 2010 at 12:42 PM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Correction: We are anticipating being response ready to send back to your office by November 7, not December. My apologies for the confusion.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2010 at 9:38 AM, Young, Richard <ryoung@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Britta,

We are getting close to having our response ready to send back to the WSA. We are meeting today to further discuss the greenhouse gas end user analysis. We are anticipating being response ready to send back to your office by December 7.

Thanks for keeping this on your radar.

Chad

On Mon, Oct 11, 2010 at 9:02 AM, Nelson, Britta <bnelson@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Chad, just a quick check to see if there is an update on the Caribbead information.
Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL-410)

Bureau of Land Management
202.219.2529

On Fri, Oct 21, 2021 at 1:23 PM, Britta Nelson <brittan@blm.gov> wrote:
Hi Chad, thanks for the update. We appreciate your efforts regarding this and much going on. Please let me know if anything changes on timelines so I can let Sally know as well.

We look forward to working with you to close this out. Thanks! Britta

Britta Nelson
Program Analyst
National Conservation Lands (NCL) Unit
Bureau of Land Management
202.219.2529

[Redacted]

Thanks for your patience and look forward to hearing your effort's thoughts once we provide these responses.

Chad

Comments: Britta Nelson
Chad and I met in-person & sat down with NMSL, WDCD on Oct 7 to discuss lands with wilderness characteristics issues. Thanks again for meeting to discuss the Carlsbad briefing. As follow up, Chad will work with Sally and Melissa on updating the briefing information related to lands with wilderness characteristics. Information related to WDR suitability will also be included either verbally or in the powerpoint presentation. New Mexico to provide information in January for WDCD review. Checked with NM on 10-20-21 re status.

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

DTS #	Name	Date Rec'd by WO410
	Donation of Private Lands to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for Addition to the Sabinoso Wilderness Area	
BLM0009617	Response to SO 3342 on Tribal Partnerships & Cooperative Management	12/29/2016
BLM0009637	Proposed San Joaquin Wild and Scenic River Designation	12/20/2016
BLM0006244		4/14/2016
BLMR001342	Call for Nominations for GSENMAC	11/22/2016
	Awaiting signatures from other agencies - NATIONAL TRAILS SYSTEM MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING	
BLMR006696		11/28/2016
BLM0008813	WSR 50th Anniversary MOU	11/29/2016
BLM0009609	Write-in campaign: oppose potential Bears Ears Monument	12/15/2016
BLM0009630	Supports expansion of Cascade- Siskiyou National Monument	12/20/2016
	Donation of Private Lands to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for Addition to the Sabinoso Wilderness Area	
BLM0009617		12/29/2016
BLM0009667	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009669	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009670	Bears Ears and Gold Butte	12/30/2016
BLM0009671	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009672	California Costal National Monument	12/30/2016
BLM0009674	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009679	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009682	Bears Ears	12/30/2016
BLM0009683	Gold Butte National Monument proposal	12/30/2016
	FY 2017 National Monument & National Conservation Area Program Manager's Reports IM	
BLM0009709		1/5/2017
BLM0009814	2017 Interagency National Wilderness Leadership Training	1/26/2017
BLM0009796	Bears Ears National Monument	1/25/2017
BLM0009783	Bears Ears - thank you	1/23/2017



**NATIONAL
CONSERVATION
LANDS**

**March 2017
Monthly Hot Topic Snapshot
WO-410 RMP and EIS Review
Updated 02/27/17**

Chris McAlear
Acting Assistant Director (WO-400)
Nik W. McLean
Acting Assistant Director (WO-400)

RMPS AND PROJECTS WITH ISSUES TO ELEVATE/DIRECTORS PRIORITIES

- **DTS# 1449. NOA of FEIS and Notice of Decision for Proposed Land Exchange between the BLM and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians.** California.
 - **No issues. Surname recommended to WO410 on 02-23-17.**
 - BLM to exchange four parcels of public land totaling 2,560 acres for three parcels of tribal land totaling 1,471.24 acres. All lands are within the Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument.
 - Exchange consolidates lands, enhances public recreation opportunities, and facilitates more efficient land management.
- **DTS# 1401. NOA of the Boardman to Hemingway ROD.** Oregon.
 - **NOA has not reached WO400.** NOA not routed at Division level.
 - WO410 provided updated language for NSHT for the ROD to the Project Manager and WO210 (Jan 12, 2017).
- **Bering Sea-Western Interior preliminary range of alternatives.** Alaska.
 - WO410 submitted comments to WO210 for the wilderness, WSR, and NSHT programs (Nov. 2016).
 - **Update: 1) follow-up meeting scheduled with Alaska for March 1 for lands with wilderness characteristics. 2) a meeting is scheduled with Alaska for February 27 to discuss the Iditarod NHT and what interests and options there are, if any, as to BLM managing or regulating a ROW reservation for the Iditarod for the five townships/two Tentative Approvals that currently do not include a reservation.**
 - **Issues:**
 - Wilderness Program. **Update: Elevation paper submitted to AD for discussion w/SD.** An alternative protecting all or most of the lands with wilderness characteristics is not included in the range of alternatives. The "maximum protection" alternative only protects 2.5% of the planning area (which, minus a few tiny parcels, all contains wilderness characteristics).
 - Wild and Scenic Rivers. **Update: Alaska Recreation Lead, Tom Bickauskas, will follow up with Cathi Bailey.**
 - 1) Did not use the required range of alternatives for suitable WSRs (per manual 6400).
 - 2) All of the action alternatives have the 22 eligible rivers as not suitable. Yet Goal #1 is to effectively manage the ORVs identified during the eligibility inventory.
 - 3) Mitigation standard allows net loss to their designated Wild and Scenic River (Unalakleet).
 - National Trails. **Update: Iditarod NHT Manager (Kevin Keeler) and State NLCS Lead (Tom Bickauskas) spoke with Deb Salt on 02/22/17 and hope to complete the comment response by 02/24/17.**
 - 1) Iditarod NHT is not addressed in coal leasing decisions. Per 43 CFR 3400.2, coal leases shall not be issued on Federal Lands within the National System of Trails.
 - 2) Proposed disposal via state selection of the Rohn site, a site significant under the NTSA and NHPA.
- **Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument administrative DRMP-A/DEIS WO Review.** Utah.
 - WO review of DRMP-A/DEIS from **January 30 to February 17. Comment period extended for WO410.**
 - Planning area a National Monument that contains wilderness characteristics, NSHT, WSR, wilderness, and WSA.

- **Issues:** 1) More discussion is needed in plan alternatives on WSA non-impairment and grandfathered use requirements (40% of decision area is within WSAs). 2) Discussion of WSAs and designated Wilderness in the analysis should be separated (currently combined). 3) Discussion and analysis of impacts to Old Spanish NHT is needed.
- **Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks National Monument RMP Prep Plan.** New Mexico.
 - Prep plan review from February 13 through 24.
- **Browns Canyon National Monument RMP Prep Plan.** Colorado.
 - Prep plan review from February 13 through 24.
- **Appalachian Basin RMP Prep Plan.** Eastern States.
 - Prep plan review from February 27 through March 10.
- **Upper Snake, Challis, Salmon RMP Prep Plan.** Idaho.
 - Prep plan review from February 27 through March 10.
- **Verde Transmission ADEIS.** New Mexico.
 - **Issues:** Potential impacts to WSR and National Trails.
 - Proposed route crosses Rio Grande River. Eligible and suitable WSR streams in area including additional segments of the Rio Grande WSR.
 - Project would cross the Camino Real de Tierra Adentro NHT and Old Spanish NHT.
 - **Next milestone is review of ADEIS (TBD). Any review is to be coordinated through New Mexico as amendment is not on WO210 priority list for WO review.**
 - **Update:** Scoping Report is being prepared. Scoping indicates opposition to project.
- **Mancos Shale RMP-A NOI, Farmington, New Mexico.**
 - **Issues: Unknown** but WO410 is tracking because the RMP-A proposes to amend four decision types including lands with wilderness characteristics and will analyze potential impacts from oil and gas decisions to the Old Spanish NHT (RMP decisions for the NHT will not be made).
 - **As the RMP-A/EIS moves forward (alts development, impact analysis, etc.) WO410 will participate to ensure that the National Conservation Lands units are adequately considered.**
 - **Update:** draft alternatives will be completed this summer. Public release of the DRMP/DEIS is not anticipated until calendar year 2018. NMSO will coordinate with WO210 on WO review.

UPCOMING BRIEFINGS (NEXT 30 DAYS)

- **Lewistown DRMP/DEIS.** Montana.
 - **AD brief anticipated by mid-March.**
 - **No issues.** 202,730 acres contain wilderness characteristics. **100,410 acres (49%) would be managed to protect wilderness characteristics.** 30,000 acres would be managed to reduce impacts to wilderness characteristics (13,000 acres of ACECs and approximately 15,000-20,000 acres where actions are in place to protect GRSG). 70,000 acres would not be protected with underlying prescriptions including open to mineral material sales, non-energy leasables, cross-country mechanized travel, fluid minerals with minor constraints (CSU), and

livestock; limited to designated routes yearlong; 400 acres of ERMA prescription (potentially); and mostly VRM III.

- **Carlsbad Field Office DRMP/DEIS.** New Mexico.

- **Director's Brief was held on 09-27-16. Met with NMSO and WO210 to follow-up.**
- **Follow up:** New Mexico is updating the briefing information related to lands with wilderness characteristics, including specifying acreage that the office will be protecting, maintaining, and not protecting; providing more detailed rationale for these decisions; clarifying that the entire FO was inventoried; and updating the maps to make acreage protected/maintained/not protected more clear. Information related to WSR suitability will also be included either verbally or in the PowerPoint presentation.
- **Update: 02-03-17. Updated rationale provided by Carlsbad for WSR, under review.**
- AD Brief held 08-18-16. Pre-brief held 08-09-16.

- **Rio Puerco PRMP/FEIS.** New Mexico.

- **No outstanding 410 issues.** Rationale provided for lands with wilderness characteristics decisions (77% protected, 19% impacts minimized, 4% (1,663 acres) not protected).
 - The 1,663 acres is separated from other wilderness characteristics acres by natural geological barriers and has a separate access route. Area had interest from both the Wilderness Society and National Four Wheel Drive Association. New Mexico met with both groups and reached what everyone agreed was an equitable management approach. New Mexico received a "3 cape" award from the Wilderness Society for their efforts.

■■■■
■■■■

=====