



Inline image 1

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 11:20 AM, Bowman, Randal <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

How do we get the numbers of individual comments in the exact duplicate seed units?

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:53 AM, Shulman, Stu <[stu@texifter.com](mailto:stu@texifter.com)> wrote:

I assume the totals are 100% opposed and no new information for all the datasets you bulk coded. If that is the case, you just need to add up the totals of the datasets you coded off the list view.

If that is not the case, you need to go into the Dataset Details and select Coding Report and then expand the results to get what I copied on the 5000 item set.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:49 AM, Bowman, Randal <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

Thanks, but I need the totals for all of the Bears Ears comments - how many were opposed and how many supported. And you should have access to the account.

Stu, can you tell me how I find this myself - I must be overlooking something.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:46 AM, Cash, Marcia <[marcia\\_cash@fws.gov](mailto:marcia_cash@fws.gov)> wrote:  
Got this from Stu:

Those numbers were for Dataset Name: uncoded singles 5462

Marcia Cash  
eERDMS - eRecords - BPHC Representative  
eRulemaking / FDMS Administrator

**U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service**  
*Division of Policy, Performance, and Management Programs (PPM)*  
*(Formerly Division of Policy and Directives Management - PDM)*  
5275 Leesburg Pike, MS: BPHC  
Falls Church, VA 22041-3808  
Telephone: **703-358-2013**  
Fax: 703-358-1997

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Shulman, Stu** <[stu@texifter.com](mailto:stu@texifter.com)>  
Date: Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:31 AM  
Subject: Re: Number of comments disparity - Regs.gov lower than Bears Ears DiscoverText  
To: "Cash, Marcia" <[marcia\\_cash@fws.gov](mailto:marcia_cash@fws.gov)>

Code	Count	Pct.
No New Information	5390	49.60 %
Opposes Review	4523	41.63 %
Supports Review	892	8.21 %
Uncodable	48	0.44 %
New Information	13	0.12 %

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:26 AM, Cash, Marcia <[marcia\\_cash@fws.gov](mailto:marcia_cash@fws.gov)> wrote:

Randy just asked me this:

How do I show the totals for Bears Ears coded comments - for and against the review? Last week I kept seeing circular bar codes with partial numbers, but now can't find or generate them.

Since I don't have access to their account, I can't help.

Can you give me some instructions to help him find this?

**Marcia Cash**  
eERDMS - eRecords - BPHC Representative  
eRulemaking / FDMS Administrator

**U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service**  
*Division of Policy, Performance, and Management Programs (PPM)*  
*(Formerly Division of Policy and Directives Management - PDM)*  
5275 Leesburg Pike, MS: BPHC  
Falls Church, VA 22041-3808  
Telephone: **703-358-2013**  
Fax: 703-358-1997

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:22 AM, Shulman, Stu <[stu@texifter.com](mailto:stu@texifter.com)> wrote:  
yes

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:08 AM, Cash, Marcia <[marcia\\_cash@fws.gov](mailto:marcia_cash@fws.gov)> wrote:

Stu - can you please filter those comments for Randy. I think it would give him peace of mind.

**Marcia Cash**  
eERDMS - eRecords - BPHC Representative  
eRulemaking / FDMS Administrator

**U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service**  
*Division of Policy, Performance, and Management Programs (PPM)*  
*(Formerly Division of Policy and Directives Management - PDM)*

5275 Leesburg Pike, MS: BPHC  
Falls Church, VA 22041-3808

Telephone: **703-358-2013**

Fax: 703-358-1997

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:03 AM, Stu Shulman <[stu@texifter.com](mailto:stu@texifter.com)> wrote:

We can do that anytime using filters Randy. Creating a new bucket of uncoded items is something you already know how to do.

You can separate sets of comments 100 different ways. The key is to use advanced filters to get the set you want.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 3:57 PM Bowman, Randal  
<[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

Much of this probably comes from my unfamiliarity with the system. but what I would like - and what I presumed would have happened already - is for the coded Bears Ears comments to be segregated in some fashion from all of the other current and future comments, so neither I nor anyone else at DOI can inadvertently search or sort any of them into any of the future work on the other monuments.

If that needs to wait until after we run the Secretary's new project, that's fine. The new search is a one-time effort, to find which of the monuments under review have the fewest or no comments to date. After that, there will be a pause for several days while we finalize the Bears Ears recommendations, consider lessons learned etc etc., during which time the coded Bears Ears comments could be set aside as per above.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:31 AM, Shulman, Stu <[stu@texifter.com](mailto:stu@texifter.com)> wrote:

Randy,

I'm not sure what you mean by "general" pile. We have the ability to deduplicate and filter out coded items across all piles. We create a Bear's Ears project and I assumed we would have a new project for all the rest.

However, given the timeline you indicated, the shortest possible time length to get fully updated is to keep loading documents into the original project and then use the deduplication, search, and filtering features to update the Secretary.

Stu

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:21 AM, Bowman, Randal  
<[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

That should then be 176-177,000 not 172. However, putting that aside, why are the Bears Ears comments still in the general pile? We don't want to count them twice, as many mention more than just Bears Ears.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:08 AM, Shulman, Stu  
<[stu@texifter.com](mailto:stu@texifter.com)> wrote:

Randy,

The 59,559 Bears Ears are pulled out of the 117,000. That means 59,559 documents are in the project twice.

Stu

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:01 AM, Bowman, Randal  
<[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

While 117,000 and 18,000 = 135,000, the number in regs.gov, I don't see where 172,000 comes from - that is 37,000 comments above what it should be as far as I can tell

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 8:58 AM, Shulman, Stu  
<[stu@texifter.com](mailto:stu@texifter.com)> wrote:

Those numbers make sense Randall. I think you have to think through the steps that got us to this point. When we loaded the comments last time, there were 117,000 and since then another 18,000 have come in.

59000 of the 117,000 had the phrase Bears Ears after deduplication.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 8:54 AM, Bowman, Randal  
<[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

The Bears Ears folder shows 172,+++ files, but there are only 135,+++ comments displayed on the docket at [regs.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). My initial thought was that Bears Ears coded comments were being duplicated, but the difference is 37,000+, which does not match any the Bears Ears coded numbers.

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman  
Founder and CEO, Texifter  
Cell: 413-992-8513  
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman  
Founder and CEO, Texifter  
Cell: 413-992-8513  
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman  
Founder and CEO, Texifter  
Cell: 413-992-8513  
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman  
Founder and CEO, Texifter  
Cell: 413-992-8513  
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman  
Founder and CEO, Texifter  
Cell: 413-992-8513  
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman  
Founder and CEO, Texifter  
Cell: 413-992-8513  
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman  
Founder and CEO, Texifter  
Cell: 413-992-8513  
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman  
Founder and CEO, Texifter  
Cell: 413-992-8513  
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman  
Founder and CEO, Texifter  
Cell: 413-992-8513  
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>



Exact Duplicates

Near Duplicate Clusters

422 total groups and 33803 single items



Items per page:

20



Page: [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [8](#) [9](#) [10](#) [11](#) >

<input type="checkbox"/>	Group Id	Items	Snippet
<input type="checkbox"/>	<a href="#">Group 1</a>	15701	As a supporter of bird conservation and our public lands, I strongly urge you to protect all national monument
<input type="checkbox"/>	<a href="#">Group 2</a>	1466	Bears Ears National Monument is ecologically rich and culturally valuable. Rescinding or changing it hurts one
<input type="checkbox"/>	<a href="#">Group 3</a>	1120	Bears Ears National Monument should have been protected 50 years ago and deserves to be protected for all f
<input type="checkbox"/>	<a href="#">Group 4</a>	987	Bears Ears National Monument was created through a process of public input and diverse interests coming toge
<input type="checkbox"/>	<a href="#">Group 5</a>	869	Bears Ears National Monument is ecologically rich and culturally valuable. Rescinding or changing it only hurts
<input type="checkbox"/>	<a href="#">Group 6</a>	867	Protected public lands are part of our national heritage. An attack on Bears Ears, or any of our national monu
<input type="checkbox"/>	<a href="#">Group 7</a>	605	Our national monuments and public lands and waters help define who we are as a nation by telling the story o
<input type="checkbox"/>	<a href="#">Group 8</a>	502	Bears Ears National Monument is ecologically rich and culturally valuable. Rescinding or changing it hurts one