

To: Tyler Ashcroft[tashcrof@blm.gov]; Aaron Curtis[acurtis@blm.gov]
From: Thomas, Nathan
Sent: 2017-04-13T10:47:02-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Fwd: Bears Ears
Received: 2017-04-13T10:53:35-04:00
01 - SOW - Bears Ears Heritage Resource Assessment 10April 2017.docx

Tyler, Aaron,
we are in the last review of the SOW for Argonne. I thought I would pass it along for your review and understanding of this project.

Please note: I've asked Argonne to remove the \$25k in this SOW to facilitate consultation with Tribes. I believe the tribes will have funding under the Engagement Fund (i.e. Leo D.) that was set up for them.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Wescott, Konstance L.** <wescott@anl.gov>
Date: Mon, Apr 10, 2017 at 3:45 PM
Subject: Bears Ears
To: "Nathan Thomas (nthomas@blm.gov)" <nthomas@blm.gov>, "Larralde, Signa (slarrald@blm.gov)" <slarrald@blm.gov>
Cc: "dhoffhei@blm.gov" <dhoffhei@blm.gov>

Nate and Signa –

I spent Friday and a good chunk of the weekend reading through the Monticello FO Class I – if those data are available to us, I think we would have a great deal of flexibility to expand the CLA/RRD to include some recommendations on mitigation. Without that data I would be a little worried on being able to get everything done in a year. Assuming we could get access to the GIS data (that SWCA supplemented with additional site form analysis to create a more robust data set than what we would otherwise receive directly from the SHPO) that would save us some serious effort. Do you know if they (SWCA) also hooked into Kirk Halford/Dan Martin/Laurel Glidden's standardized Colorado Plateau data set? We are using their standardized GDB format for the Cedar City assessment as a pilot of sorts. We like it so far - not crucial if they did, just curious – I didn't see it mentioned.

I tried to clarify a few things in the attached SOW to add more emphasis on the mitigation component and less on the context component given the pretty thorough Class I write up. There would still be some leg work for us to do to make sure whatever study area is selected we have covered, and to organize the information a little differently than what is done in the Class I for

purposes of the CLA, but the main elements are all there I think. I realized I had forgotten to include a budget line for bringing in a local expert to help facilitate tribal outreach efforts with the Bears Ears Commission (is it Commission or Coalition? – the Class I refers to a Bears Ears Coalition, but it might be a completely separate thing), so I added that in – I think it would be important to bring in someone they have developed a relationship with locally and trust to make sure we're all on the same page. I accepted most changes in the attached file but some track changes and comment bubbles are in to help focus your attention on the new text and reminder of some previous thoughts.

OK here's hoping we are even closer to a final SOW. Let me know if you have any questions.

Konnie

--

Nate Thomas
Deputy Preservation Officer BLM Utah
Cultural Resource Program Lead
440 West 200 South, Suite 500
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145
(801) 539-4276

(b)(5) DPP



(b)(5) DPP



(b)(5) DPP



A large black rectangular redaction box covers the majority of the page content, starting below the header and ending above the footer. The text "(b)(5) DPP" is written in red at the top left corner of this redacted area. To the right of the black box, a vertical light gray bar extends from the top to the bottom of the page.

(b)(5) DPP



(b)(5) DPP



(b)(5) DPP



(b)(5) DPP



available,
Will
clear

(b)(5) DPP



(b)(5) DPP

