

To: Ginn, Allison[aginn@blm.gov]
From: Edwin Roberson
Sent: 2017-05-16T17:13:58-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: Status Update - DOI Data Call
Received: 2017-05-16T17:14:08-04:00

Thanks Allison.

I just called you and left a message regarding the SITLA discussion in BENM. I would rather say "(b)(5) DPP [REDACTED]"

Let me know if you have questions. Thank you for your thoughtful concern. Ed

Sent from my iPhone

On May 16, 2017, at 4:32 PM, Ginn, Allison <aginn@blm.gov> wrote:

Great catch- that was copied and pasted from the DOI's fast facts and I believe they were trying to highlight that the boundary is basically the same as the Indian Creek & Bears Ears NCAs and Mancos Mesa Wilderness. I'll edit.

Nate is working on reviewing the cultural information and we will ensure consistency.

Your other requested edits have been made. Thanks, eagle eye!

I hope that you're able to spend some quality time with your family. Very sorry to hear about the situation.

Regards,

Allison Ginn
National Conservation Lands Program Lead
BLM Utah State Office
801-539-4053

On Tue, May 16, 2017 at 2:16 PM, Edwin Roberson <eroberso@blm.gov> wrote:

Allison, I have a couple of observations.

The BENM discussion about PLI says it would have designated 2 NCAs and "a wilderness". I thought it would have designated several WSAs as wilderness.

Was it just one.

GSENM lists cultural acreage surveyed and notes that only "x %" of the monument was surveyed. I think I have seen similar info on BENM and think it would be good to include.

The GSENM has been accused of reducing livestock #s since designation. You include the fact sheet. It may be good to highlight in the summary that states the while the accusation has been made, the actual numbers show that there is a negligible change in the numbers.

On both we say no new energy or mineral development will be allowed but say valid existing rights were preserved. So, would it be accurate to say that only development associated with valid existing rights could occur?
I think those were my only suggestions/observations. Thank you. Ed

Sent from my iPhone

On May 16, 2017, at 11:27 AM, Ginn, Allison <aginn@blm.gov> wrote:

Delivery failed the first time- sorry!

Regards,

Allison Ginn
National Conservation Lands Program Lead
BLM Utah State Office
801-539-4053

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ginn, Allison** <aginn@blm.gov>
Date: Tue, May 16, 2017 at 8:48 AM
Subject: Status Update - DOI Data Call
To: Anita Bilbao <abilbao@blm.gov>, eroberson@blm.gov
Cc: Aaron Curtis <acurtis@blm.gov>, Abbie Jossie <ajossie@blm.gov>

We're plugging away on the data call from DOI.

Please find attached updated versions of our draft responses to each item requested from the Department, as well as Executive Summaries for both Monuments.

We have quite a few people working on data aggregation today, both at the field and state office level.

My next step is to circle with Kent on minerals data and also to route these attached documents through External Affairs.

Your thoughts are welcome. Thanks!

Regards,

Allison Ginn
National Conservation Lands Program Lead
BLM Utah State Office
801-539-4053

<ExecutiveSummaryforGSENM.docx>

<ExecutiveSummaryforBENM.docx>

<InitialDataRequestRelatedtoReviewofNationalMonuments BENM
051617 AM.docx>

<InitialDataRequestRelatedtoReviewofNationalMonuments GSEN
M 051617 AM.docx>