

From: Appel, Elizabeth
To: [Bowman, Randal](#)
Cc: [Anthony Rodman](#)
Subject: Re: Monument Review listening session
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 2:35:45 PM
Attachments: [Portland 05-25-2017.pdf](#)

Hi Randy-

We don't have any additional sessions planned. We received a transcript from the Portland session (attached) - this is the only summary. I'll forward the other transcripts as we receive them.

Thanks!
Liz

On Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:18 AM, Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

I am drafting a report on how the Department is conducting the review - do you yet have any summaries of the listening sessions held to date, and are you planning any additional ones following the initial 4?

--

Elizabeth K. Appel
Office of Regulatory Affairs & Collaborative Action
Office of the Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs
(202) 273-4680 - office
(202) 738-6065 - cell



COURT REPORTING

LEGAL VIDEOGRAPHY

VIDEOCONFERENCING

TRIAL PRESENTATION

MOCK JURY SERVICES

LEGAL TRANSCRIPTION

COPYING AND SCANNING

LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS



DEPOSITION AND TRIAL



(800) 528-3335

NAEGELIUSA.COM

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY
OFFICE OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

AFFILIATED TRIBES of NORTHWEST INDIANS

MID-YEAR CONVENTION 2107

TRIBAL LISTENING SESSION

THURSDAY, MAY 25, 2017

3:15 P.M.

DOUBLE TREE HOTEL

1000 NORTHEAST MULTNOMAH BOULEVARD

PORTLAND, OREGON 97232

TRIBAL LISTENING SESSION**REVIEW OF DESIGNATIONS UNDER THE ANTIQUITIES ACT****MAY 25, 2017****3:15 P.M.**

MR. RODMAN: We're going to go ahead and get started with the second listening session. This listening session is regarding Executive Order 13792, which was issued on April 26, 2017. And the title is Review of Designations under the Antiquities Act.

I'm Morgan Rodman. I'm with the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs in Osage. And we have some colleagues on the panel as well, but before we get too far, I would like to ask Councilperson Valerie Switzler from Warm Springs, would you mind providing an opening for us, please

MS. SWITZLER: (Speaking Native American.)

Thank you Heavenly Father for bringing us here today. I ask that you pour down your blessing upon each and everyone. When we put you first, Lord, we will not get lost. For you, Lord, I offer this one song.

(Singing.)

1 Thank you, Lord, in your name we pray, Amen.

2 **MR. RODMAN:** Thank you so much,
3 Councilperson.

4 Why don't we go ahead and start with the
5 introductions.

6 **MS. FORTMANN:** Hi, I'm Tracy Fortmann,
7 representing the National Parks Service. And I'm
8 the Superintendent, Fort Vancouver National Historic
9 Site. I have the privilege and honor of working
10 government-to-government with 26 federally-
11 recognized tribes tied to my site, and I think this
12 is a wonderful opportunity to hear from you in this
13 listening session.

14 **MS. BLANCHARD:** Good afternoon, I'm Becky
15 Blanchard here representing the U.S. Forest Service.
16 My job is the wilderness, wild and scenic rivers and
17 congressionally-designated program -- areas Program
18 Manager for Region 6, which is Oregon and
19 Washington. I'm honored to be here with you and to
20 hear you and to carry back to the agency your input.

21 **MS. WEIL:** My name is Jody Weil. I'm the
22 Acting State Director for the Bureau of Land
23 Management, and I'm looking forward to hearing your
24 comments and concerns about all the monuments that
25 we manage across the country.

1 **MR. LOUDERMILK:** Good afternoon,
2 everybody. Some of you were in our earlier session.
3 My name is Bruce Loudermilk. I'm the Director for
4 the Bureau of Indian Affairs. I'm an enrolled
5 member of the Fort Peck Sioux Tribe, Northeastern
6 Montana.

7 **MR. AIKIN:** (Speaks Native American) My
8 name is Scott Aikin. I'm the National Native
9 American Programs Coordinator of the U.S. Fish and
10 Wildlife Service, and here to answer questions
11 regarding the alliance we have with the services
12 jurisdiction.

13 **MR. RODMAN:** Thank you, Scott.

14 So, again, this is the listening session
15 for Executive Order 13792, Review Designations under
16 the Antiquities Act. And for those that were in the
17 preceding listening session, I'm going to go into
18 kind of the logistics of how the listening session
19 will work so it may be a repeat for you, but I'll be
20 quick.

21 So we do have the Executive Order to
22 discuss today. You should have a copy of that with
23 your packet and also a handout to go with that. If
24 you don't, please let us know and we'll get that to
25 you. Regina will help with that. And we're all

1 senior-level career employees on the panel today,
2 and many of us have worked along side you for many
3 years, and we're here to listen to you and work
4 with you on your comments, your recommendations or
5 your concerns that you may have about the Executive
6 Order. For the implementation, we need your
7 guidance, your leadership and your wisdom.

8 The Executive Order, again, is 13792.
9 Today's listening session is designed primarily for
10 tribal leader input and for comments as part of the
11 nation-to-nation relationship between tribes and the
12 federal government. We're here primarily to listen
13 to your views and make sure they're considered as
14 part of the record for the Administration as it
15 moves forward. Today is not the only listening
16 session. There will be a total of four on the
17 National Monument listening sessions, and those are
18 in the packet as well.

19 Today's listening session will be recorded
20 and transcribed, and the transcriptions will be made
21 available at www.bia.gov. The transcripts and all
22 written comments that are submitted will be analyzed
23 and recommendations will be made to the Assistant
24 Secretary for Indian Affairs and to the Secretary of
25 the Interior. And the Secretary will then make

1 reports and recommendations to the White House.

2 So for the Executive Order for today's
3 listening session, it's titled Comprehensive Plan --

4 excuse me, I have the wrong one here -- Review

5 Designations under the Antiquities Act. And this

6 Executive Order was issued by President Trump

7 on April 26, 2017. And it requires that the

8 Secretary of the Interior review national monuments

9 that have been designated or expanded since January

10 1, 1996, where the designation covers more than

11 100,000 acres or where the Secretary determines that

12 the designation or expansion was made without an

13 adequate public outreach and coordination with

14 relevant stakeholders. 27 monuments have been

15 identified by DOI for review and they are listed in

16 the handouts provided. Three of those monuments are

17 in the Northwest area: The Cascade Siskiyou

18 National Monument in Oregon, the Hanford Reach

19 National Monument in Washington, and Craters of the

20 Moon National Monument in Idaho.

21 The Secretary must use several factors in

22 evaluating the national monuments to determine

23 whether each designation or expansion conforms to

24 the policy of the Executive Order. And the policy

25 is described in Section 1 of the Executive Order.

1 And the factors that the Secretary is to use are
2 also in there -- in the Executive Order and the
3 Federal Register notice.

4 There's some key deadlines that I'd like
5 to underscore. The Secretary must make
6 recommendations to the President on any Presidential
7 actions, legislative proposals or other appropriate
8 actions necessary to carry out the policy of the
9 Executive Order. The Secretary's interim report to
10 the President is due by June 10, and must make
11 recommendations on Bears Ears and other designations
12 the Secretary deems appropriate. The Secretary's
13 final report is due to the President by August 24,
14 2107, and that will summarize the findings of the
15 review and provide recommendations.

16 Written comments are also being accepted
17 for the national monument discussion. The deadline
18 for the Bears Ears comments is May 26 and for all
19 other monuments until July 10. Tribal leaders can
20 send their written comments to consultation@bia.gov
21 and tribal leaders and the general public can also
22 submit online at www.regulations.gov. And there's
23 some guidance, I think, in the handouts that have
24 been provided, too.

25 So some of the questions to help with the

1 conversation today, but not limited to these
2 question, are there any other monuments in addition
3 to the 27 being reviewed that should also be
4 reviewed because they were designated after January
5 1, 1996, without adequate public outreach and
6 coordination with the relevant stakeholders? And
7 what are your comments or views on the application
8 of the seven factors listed in the Executive Order
9 that the Secretary is to use in reviewing the 27
10 monument designations? Are there other factors that
11 should be considered?

12 So we'll have -- we'll open it up now for
13 comments and Annette will help us with microphone in
14 the back as well, so, please. And please state your
15 name and tribal affiliation when providing comments,
16 please.

17 **MR. LOUDERMILK:** This going to be a short
18 meeting.

19 **MR. SALUSKIN:** I guess I'll start out.
20 Thank you again. My name is Delano Saluskin. I'm
21 the -- I'm the Vice-Chairman for the Yakama Nation.
22 And first of all, I have a question in my mind. Why
23 is the President trying to undesignate these
24 landmarks? To the Native people all of these -- all
25 lands are sacred to us and this is just a small step

1 in helping preserve the sacredness of the lands.
2 And I don't understand what his objective is. He
3 wants to turn it over to corporations again for
4 economic development. That doesn't make sense to
5 me. I mean, we've got -- we've got to preserve
6 something for our future generations yet unborn so
7 that they have and they can understand the
8 importance of these designations.

9 Again, it's my understanding that -- I
10 don't believe the President has the authority to
11 undesignate these -- these lands, these monuments.
12 I don't think the law affords him that opportunity.
13 He can -- you know, they can be designated, but I
14 truly question whether he has that authority to do
15 away with those designations. And it just seems as
16 though that if he's going to make any issues, it
17 should be for those lands that might be considered
18 for -- those designations into the future. These
19 should be grandfathered in. They should not -- he
20 should not be messing with these lands. So we want
21 to make sure that these designations are intact and
22 we just question whether -- you know, why is he
23 trying to do this. I mean, it just seems to me that,
24 you know, our government has -- you know, as I heard
25 the other day, has been hijacked by corporations and

1 this is just another step in that direction. So
2 those are my first general comments. I may have
3 others later. Thank you.

4 **MR. RODMAN:** One thing to maybe help
5 prompt some of the thoughts out there, in the
6 Federal Register notice, there are the seven factors
7 that Secretary is supposed to consider when making
8 the determinations about the national monuments.

9 The first is the requirements and original
10 objectives of the Act, including the Act's
11 requirement -- the Antiquities Act -- including the
12 Act's requirement that reservations of land not
13 exceed "The smallest area compatible with the proper
14 care and management of the objects to be protected."

15 The second consideration is whether
16 designated lands are appropriately classified under
17 the Act as, "Historic landmarks, historic and
18 prehistoric structures or other objects of historic
19 or scientific interest."

20 The third factor is the effects of the
21 designation on the available uses of designated
22 Federal lands, including consideration of the
23 multiple-use policy of Section 102.A7 of the Federal
24 Land Policy and Management Act, as well as the
25 effects on the available use of Federal lands beyond

1 the monument boundaries.

2 The fourth is the effects of a designation
3 on the use and enjoyment of non-Federal lands within
4 or beyond monument boundaries.

5 The fifth is concerns of state, tribal and
6 local governments affected by a designation,
7 including the economic development and fiscal
8 condition of affected states, tribes and localities.

9 The sixth is the availability of Federal
10 resources to properly manage designated areas, and
11 the seventh is such other factors as the Secretary
12 deems appropriate. So that's what will be used in
13 consideration.

14 **MS. SWITZLER:** Well, I concur with my
15 elder's view on, you know, why are we looking at
16 these lands. And I look at the one that's affected
17 in Oregon, the Cascade Siskiyou, and how -- you
18 know, how many acres that there is set aside to
19 protect these natural monuments, these lands where
20 our people had once dwelled for thousands and
21 thousands of years. And, you know, at one point
22 every place had a name and every -- every formation
23 had a story that was linked to it. And so, you
24 know, although the -- some of the stories have now
25 faded away with some of the elders that are gone,

1 but there are still plenty of places where our
2 people still consider these places sacred and that
3 they can -- that they venture to, that they go to,
4 that they travel to to get in touch not only with
5 their own -- their own being, but to get in touch
6 with their creator as well.

7 And so I'm -- you know, it worries me when
8 there's just a across-the-board "we're going to look
9 at all of these places." And it worries me when
10 there is -- there isn't consideration for what these
11 things mean to our people.

12 **MR. KUTZ:** Again, for the record, my name
13 is Steven Kutz, Tribal Council Member for the
14 Cowlitz Indian Tribe in Washington State. First,
15 before I add my comments regarding the monuments
16 themselves, I would like to answer some of the
17 questions about the factors of consideration.

18 Number one, I don't like the designation
19 or the wording in there "such other factors as the
20 Secretary deems appropriate." I think that is an
21 open-ended loop that you could walk anything through
22 under -- under anybody's circumstances. So I think
23 that that means, then, that anything is possible
24 when you have that language in there.

25 Secondly, whether designated lands are

1 appropriately classified under the Act as historic
2 landmarks, historic and pre -- historic structures
3 or other objects of historic or scientific interest.
4 Who makes that determination? Do we as a tribe have
5 the ability to -- to make that determination and not
6 be overruled?

7 So we look at the constant pressure, for
8 example, that's going on up and down the Columbia
9 River. Hanford Reach is up a little bit further up
10 the Columbia River, but look at what's going on in
11 the Gorge and the -- and there's -- there's a
12 designation there in the Gorge and people are trying
13 to overwhelm that and overcome that so they can
14 encroach on that and do things that they want to do
15 from a commercial nature. And all of that is
16 detrimental to the landscape, to all of our
17 important places and to the fish and wildlife that
18 use that that are so important to us. And so whose
19 opinion? So if we -- if federal government says we
20 don't see anything and we say we do, then are we
21 going to be overridden in that?

22 Secondly, I want to go to the first one;
23 smallest area compatible with the proper care and
24 management of the objects to be protected. Mount
25 St. Helens is in one of those categories. The whole

1 perimeter of Mount St. Helens is under constant,
2 constant pressure and we're fighting that constant
3 pressure. We have Canadian interest coming down
4 wanting to open up copper mines around the perimeter
5 just outside the boundaries of that because it's not
6 within the boundaries. So in my estimation, the
7 boundary was constructed too small and so -- and so
8 what happens when you do that? Look at Butte,
9 Montana, where you have the biggest Superfund site
10 in the nation probably. Although, I don't know how
11 they could consider that worse than Hanford, where
12 you have all of the waters coming out of that open
13 mine, copper pit going into the headwaters of the
14 Clark fork, coming down into the Columbia River
15 bringing all that contamination down through there.
16 And yet, here, the Canadians want to start another
17 one right -- further down along Mount St. Helens.

18 And so -- so this -- so the thing here
19 about the smallest area compatible with the proper
20 care and management of the objects to be protected,
21 who makes that determination? Is it going to happen
22 under such other factors as the Secretary deems
23 appropriate? Should a tribal government who wants
24 to pollute the waters, pollute the air and bring all
25 of these -- these industrial activities along areas

1 that are so important, should they be allowed to
2 overcome our wishes?

3 We had some conversations today -- up and
4 down the Columbia River here there's -- there's huge
5 pressure in five or six areas and the Mayor of
6 Portland this morning, if you were in the room and
7 heard his words, what did he call it? Colonial
8 exportation or exploitation or something like that.
9 That's what it is. So -- so the availability of
10 Federal resources to properly manage a designated
11 areas, some of these areas just need to be left
12 alone or minorly managed and not -- and not taken
13 advantage of.

14 So having -- having properties in the
15 inventory of the federal government, I don't think
16 turning them over to the state is -- is sometimes
17 appropriate because -- because they can undo some of
18 the stuff that's so important.

19 So looking down through that, those are
20 just some of the comments that I have around the
21 rules because if they're allowed to go through the
22 way they're written, anything could happen.

23 The other -- the other concern that I have
24 now going back to the list is I look through that
25 list and I haven't been to all of those places, but

1 I've been to some of them. And when I -- when I go
2 to different tribes and I ask them where are your
3 important -- you know, you come there and you want
4 to go and you want to pray, and you want to go to --
5 to a place that has significance. And we, as the
6 Cowlitz Tribe, and all of the tribes here, we have
7 many of those areas that are out in the public
8 sector. They're not even -- they're not even under
9 government control. They're under -- they're under
10 the public sector control, and yet there are places
11 sacred to us. We have places that have been sacred
12 to us that have just been razed to the ground and
13 demolished historically because we've been ignored.

14 So as I -- as I look at this list, I think
15 that they ought to be left the way they are. I
16 think some of them are probably too small. I think
17 probably some of them are too small because the
18 pressure is up around the edges of those. And the
19 pressure isn't -- is to commercialize and utilize
20 all of that ground and a lot of these places are in
21 -- are in places that are irreplaceable. That's why
22 -- that's why they were placed on this list because
23 once they're gone or once they're damaged, you can
24 never repair them and we've had too much of that.

25 Lastly, I want to talk about Hanford Reach

1 because that's right there next to Hanford and we
2 all know that. And the President just removed how
3 many millions of dollars out of -- out of the
4 cleanup there where they just had these spills and
5 continued contamination. And they're -- they're
6 already years behind in the project of cleaning that
7 up. So he has a responsibility -- and the Hanford
8 Reach is one of the few areas in the upper river
9 where the fish can go and spawn and be taken care
10 of. And, I mean, I'll defer over here to my Vice-
11 Chairman, but that's one of the few places where
12 they can go and act in a natural state for a long
13 period of time along the river and not be affected
14 by all of the dams and the things that have gone on.
15 And so it's an important place for all of us. So to
16 some people it's just a place; to us it has effects
17 on the larger landscape and I think all of these
18 really do so thank you very much.

19 **MR. DOSSETT:** Well, I'll join in if nobody
20 else is ready to go yet. Hi, my name is John
21 Dossett. I'm with the National Congress of American
22 Indians. I guess I wanted to first say that the --
23 you know, the original purpose of the Antiquities
24 Act was to protect cultural resources for Native
25 people. That was a -- it was -- you know, when they

1 opened the southwest, it was Chaco Canyon and Mesa
2 Verde. Those were the areas that were under a great
3 deal of pressure. There was a lot of looting going
4 on and President Theodore Roosevelt and others in
5 the Administration at that time thought that -- that
6 that wasn't right. That they shouldn't just be
7 looting the antiquities and historic structures and
8 cultural resources of Native people in those areas.
9 So they came up with the Antiquities Act and gave
10 the President the authority to set aside these areas
11 and protect them out of the rest of the public
12 domain. And that was -- you know, that was the
13 original -- I mean, these days, I don't think many
14 people think about that, but that is the original
15 purpose of the statute was to protect cultural
16 resources of Native people. So I think it's
17 entirely appropriate that it's still continued to
18 used that way -- to be used that way.

19 I think today is the deadline for
20 submitting comments on Bears Ears. Is that right or
21 maybe it's tomorrow? But anyway, I thought I'd at
22 least say something about Bears Ears. NCAI has a
23 resolution very much in support of Bears Ears. We
24 are submitting comments. You'll be getting them.
25 And we'll also be submitting comments on the rest of

1 the review. But, you know, Bears Ears is an area
2 that's sacred to five of the tribes in those
3 regions. Those are five tribes -- I work at NCAI --
4 those tribes don't get along with each other very
5 well most of the time, but somehow those five tribes
6 have managed to get their act together and cooperate
7 entirely on protecting the Bears Ears area because
8 it's so important to all of them. And they've come
9 up with a management plan that is not only, you
10 know, to protect the area, but involves the tribes
11 co-managing the area with the federal government.
12 And that's a -- I think a really significant step
13 forward in the -- in the program for protecting
14 Native cultural resources is to involve the tribes
15 themselves in the process. And so it's a real step
16 forward and, you know, I hope you continue to
17 protect that.

18 In addition, I think one of the things
19 that's interesting about it, it's not just looking
20 at -- at, you know, artifacts and cultural
21 resources, but also the current use of the land for
22 ongoing cultural uses and ceremonies and activities
23 of the people in that area and protecting those
24 going forward, which is also a really important
25 purpose.

1 I wanted to -- you know, one of your
2 questions was about whether -- I guess moving away
3 from Bears Ears and moving towards the other things
4 in this area, like the Hanford Reach, like the
5 Siskiyou, one of the questions in this was whether
6 these fit the criteria in the Act. It seems to me
7 that all of these are historic landmarks. I mean,
8 that's pretty straightforward, right? The -- at
9 least what we've heard from other folks that tribes
10 have known these areas as historic landmarks for --
11 for millennia. So the very first criteria is it --
12 if it's a historic landmark, it fits the criteria of
13 the Act, and that's -- and that's a pretty easy one.

14 Lastly, I just, you know, wanted to
15 mention, you know, once again, the three in this
16 area, the Hanford Reach, the Cascade Siskiyou, and
17 the Craters of the Moon in Idaho, all of them -- you
18 know, we've started summaries of all of these areas
19 and the connection to the Native people and they all
20 have very strong histories. So those are -- I've
21 got some of that written down, I'll share that with
22 you, but I thought -- I mean, on behalf of NCAI,
23 we'd like to encourage maintaining those
24 designations into the future. Thanks very much.

25 **MR. KUTZ:** This is Steve Kutz with the

1 Cowlitz Tribe again. So we have high places, and we
2 have sacred places, and places where we go for
3 ceremonies, places where some of our families go,
4 not just the whole tribe sometimes. And we
5 shouldn't have to sit and put on a point -- pinpoint
6 a GPS point on a map and say that is the specific
7 place that we use for that purpose, because we don't
8 want to do that. We don't want people to know that
9 there's a place up in the mountains that's sacred to
10 us under the Weyerhaeuser family where there was --
11 where there was an ancient medicine wheel. And old
12 man Weyerhaeuser gave a promise and said he would
13 protect it. He didn't protect it, he bulldozed it
14 when he found out about it. He bulldozed it. And
15 so we don't -- we don't want to tell everybody why
16 and where and for some of these things are so
17 important to us.

18 The other thing that I want to note on
19 this document, it says initial list. It doesn't say
20 the list. It says initial list, which means there's
21 more to come. And so there are -- there are huge
22 areas that we have in this state, whether it's
23 national -- the national forest that you're
24 responsible for that could be harmed, there's the
25 berry fields that we have up in the mountains that

1 can be harmed. If there is any kind of restrictions
2 or opening up or things allowed to happen there by
3 the general public, they still -- they still go and
4 use those places with us but there's -- there's some
5 protected areas up there.

6 And there are some of things that are very
7 important to us around the National Park systems and
8 things like that are under constant pressure for --
9 from people who have come here lately and want to
10 now re-utilize and re-purpose some of these areas,
11 and diminish our access to those, and diminish the
12 importance of those to us. And so I'm worried about
13 that initial list and so I don't know where that
14 100,000 -- that 100,000 acre factor was put in. I
15 don't really know other than you start with the
16 bigger ones and work your way down, and maybe next
17 time it's 50,000. I don't really know.

18 So that's a concern that I have that is
19 that this -- this is just going to be a continued
20 assault on places that are important to us for
21 reasons that we shouldn't have to particularly
22 identify. Thank you.

23 **MR. GUNN:** Oh, hi. Brian Gunn from the
24 Powers Law Firm on behalf of the Colville Tribe.
25 Just some comments on the Antiquities Act generally,

1 not with respect to these designations, but to the
2 extent that any kind of recommendations are going to
3 make -- the Department may come up with -- may come
4 up with are going to make recommendations to
5 Congress or others about changes to the Antiquities
6 Act.

7 I would like to, you know, communicate one
8 issue that Colville has encountered in the past few
9 years. For a number of years, there have been
10 organizations and groups that have been interested
11 in designating wilderness and parts of the Colville
12 National Forest, which were directly adjacent to the
13 northern reservation boundary. And this is an area
14 that is currently Forest Service land but was
15 previously part of the undivided reservation. The
16 tribe has a number of sacred sites as the exclusive
17 regulator of hunting and fishing in those areas.
18 And the tribe has had concerns with some of those
19 proposed designations simply because the underlying
20 laws don't provide guarantees of existing uses and
21 access, like hunting and fishing, like law
22 enforcement for fish and game, and even access to
23 sacred sites. In some cases for motorized uses if
24 you've got elders and such that aren't able to
25 access those sites.

1 So more recently, a few years ago, there
2 was some discussion about designating instead of
3 wilderness one of these areas to be a national
4 monument and I think it's something that the tribe
5 would have been maybe more interested in if there
6 were safeguards in the Antiquities Act itself that
7 safeguarded those access, those preexisting uses,
8 especially access to sacred sites because I think
9 there was just generally concern that with as open
10 ended as the law is that if folks were to agree with
11 designation, that there may not be a guarantee that
12 they would be able to continue to access those sites
13 in the manner that they currently do. So for
14 whatever that's worth, and to the extent that the
15 Department is looking at recommendations to the
16 Antiquities Act generally. Thank you.

17 **MR. LOUDERMILK:** We have up until about
18 4:50, 5:00, and I'm not sure if we have more
19 comments from folks.

20 Did anybody have anything else they wanted
21 to add that they didn't get a chance to yet?

22 Anybody have anything you want to say or -
23 - okay. Well, unless anybody is opposed, then we
24 can just -- go ahead, sir.

25 **MR. KUTZ:** So I think that -- this is,

1 again, Steve Kutz with the Cowlitz Tribe. I think
2 we need to throw our rope out a little bit further.

3 So we don't know -- in our -- in our
4 earlier discussion, some of the people talked about
5 the -- the lack of -- lack of enough fish. And you
6 start looking out at some of the Pacific remote
7 islands, the northeast canyons and sea mouths along
8 the Atlantic coast. Some of these places where --
9 where they're, like, islands where not just for --
10 not just islands of land, but islands of places
11 where fish can go propagate and live and move
12 around. And so there's a lot of places that are
13 important, we don't necessarily know where all of
14 our fish go to live and grow and those type of
15 things. And so having a place that protects them
16 also is important. And so -- so I don't necessarily
17 know that there's any Indian people out there in
18 American Samoa, but we sure know there's some
19 Samoans. We know that there's a bunch of Hawaiians
20 that married into our tribes here that are worried
21 about Hawaii and those type of things. And so I
22 just want to speak on their behalf also, because the
23 Native people there that the federal government does
24 not recognize have grave concerns about the same
25 things that we have concerns about. Thank you.

1 **MR. RODMAN:** Again, we have the online and
2 -- online option and email option for written
3 comments on this Executive Order for tribal leaders.
4 That's at consultation@bia.gov, and for the general
5 public and tribal leaders, that's regulations.gov.
6 And for Bears Ears, the comments are due tomorrow.
7 And for all other monuments, that is until July 10.

8 Thank you. I think a lot of us will be
9 maybe hanging out for a few minutes if people have
10 other questions, but thank you very much.

11 **MR. LOUDERMILK:** Thank you.

12 **(Session concluded at 3:50 p.m.)**

CERTIFICATE

I, Kimberly R. McLain, do hereby certify that the proceeding named herein was professionally transcribed on the date set forth in the certificate herein; that I transcribed all testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the foregoing matter; and that the foregoing transcript pages constitute a full, true, and correct record of such testimony adduced and oral proceeding had and of the whole thereof.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of June, 2017.



Kimberly R. McLain

<u>1</u>	<u>A</u>	addition	17:21 25:18
1 6:10 6:25	ability 13:5	8:2 19:18	analyzed 5:22
8:5	able 23:24	adequate 6:13	ancient 21:11
10 7:10	24:12	8:5	Annette 8:13
7:19 26:7	accepted 7:16	adjacent	answer 4:10
100,000	access	23:12	12:16
6:11	22:11 23:21	Administratio	antiquities
22:14 22:14	23:22 23:25	n 5:14 18:5	2:2 2:11
102.A7 10:23	24:7 24:8	advantage	4:16 6:5
13792 2:9	24:12	15:13	10:11 17:23
4:15 5:8	acre 22:14	Affairs	18:7 18:9
1996 6:10 8:5	acres 6:11	2:13 4:4	22:25
	11:18	5:24	23:5 24:6
<u>2</u>	across 3:25	affected 11:6	24:16
2017 2:3	across-the-	11:8	anybody 24:20
2:9 6:7	board 12:8	11:16 17:13	24:22 24:23
2107 7:14	act 2:2	affiliation	anybody's
24 7:13	2:11 4:16	8:15	12:22
25 2:3	6:5 10:10	affords 9:12	anything
26 2:9 3:10	10:11 10:17	afternoon	12:21 12:23
6:7 7:18	10:24	3:14 4:1	13:20 15:22
27 6:14 8:3	13:1	agency 3:20	24:20 24:22
8:9	17:12 17:24	ago 24:1	anyway 18:21
	18:9 19:6	ahead 2:6 3:4	application
<u>3</u>	20:6	24:24	8:7
3:15 2:4	20:13 22:25	Aikin 4:7 4:8	appropriate
3:50 26:12	23:6 24:6	air 14:24	7:7 7:12
	24:16	alliance 4:11	11:12 12:20
<u>4</u>	Acting 3:22	allowed	14:23 15:17
4:50 24:18	actions 7:7	15:1	18:17
	7:8	15:21 22:2	appropriately
<u>5</u>	activities	alone 15:12	10:16 13:1
5:00 24:18	14:25 19:22	already 17:6	April 2:9 6:7
50,000 22:17	Act's 10:10	Amen 3:1	area 6:17
	10:12	American 2:19	10:13 13:23
<u>6</u>	add 12:15	4:7 4:9	14:19
6 3:18	24:21		19:1 19:7
			19:10 19:11

19:23	18:23	Canadian 14:3	Columbia 13:8
20:4	19:1 19:7	Canadians	13:10 14:14
20:16 23:13	20:3 26:6	14:16	15:4
areas 3:17	Becky 3:14	Canyon 18:1	Colville
11:10 14:25	behalf	canyons 25:7	22:24
15:5	20:22 22:24	care 10:14	23:8 23:11
15:11 15:11	25:22	13:23 14:20	co-managing
16:7 17:8	behind 17:6	17:9	19:11
18:2 18:8	believe 9:10	career 5:1	coming 14:3
18:10 20:10	berry 21:25	carry 3:20	14:12 14:14
20:18 21:22	beyond	7:8	comments 3:24
22:5	10:25 11:4	Cascade	5:4 5:10
22:10 23:17	bigger 22:16	6:17	5:22 7:16
24:3	biggest 14:9	11:17 20:16	7:18 7:20
aren't 23:24	bit 13:9 25:2	cases 23:23	8:7 8:13
artifacts	Blanchard	categories	8:15 10:2
19:20	3:14 3:15	13:25	12:15 15:20
aside 11:18	blessing 2:21	ceremonies	18:20 18:24
18:10	boundaries	19:22 21:3	18:25 22:25
assault 22:20	11:1 11:4	Chaco 18:1	24:19
Assistant	14:5 14:6	Chairman	26:3 26:6
2:13 5:23	boundary 14:7	17:11	commercial
Atlantic 25:8	23:13	chance 24:21	13:15
August 7:13	Brian 22:23	changes 23:5	commercialize
authority	bring 14:24	circumstances	16:19
9:10 9:14	bringing 2:20	12:22	communicate
18:10	14:15	Clark 14:14	23:7
availability	Bruce 4:3	classified	compatible
11:9 15:9	bulldozed	10:16 13:1	10:13 13:23
available	21:13 21:14	cleaning 17:6	14:19
5:21	bunch 25:19	cleanup 17:4	Comprehensive
10:21 10:25	Bureau 3:22	coast 25:8	6:3
away 9:15	4:4	colleagues	concern 15:23
11:25 20:2	Butte 14:8	2:14	22:18 24:9
<hr/>	<hr/>	Colonial 15:7	concerns 3:24
B	C		5:5 11:5
Bears 7:11			23:18 25:24
7:18			25:25
18:20 18:22			concluded

26:12	16:9 16:10	23:14 24:13	2:2 2:10
concur 11:14	conversation	<hr/>	4:15 6:5
condition	8:1	<hr/> D <hr/>	7:11 8:10
11:8	conversations	damaged 16:23	9:8 9:15
conforms 6:23	15:3	dams 17:14	9:18 9:21
Congress	cooperate	day 9:25	20:24
17:21 23:5	19:6	days 18:13	23:1 23:19
congressional	coordination	deadline 7:17	designed 5:9
ly-	6:13 8:6	18:19	determination
designated	Coordinator	deadlines 7:4	13:4 13:5
3:17	4:9	deal 18:3	14:21
connection	copper 14:4	deals 7:12	determination
20:19	14:13	11:12 12:20	s 10:8
consider 10:7	copy 4:22	14:22	determine
12:2 14:11	corporations	defer 17:10	6:22
consideration	9:3 9:25	Delano 8:20	determines
10:15 10:22	Council 12:13	demolished	6:11
11:13 12:10	Councilperson	16:13	detrimental
12:17	2:16 3:3	Department	13:16
considered	country 3:25	23:3 24:15	development
5:13 8:11	covers 6:10	described	9:4 11:7
9:17	Cowlitz 12:14	6:25	different
constant 13:7	16:6 21:1	designated	16:2
14:1 14:2	25:1	6:9 8:4	diminish
14:2 22:8	Craters	9:13	22:11 22:11
constructed	6:19 20:17	10:16 10:21	direction
14:7	creator 12:6	11:10 12:25	10:1
consultation@	criteria 20:6	15:10	directly
bia.gov	20:11 20:12	designating	23:12
7:20 26:4	cultural	23:11 24:2	Director 3:22
contamination	17:24	designation	4:3
14:15 17:5	18:8	6:10 6:12	discuss 4:22
continue	18:15 19:14	6:23	discussion
19:16 24:12	19:20 19:22	10:21	7:17 24:2
continued	current 19:21	11:2 11:6	25:4
17:5	currently	12:18 13:12	document
18:17 22:19		24:11	21:19
control		designations	

DOI 6:15	13:14	15:8	17:22 20:11
dollars 17:3	enforcement	extent 23:2	fiscal 11:7
domain 18:12	23:22	24:14	fish 4:9
Dossett 17:19	enjoyment		13:17
17:21	11:3	<hr/> F <hr/>	17:9
due 7:10 7:13	enrolled 4:4	factor	23:22
26:6	entirely	10:20 22:14	25:5
dwelled 11:20	18:17 19:7	factors	25:11 25:14
<hr/>	especially	6:21 7:1	fishing 23:17
E	24:8	8:8 8:10	23:21
earlier 4:2	estimation	10:6	fit 20:6
25:4	14:6	11:11 12:17	fits 20:12
Ears 7:11	evaluating	12:19 14:22	five 15:5
7:18	6:22	faded 11:25	19:2 19:3
18:20 18:22	everybody 4:2	families 21:3	19:5
18:23	21:15	family 21:10	folks 20:9
19:1 19:7	everyone 2:22	Father 2:20	24:10 24:19
20:3 26:6	example 13:8	federal	forest 3:15
easy 20:13	exceed 10:13	5:12 7:3	21:23 23:12
economic	exclusive	10:6	23:14
9:4 11:7	23:16	10:22 10:23	fork 14:14
edges 16:18	excuse 6:4	10:25	formation
effects 10:20	Executive 2:8	11:9	11:22
10:25	4:15 4:21	13:19 15:10	Fort 3:8 4:5
11:2 17:16	5:5 5:8 6:2	15:15 19:11	Fortmann
elders	6:6 6:24	25:23	3:6 3:6
11:25 23:24	6:25 7:2	federally	forward
elder's 11:15	7:9 8:8	3:10	3:23 5:15
else 17:20	26:3	fields 21:25	19:13 19:16
24:20	existing	fifth 11:5	19:24
email 26:2	23:20	fighting 14:2	fourth 11:2
employees 5:1	expanded 6:9	final 7:13	future 9:6
encountered	expansion	findings 7:14	9:18 20:24
23:8	6:12 6:23	Firm 22:24	<hr/>
encourage	exploitation	first 2:22	G
20:23	15:8	8:22 10:2	game 23:22
encroach	exportation	10:9	general
		12:14 13:22	7:21 10:2

22:3 26:4	17:22 20:2	Helens	6:15
generally	guidance	13:25	identify
22:25	5:7 7:23	14:1 14:17	22:22
24:9 24:16	Gunn 22:23	help 4:25	ignored 16:13
generations	22:23	7:25 8:13	I'll 4:19
9:6	<hr/>	10:4	8:19
getting 18:24	H	helping 9:1	17:10 17:19
gone 11:25	handout 4:23	he's 9:16	20:21
16:23 17:14	handouts 6:16	hi 3:6	I'm 2:12 2:12
Gorge 13:11	7:23	17:20 22:23	3:6 3:7
13:12	Hanford	high 21:1	3:14 3:19
government	6:18 13:9	hijacked 9:25	3:21 3:23
5:12 9:24	14:11 16:25	historic	4:3 4:4 4:8
13:19 14:23	17:1 17:7	3:8 10:17	4:17 8:20
15:15	20:4 20:16	10:17 10:18	8:21 12:7
16:9	hanging 26:9	13:1 13:2	17:21 22:12
19:11 25:23	happen	13:2 13:3	24:18
governments	14:21 15:22	18:7 20:7	implementatio
11:6	22:2	20:10 20:12	n 5:6
government-	happens 14:8	historically	importance
to-	harmed	16:13	9:8 22:12
government	21:24 22:1	histories	important
3:10	haven't 15:25	20:20	13:17 13:18
GPS 21:6	having	honor 3:9	15:1
grandfathered	15:14 15:14	honored 3:19	15:18
9:19	25:15	hope 19:16	16:3
grave 25:24	Hawaii 25:21	House 6:1	17:15
great 18:2	Hawaiians	huge 15:4	19:8
ground	25:19	21:21	19:24 21:17
16:12 16:20	headwaters	hunting 23:17	22:7
groups 23:10	14:13	23:21	22:20 25:13
grow 25:14	hear 3:12	<hr/>	25:16
guarantee	3:20	I	including
24:11	heard 9:24	I'd 7:4 18:21	10:10 10:11
guarantees	15:7 20:9	Idaho 6:20	10:22 11:7
23:20	hearing 3:23	20:17	Indian 2:13
guess 8:19	Heavenly 2:20	identified	4:4 5:24
			12:14 25:17
			Indians 17:22

industrial 14:25	I've 16:1 20:20	lands 8:25 9:1 9:11 9:17 9:20 10:16 10:22 10:25 11:3 11:16 11:19 12:25	22:13 listed 6:15 8:8 listen 5:3 5:12 listening 2:1 2:7 2:8 3:13 4:14 4:17 4:18 5:9 5:15 5:17 5:19 6:3
initial 21:19 21:20 22:13	<hr/> J <hr/> January 6:9 8:4	landscape 13:16 17:17	little 13:9 25:2
input 3:20 5:10	job 3:16	language 12:24	live 25:11 25:14
instead 24:2	Jody 3:21	larger 17:17	local 11:6
intact 9:21	John 17:20	Lastly 16:25 20:14	localities 11:8
interest 10:19 13:3 14:3	join 17:19	lately 22:9	logistics 4:18
interested 23:10 24:5	July 7:19 26:7	later 10:3	long 17:12
interesting 19:19	June 7:10	law 9:12 22:24 23:21 24:10	loop 12:21
interim 7:9	jurisdiction 4:12	laws 23:20	looting 18:3 18:7
Interior 5:25 6:8	<hr/> K <hr/> key 7:4	leader 5:10	Lord 2:22 2:23 3:1
introductions 3:5	known 20:10	leaders 7:19 7:21 26:3 26:5	lost 2:23
inventory 15:15	Kutz 12:12 12:13 20:25 20:25 24:25 25:1	leadership 5:7	lot 16:20 18:3 25:12 26:8
involve 19:14	<hr/> L <hr/> lack 25:5 25:5	least 18:22 20:9	Loudermilk 4:1 4:3 8:17 24:17 26:11
involves 19:10	land 3:22 10:12 10:24 19:21 23:14 25:10	legislative 7:7	<hr/> M <hr/> maintaining
irreplaceable 16:21	landmark 20:12	limited 8:1	
islands 25:7 25:9 25:10 25:10	landmarks 8:24 10:17 13:2 20:7 20:10	linked 11:23	
isn't 12:10 16:19		list 15:24 15:25 16:14 16:22 21:19 21:20 21:20	
issue 23:8			
issued 2:9 6:6			
issues 9:16			

20:23	messing 9:20	mouths 25:7	nobody 17:19
man 21:12	microphone	move 25:11	non-Federal
manage 3:25	8:13	moves 5:15	11:3
11:10 15:10	millennia	moving 20:2	northeast
managed 15:12	20:11	20:3	25:7
19:6	millions 17:3	multiple-	Northeastern
management	mind 2:17	use 10:23	4:5
3:23	8:22		northern
10:14 10:24	mine 14:13	<hr/> N <hr/>	23:13
13:24 14:20	mines 14:4	nation 8:21	Northwest
19:9	minorly 15:12	14:10	6:17
Manager 3:18	minutes 26:9	national	note 21:18
manner 24:13	Montana 4:6	3:7 3:8 4:8	notice 7:3
map 21:6	14:9	5:17 6:8	10:6
married 25:20	monument 5:17	6:18 6:19	
may 2:3	6:18 6:19	6:20 6:22	<hr/> O <hr/>
4:19 5:5	6:20 7:17	7:17 10:8	objective 9:2
7:18 10:2	8:10 11:1	17:21 21:23	objectives
23:3 23:3	11:4 24:4	21:23	10:10
24:11	monuments	22:7	objects 10:14
maybe 10:4	3:24 6:8	23:12 24:3	10:18
18:21 22:16	6:14 6:16	nation-to-	13:3
24:5 26:9	6:22 7:19	nation 5:11	13:24 14:20
Mayor 15:5	8:2 9:11	Native 2:18	offer 2:23
mean 9:5 9:23	10:8	4:7 4:8	Office 2:12
12:11 17:10	11:19 12:15	8:24	Oh 22:23
18:13	26:7	17:24	okay 24:23
20:7 20:22	Moon 6:20	18:8	old 21:11
means 12:23	20:17	18:16 19:14	ones 22:16
21:20	Morgan 2:12	20:19 25:23	ongoing 19:22
medicine	morning 15:6	natural 11:19	online 7:22
21:11	motorized	17:12	26:1 26:2
meeting 8:18	23:23	nature 13:15	open 8:12
member 4:5	Mount 13:24	NCAI 18:22	14:4
12:13	14:1 14:17	19:3 20:22	14:12 24:9
mention 20:15	mountains	necessarily	opened 18:1
Mesa 18:1	21:9 21:25	25:13 25:16	
		necessary 7:8	

open-ended 12:21	overwhelm 13:13	16:20 16:21 17:11	7:13 8:23 9:10 17:2
opening 2:17 22:2	<hr/> P <hr/>	21:1 21:2 21:2 21:3	18:4 18:10
opinion 13:19	p.m 2:4 26:12	22:4 22:20	Presidential 7:6
opportunity 3:12 9:12	Pacific 25:6	25:8 25:10 25:12	pressure 13:7 14:2 14:3
opposed 24:23	packet 4:23 5:18	plan 6:3 19:9	15:5 16:18 16:19
option 26:2 26:2	panel 2:14 5:1	please 2:17 4:24 8:14	18:3 22:8
Order 2:8 4:15 4:21	Park 22:7	8:14 8:16	pretty 20:8 20:13
5:6 5:8 6:2	Parks 3:7	plenty 12:1	previously 23:15
6:6 6:24	particularly 22:21	point 11:21 21:5 21:6	primarily 5:9 5:12
6:25 7:2	past 23:8	policy 6:24 6:24 7:8	privilege 3:9
7:9 8:8	Peck 4:5	10:23 10:24	probably 14:10 16:16
26:3	people 8:24 11:20	pollute 14:24 14:24	16:17
Oregon 3:18 6:18 11:17	12:2 12:11 13:12	Portland 15:6	process 19:15
organizations 23:10	17:16 17:25	possible 12:23	program 3:17 3:17
original 10:9 17:23 18:13	18:8 18:14 18:16	pour 2:21	19:13
18:14	19:23 20:19	Powers 22:24	Programs 4:9
Osage 2:13	21:8 22:9	pray 3:1 16:4	project 17:6
others 10:3 18:4 23:5	25:4 25:17 25:23	pre 13:2	promise 21:12
ought 16:15	26:9	preceding 4:17	prompt 10:5
outreach 6:13 8:5	perimeter 14:1 14:4	preexisting 24:7	propagate 25:11
outside 14:5	period 17:13	prehistoric 10:18	proper 10:13 13:23
overcome 13:13 15:2	pinpoint 21:5	preserve 9:1 9:5	14:19
overridden 13:21	pit 14:13	President 6:6 7:6 7:10	properly 11:10 15:10
overruled 13:6	placed 16:22		properties 15:14
	places 12:1 12:2 12:9		
	13:17 15:25		
	16:10 16:11		

proposals 7:7	26:10	7:3 10:6	19:21
proposed 23:19	quick 4:20	regulations. gov 26:5	respect 23:1
protect 11:19	<hr/> R <hr/>	regulator 23:17	responsibilit y 17:7
17:24 18:11	razed 16:12	relationship 5:11	responsible 21:24
18:15 19:10	Reach 6:18	relevant 6:14	rest 18:11
19:17 21:13	13:9	8:6	18:25
21:13	16:25	remote 25:6	restrictions 22:1
protected	17:8 20:4	removed 17:2	re-utilize 22:10
10:14 13:24	20:16	repair 16:24	review 2:2
14:20 22:5	ready 17:20	repeat 4:19	2:10 4:15
protecting	real 19:15	report 7:9	6:4 6:8
19:7	really	7:13	6:15 7:15
19:13 19:23	17:18 19:12	reports 6:1	19:1
protects	19:24 22:15	representing 3:7 3:15	reviewed 8:3 8:4
25:15	22:17	re-purpose 22:10	reviewing 8:9
provide	reasons 22:21	requirement 10:11 10:12	river 13:9
7:15 23:20	recently 24:1	requirements 10:9	13:10 14:14
provided 6:16	recognize 25:24	requires 6:7	15:4 17:8
7:24	recognized 3:11	reservation 23:13 23:15	17:13
providing	recommendatio ns 5:4 5:23	reservations 10:12	rivers 3:16
2:17 8:15	6:1 7:6	resolution 18:23	Rodman 2:6
public 6:13	7:11 7:15	resources 11:10 15:10	2:12 3:2
7:21 8:5	23:2 23:4	17:24	4:13 10:4
16:7	24:15	18:8	26:1
16:10 18:11	record 5:14	18:16 19:14	room 15:6
22:3 26:5	12:12		Roosevelt 18:4
purpose 17:23	recorded 5:19		rope 25:2
18:15 19:25	regarding 2:8		rules 15:21
21:7	4:11 12:15		<hr/> S <hr/>
<hr/> Q <hr/>	Regina 4:25		sacred 8:25
question	Region 3:18		
8:2 8:22	regions 19:3		
9:14 9:22	Register		
questions			
4:10 7:25			
12:17			
20:2 20:5			

12:2	6:25 10:23	11:17	started 2:7
16:11 16:11	sector 16:8	Siskiyous	20:18
19:2 21:2	16:10	20:5 20:16	state 3:22
21:9	seems 9:15	sit 21:5	8:14 11:5
23:16 23:23	9:23 20:6	site 3:9 3:11	12:14 15:16
24:8	send 7:20	14:9	17:12 21:22
sacredness	senior-	sites 23:16	states 11:8
9:1	level 5:1	23:23 23:25	statute 18:15
safeguarded	sense 9:4	24:8 24:12	step 8:25
24:7	Service 3:7	six 15:5	10:1
safeguards	3:15 4:10	sixth 11:9	19:12 19:15
24:6	23:14	small 8:25	Steve 20:25
Saluskin 8:19	services 4:11	14:7	25:1
8:20	session 2:1	16:16 16:17	Steven 12:13
Samoa 25:18	2:7 2:8	smallest	stories 11:24
Samoans 25:19	3:13 4:2	10:13 13:23	story 11:23
scenic 3:16	4:14 4:17	14:19	straightforwa
scientific	4:18 5:9	somehow 19:5	rd 20:8
10:19 13:3	5:16 5:19	song 2:24	strong 20:20
Scott 4:8	6:3 26:12	southwest	structures
4:13	sessions 5:17	18:1	10:18
sea 25:7	seven 8:8	spawn 17:9	13:2 18:7
second 2:7	10:6	speak 25:22	stuff 15:18
10:15	seventh 11:11	Speaking 2:18	submit 7:22
Secondly	several 6:21	Speaks 4:7	submitted
12:25 13:22	share 20:21	specific 21:6	5:22
Secretary	short 8:17	spills 17:4	submitting
2:13 5:24	significance	Springs 2:16	18:20 18:24
5:24 5:25	16:5	St 13:25 14:1	18:25
6:8 6:11	significant	14:17	summaries
6:21 7:1	19:12	stakeholders	20:18
7:5 7:12	simply 23:19	6:14 8:6	summarize
8:9 10:7	Singing 2:25	start 3:4	7:14
11:11 12:20	Sioux 4:5	8:19	Superfund
14:22	sir 24:24	14:16 22:15	14:9
Secretary's	Siskiyou 6:17	25:6	Superintenden
7:9 7:12			
Section			

t 3:8	16:23 16:23	12:13 14:23	undivided
support 18:23	17:5 17:5	26:3 26:5	23:15
supposed 10:7	25:9	tribe 4:5	undo 15:17
sure 5:13	they've 19:8	12:14	unless 24:23
9:21	third 10:20	13:4 16:6	upon 2:21
24:18 25:18	thoughts 10:5	21:1 21:4	upper 17:8
Switzler 2:16	thousands	22:24 23:16	utilize 16:19
2:18 11:14	11:20 11:21	23:18	
systems 22:7	throw 25:2	24:4 25:1	<hr/>
<hr/>	tied 3:11	tribes 3:11	V
T	title 2:10	5:11 11:8	Valerie 2:16
talk 16:25	titled 6:3	16:2 16:6	Vancouver 3:8
talked 25:4	today 2:21	19:2 19:3	venture 12:3
thank 2:20	4:22 5:1	19:4 19:5	Verde 18:2
3:1 3:2	5:15 8:1	19:10 19:14	Vice 17:10
4:13 8:20	15:3 18:19	20:9 25:20	Vice-Chairman
10:3	today's 5:9	truly 9:14	8:21
17:18 22:22	5:19 6:2	Trump 6:6	view 11:15
24:16 25:25	tomorrow	trying 8:23	views 5:13
26:8	18:21 26:6	9:23 13:12	8:7
26:10 26:11	total 5:16	turn 9:3	<hr/>
Thanks 20:24	touch 12:4	turning 15:16	W
themselves	12:5	type 25:14	walk 12:21
12:16 19:15	towards 20:3	25:21	Warm 2:16
Theodore 18:4	Tracy 3:6	<hr/>	Washington
there's 7:4	transcribed	U	3:19 6:19
7:22 12:8	5:20	U.S 3:15 4:9	12:14
13:11 13:11	transcription	unborn 9:6	wasn't 18:6
15:4 15:4	s 5:20	underlying	waters
21:9	transcripts	23:19	14:12 14:24
21:20 21:24	5:21	underscore	we'd 20:23
22:4 22:4	travel 12:4	7:5	Weil 3:21
25:12 25:17	tribal 2:1	understand	3:21
25:18 25:19	5:10 7:19	9:2 9:7	we'll 4:24
they're	7:21 8:15	understanding	8:12 8:12
5:13	11:5	9:9	18:25
15:21 15:22		undesignate	we're 2:6
16:8 16:8		8:23 9:11	
16:9 16:9			

4:25 5:3	25:20		
5:12 12:8	worries		
14:2	12:7 12:9		
we've 9:5 9:5	worse 14:11		
16:13 16:24	worth 24:14		
20:9 20:18	written		
Weyerhaeuser	5:22 7:16		
21:10 21:12	7:20		
whatever	15:22 20:21		
24:14	26:2		
wheel 21:11	wrong 6:4		
whether	www.bia.gov		
6:23 9:14	5:21		
9:22	www.		
10:15 12:25	regulations		
20:2 20:5	.gov 7:22		
21:22			
White 6:1			
whole 13:25			
21:4			
whose 13:18			
wild 3:16			
wilderness			
3:16			
23:11 24:3			
wildlife 4:10			
13:17			
wisdom 5:7			
wishes 15:2			
wonderful			
3:12			
wording 12:19			
work 4:19 5:3			
19:3 22:16			
worked 5:2			
working 3:9			
worried 22:12			