

From: Bowman, Randal
To: [Cash, Marcia](#)
Subject: Re: question
Date: Thursday, June 08, 2017 1:28:37 PM
Attachments: [Codable Unit Annotations.docx](#)
[image.png](#)

It is attached. I think the Tansey annotation was a mistake, but I could access some of Cindy's

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 10:14 AM, Cash, Marcia <marcia_cash@fws.gov> wrote:
Can you take a screenshot and email it to me?

Marcia Cash
eERDMS - eRecords - BPHC Representative
eRulemaking / FDMS Administrator

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Division of Policy, Performance, and Management Programs (PPM)
(Formerly Division of Policy and Directives Management - PDM)
5275 Leesburg Pike, MS: BPHC
Falls Church, VA 22041-3808
Telephone: **703-358-2013**
Fax: 703-358-1997

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 10:12 AM, Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

I can see the annotations this way, and in some cases can go to the individual annotated comment; in the others seems the coder didn't do it right. Net result - 42 new supportive comments from 2 comments that say "this includes 20 (and 22) postcards, but most of the text is garbled.

On Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 9:23 AM, Cash, Marcia <marcia_cash@fws.gov> wrote:
I still have permission issues to be able to see all your data - am working on that.

You should be able to see the annotations by clicking on a dataset, and use the "Dataset Annotation Report" option. Let me know if this works for you.

Inline image 1



Marcia Cash
eERDMS - eRecords - BPHC Representative
eRulemaking / FDMS Administrator

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Division of Policy, Performance, and Management Programs (PPM)
(Formerly Division of Policy and Directives Management - PDM)
5275 Leesburg Pike, MS: BPHC
Falls Church, VA 22041-3808

Telephone: **703-358-2013**
Fax: 703-358-1997

On Wed, Jun 7, 2017 at 4:06 PM, Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov>
wrote:

Do you know how I review annotations? Despite my request that the coders count multiple comments on one entry and send me an email, most reported using annotations to record that. However, I don't see any obvious way to review those.

Codable Unit Annotations

User	Timestamp	Annotation	Trigger Text	Code(s)
Thomas Tansey	06/02/2017 7:30 AM		I strongly oppose rescinding the Bears Ears National Monument on the grounds that proper management of the cultural, anthropological and archaeological resources within its area cannot be achieved without significant Federal assistance. Utah, like many states, has struggled to fund its own paleontology program. The state's Bureau of Land Management office currently has just one paleontologist and two law enforcement officers. Theft of Native American artifacts and destruction of archaeological sites has been widespread in the areas covered by the monument and has been increasing in recent years. Local and state governments have failed for decades to address this problem. The national monument designation comes with a mandate for more funding for law enforcement, which means more eyes on the ground to keep fossil thieves at bay and more money for education.	
Cindy Osorto	06/02/2017 9:17 AM		Comment contains multiple comments.	Multiple comments
Cindy Osorto	06/02/2017 9:57 AM			Multiple comments
Cindy Osorto	06/02/2017 10:13 AM			Multiple Multiple comments
Cindy Osorto	06/02/2017 10:16 AM	Commenter suggests stronger protection against vandalism		Good point
Cindy Osorto	06/02/2017 10:27 AM	Commenter suggests to consider the petition comments made to Obama urging for designation.		Needs review
Cindy Osorto	06/02/2017 10:30 AM	Contains 22 postcards in one.		Multiple comments
Cindy Osorto	06/02/2017 10:30			

AM