

**To:** Laura Joss[laura\_joss@nps.gov]  
**Cc:** Sarah Creachbaum[sarah\_creachbaum@nps.gov]; Katariina Tuovinen[katariina\_tuovinen@nps.gov]; Martha Lee[Martha\_Lee@nps.gov]; Craig Dalby[craig\_dalby@nps.gov]; Herbert Frost[bert\_frost@nps.gov]; Boone, Whitney[whitney\_boone@nps.gov]; Deanna Mitchell[deanna\_mitchell@nps.gov]  
**From:** Fortmann, Tracy  
**Sent:** 2017-05-26T17:14:58-04:00  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Subject:** Re: Monument Review- BIA Listening Sessions and FAQ  
**Received:** 2017-05-26T17:15:01-04:00  
[DOI Indian Affairs Listening Session May 25, 2017.docx](#)  
[Antiquities Act EO \(1\).pdf](#)  
[FR Notice 2017-09490 \(1\).pdf](#)

Laura, Sarah, and all:

Hello! I attended the listening session yesterday, as requested. I took the opportunity to chat with Tribal members I know prior to the session beginning--it was nice having the opportunity to speak with them about Fort Vancouver.

I have typed up my notes from the session last night. I had hoped to get this to you this morning, but I have been meeting with community groups regarding logistics for the Memorial Day Ceremony on Monday as well as the installation of the historic garrison flagstaff on the parade ground. This is the first chance I have had to send my summary to you.

Please find the summary of comments attached. For your ease, I have also attached the FR Notice and the Antiquities Act E.O. If you have any questions, feel free to contact me. Laura, I was pleased to be of assistance.

The sun is shining here today. Have a terrific weekend all!

TAF

On Tue, May 23, 2017 at 8:41 AM, Laura Joss <laura\_joss@nps.gov> wrote:

Great - Thanks very much Tracy! I've copied Whitney and Deanna so they are aware.

Laura

Laura E. Joss  
Regional Director  
Pacific West Region  
333 Bush Street, Suite 500  
San Francisco, CA 94104-2828  
415-623-2101 desk  
435-210-0094 cell

On May 23, 2017, at 8:35 AM, Fortmann, Tracy <[tracy\\_fortmann@nps.gov](mailto:tracy_fortmann@nps.gov)> wrote:

Laura:

I will attend the listening session on Thursday, May 25th.  
taf

On Mon, May 22, 2017 at 2:48 PM, Laura Joss <[laura\\_joss@nps.gov](mailto:laura_joss@nps.gov)> wrote:

Tracy - Bert Frost asked if we could identify a representative to attend Thursday's DOI Monument Review Listening Session in Portland. Would you be available to do this? The details are below and attached.

Thanks for considering.

Laura

Laura E. Joss  
Regional Director  
Pacific West Region  
333 Bush Street, Suite 500  
San Francisco, CA 94104-2828  
415-623-2101 desk  
435-210-0094 cell

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Boone, Whitney" <[whitney\\_boone@nps.gov](mailto:whitney_boone@nps.gov)>  
**To:** Laura Joss <[laura\\_joss@nps.gov](mailto:laura_joss@nps.gov)>  
**Cc:** Deanna Mitchell <[deanna\\_mitchell@nps.gov](mailto:deanna_mitchell@nps.gov)>  
**Subject:** Monument Review- BIA Listening Sessions and FAQ

Hi Laura,  
Thanks for helping us identify NPS representatives to attend the upcoming BIA Listening Sessions. The listening sessions schedule and FAQ are attached.

Can you please forward these attachments to Tracy and let us know if she is able to attend the listening session in Portland this Thursday, May 25?  
We'll put her in touch with the BIA folks coordinating the session.

Thanks,  
Whitney

--

Whitney Boone  
Park Planning and Special Studies  
National Park Service  
202 354 6970

--

Tracy A. Fortmann, Superintendent  
Fort Vancouver National Historic Site

--

Tracy A. Fortmann, Superintendent  
Fort Vancouver National Historic Site



22016

Federal Register / Vol. 82, No. 90 / Thursday, May 11, 2017 / Notices

Four Renewal of Projects Exempted From OMHAR, Option Five Renewal of Portfolio Reengineering Demonstration or Preservation Projects, and Option Six Opt Outs. Owners should select one of six options which are applicable to their project and should submit contract renewal on an annual basis to renew contract.

The Section 8 Renewal Guide sets forth six renewal options from which a project owner may choose when renewing their expiring Section 8 contracts.

Option One (Mark Up To Market)

Option Two (Other Contract Renewals with Current Rents at or Below Comparable Market Rents Option Three (Referral to the Office of Multifamily Housing Assistant Restructuring OHAP) Option Four (Renewal of Projects Exempted from OHAP)

Option Five (Renewal of Portfolio Reengineering Demonstration or Preservation Projects)

Option Six (Opt Outs)

*Respondents:* Business or other for profit and non profit.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 25,439.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 25,439.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Average Hours per Response:* 1 hour.

*Total Estimated Burden:* 24,680.

## B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: May 4, 2017.

Genger Charles,  
General Deputy Assistant Secretary for  
Housing.

[FR Doc. 2017-09507 Filed 5-10-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of the Secretary

[Docket No. DOI 2017 0002]

### Review of Certain National Monuments Established Since 1996; Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice; Request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of the Interior is conducting a review of certain National Monuments designated or expanded since 1996 under the Antiquities Act of 1906 in order to implement Executive Order 13792 of April 26, 2017. The Secretary of the Interior will use the review to determine whether each designation or expansion conforms to the policy stated in the Executive Order and to formulate recommendations for Presidential actions, legislative proposals, or other appropriate actions to carry out that policy. This Notice identifies twenty seven National Monuments under review and invites comments to inform the review.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, written comments relating to the Bears Ears National Monument must be submitted before May 26, 2017. Written comments relating to all other National Monuments must be submitted before July 10, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit written comments online at <http://www.regulations.gov> by entering "DOI 2017 0002" in the Search bar and clicking "Search," or by mail to Monument Review, MS 1530, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Randal Bowman, 202 208 1906, [RR.Bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:RR.Bowman@ios.doi.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Executive Order 13792 of April 26, 2017 (82 FR 20429, May 1, 2017), directs the Secretary of the Interior to review certain National Monuments designated or expanded under the Antiquities Act of 1906, 54 U.S.C. 320301 320303 (Act). Specifically, Section 2 of the Executive Order directs the Secretary to conduct a

review of all Presidential designations or expansions of designations under the Antiquities Act made since January 1, 1996, where the designation covers more than 100,000 acres, where the designation after expansion covers more than 100,000 acres, or where the Secretary determines that the designation or expansion was made without adequate public outreach and coordination with relevant stakeholders, to determine whether each designation or expansion conforms to the policy set forth in section 1 of the order. Among other provisions, Section 1 states that designations should reflect the Act's "requirements and original objectives" and "appropriately balance the protection of landmarks, structures, and objects against the appropriate use of Federal lands and the effects on surrounding lands and communities." 82 FR 20429 (May 1, 2017).

In making the requisite determinations, the Secretary is directed to consider:

(i) The requirements and original objectives of the Act, including the Act's requirement that reservations of land not exceed "the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected";

(ii) whether designated lands are appropriately classified under the Act as "historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, [or] other objects of historic or scientific interest";

(iii) the effects of a designation on the available uses of designated Federal lands, including consideration of the multiple use policy of section 102(a)(7) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (43 U.S.C. 1701(a)(7)), as well as the effects on the available uses of Federal lands beyond the monument boundaries;

(iv) the effects of a designation on the use and enjoyment of non Federal lands within or beyond monument boundaries;

(v) concerns of State, tribal, and local governments affected by a designation, including the economic development and fiscal condition of affected States, tribes, and localities;

(vi) the availability of Federal resources to properly manage designated areas; and

(vii) such other factors as the Secretary deems appropriate. 82 FR 20429 20430 (May 1, 2017).

The National Monuments being initially reviewed are listed in the following tables.

## NATIONAL MONUMENTS BEING INITIALLY REVIEWED PURSUANT TO CRITERIA IN EXECUTIVE ORDER 13792

Monument	Location	Year(s)	Acreage
Basin and Range .....	Nevada .....	2015	703,585
Bears Ears .....	Utah .....	2016	1,353,000
Berryessa Snow Mountain .....	California .....	2015	330,780
Canyons of the Ancients .....	Colorado .....	2000	175,160
Carrizo Plain .....	California .....	2001	204,107
Cascade Siskiyou .....	Oregon .....	2000/2017	100,000
Craters of the Moon .....	Idaho .....	1924/2000	737,525
Giant Sequoia .....	California .....	2000	327,760
Gold Butte .....	Nevada .....	2016	296,937
Grand Canyon Parashant .....	Arizona .....	2000	1,014,000
Grand Staircase Escalante .....	Utah .....	1996	1,700,000
Hanford Reach .....	Washington .....	2000	194,450.93
Ironwood Forest .....	Arizona .....	2000	128,917
Mojave Trails .....	California .....	2016	1,600,000
Organ Mountains Desert Peaks .....	New Mexico .....	2014	496,330
Rio Grande del Norte .....	New Mexico .....	2013	242,555
Sand to Snow .....	California .....	2016	154,000
San Gabriel Mountains .....	California .....	2014	346,177
Sonoran Desert .....	Arizona .....	2001	486,149
Upper Missouri River Breaks .....	Montana .....	2001	377,346
Vermilion Cliffs .....	Arizona .....	2000	279,568

## NATIONAL MONUMENTS BEING REVIEWED TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE DESIGNATION OR EXPANSION WAS MADE WITHOUT ADEQUATE PUBLIC OUTREACH AND COORDINATION WITH RELEVANT STAKEHOLDERS

Katahdin Woods and Waters .....	Maine .....	2016	87,563
---------------------------------	-------------	------	--------

The Department of the Interior seeks public comments related to: (1) Whether national monuments in addition to those listed above should be reviewed because they were designated or expanded after January 1, 1996 “without adequate public outreach and coordination with relevant stakeholders;” and (2) the application of factors (i) through (vii) to the listed national monuments or to other Presidential designations or expansions

of designations meeting the criteria of the Executive Order. With respect to factor (vii), comments should address other factors the Secretary might consider for this review.

In a separate but related process, certain Marine National Monuments will also be reviewed. As directed by section 4 of Executive Order 13795 of April 28, 2017, “Implementing an America First Offshore Energy Strategy” (82 FR 20815, May 3, 2017), the

Department of Commerce will lead the review of the Marine National Monuments in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior. To assist in that consultation, the Secretary will accept comments related to the application of factors (i) through (vii) in Executive Order 13792 as set forth above to the following Marine National Monuments:

## MARINE NATIONAL MONUMENTS BEING REVIEWED PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDERS 13795 AND 13792

Marianas Trench .....	CNMI/Pacific Ocean .....	2009	60,938,240
Northeast Canyons and Seamounts .....	Atlantic Ocean .....	2016	3,114,320
Pacific Remote Islands .....	Pacific Ocean .....	2009	55,608,320
Papahānaumokuākea .....	Hawaii .....	2006/2016	89,600,000
Rose Atoll .....	American Samoa .....	2009	8,609,045

Before including your name, address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment including your personal identifying information may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority:** E.O. 13792, 82 FR 20429 (May 1, 2017).

**James Cason,**  
*Special Assistant, Delegated the Functions, Duties, and Responsibilities of the Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017–09490 Filed 5–10–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4334–64–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701 TA 558 and 731 TA 1316 (Final)]

## 1-Hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-Diphosphonic Acid (“HEDP”) From China; Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).



---

## Presidential Documents

---

Executive Order 13792 of April 26, 2017

### Review of Designations Under the Antiquities Act

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, and in recognition of the importance of the Nation's wealth of natural resources to American workers and the American economy, it is hereby ordered as follows:

**Section 1. Policy.** Designations of national monuments under the Antiquities Act of 1906, recently recodified at sections 320301 to 320303 of title 54, United States Code (the "Antiquities Act" or "Act"), have a substantial impact on the management of Federal lands and the use and enjoyment of neighboring lands. Such designations are a means of stewarding America's natural resources, protecting America's natural beauty, and preserving America's historic places. Monument designations that result from a lack of public outreach and proper coordination with State, tribal, and local officials and other relevant stakeholders may also create barriers to achieving energy independence, restrict public access to and use of Federal lands, burden State, tribal, and local governments, and otherwise curtail economic growth. Designations should be made in accordance with the requirements and original objectives of the Act and appropriately balance the protection of landmarks, structures, and objects against the appropriate use of Federal lands and the effects on surrounding lands and communities.

**Sec. 2. Review of National Monument Designations.** (a) The Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) shall conduct a review of all Presidential designations or expansions of designations under the Antiquities Act made since January 1, 1996, where the designation covers more than 100,000 acres, where the designation after expansion covers more than 100,000 acres, or where the Secretary determines that the designation or expansion was made without adequate public outreach and coordination with relevant stakeholders, to determine whether each designation or expansion conforms to the policy set forth in section 1 of this order. In making those determinations, the Secretary shall consider:

- (i) the requirements and original objectives of the Act, including the Act's requirement that reservations of land not exceed "the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected";
- (ii) whether designated lands are appropriately classified under the Act as "historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, [or] other objects of historic or scientific interest";
- (iii) the effects of a designation on the available uses of designated Federal lands, including consideration of the multiple-use policy of section 102(a)(7) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (43 U.S.C. 1701(a)(7)), as well as the effects on the available uses of Federal lands beyond the monument boundaries;
- (iv) the effects of a designation on the use and enjoyment of non-Federal lands within or beyond monument boundaries;
- (v) concerns of State, tribal, and local governments affected by a designation, including the economic development and fiscal condition of affected States, tribes, and localities;
- (vi) the availability of Federal resources to properly manage designated areas; and

(vii) such other factors as the Secretary deems appropriate.

(b) In conducting the review described in subsection (a) of this section, the Secretary shall consult and coordinate with, as appropriate, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary of Commerce, the Secretary of Energy, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the heads of any other executive departments or agencies concerned with areas designated under the Act.

(c) In conducting the review described in subsection (a) of this section, the Secretary shall, as appropriate, consult and coordinate with the Governors of States affected by monument designations or other relevant officials of affected State, tribal, and local governments.

(d) Within 45 days of the date of this order, the Secretary shall provide an interim report to the President, through the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Assistant to the President for Economic Policy, the Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy, and the Chairman of the Council on Environmental Quality, summarizing the findings of the review described in subsection (a) of this section with respect to Proclamation 9558 of December 28, 2016 (Establishment of the Bears Ears National Monument), and such other designations as the Secretary determines to be appropriate for inclusion in the interim report. For those designations, the interim report shall include recommendations for such Presidential actions, legislative proposals, or other actions consistent with law as the Secretary may consider appropriate to carry out the policy set forth in section 1 of this order.

(e) Within 120 days of the date of this order, the Secretary shall provide a final report to the President, through the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Assistant to the President for Economic Policy, the Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy, and the Chairman of the Council on Environmental Quality, summarizing the findings of the review described in subsection (a) of this section. The final report shall include recommendations for such Presidential actions, legislative proposals, or other actions consistent with law as the Secretary may consider appropriate to carry out the policy set forth in section 1 of this order.


**Sec. 3. General Provisions.** (a) Nothing in this order shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect:

(i) the authority granted by law to an executive department or agency, or the head thereof; or

(ii) the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budgetary, administrative, or legislative proposals.

(b) This order shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations.

(c) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person.



THE WHITE HOUSE,  
*April 26, 2017.*

[FR Doc. 2017-08908  
Filed 4-28-17; 11:15 am]  
Billing code 3295-F7-P



**DOI Indian Affairs Listening Session  
On Review of Designations Under the Antiquities Act (E.O. 13792)  
Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians (ATNI) Mid-Year Convention 2017  
Hilton Double Tree Lloyd Center  
Portland, Oregon**

**Superintendent Tracy Fortmann, Fort Vancouver National Historic Site**

**May 25, 2017**

The following is the summary of comments of American Indians and American Indian Representatives at the DOI Indian Affairs Listening Session at the ATNI Mid-Year Convention, regarding review of designations under the Antiquities Act (E.O. 13792). The following comments are from my handwritten notes and are not word-for-word, but reflect to the best of my recollection the nature of the comments made.

3:05: **Valerie Switzler, Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs**, provided a prayer to begin the session.

3:10 Opening remarks were made by BIA representative Anthony Rodman and the panel members introduced themselves, which consisted of representatives of the BIA, USFS, BLM, and NPS. I was the NPS representative.

3:20: Comments began.

**Vice Chair Delano Saluskin, Yakama Nation:** I ask, why is the President trying to un-designate all these monuments? I don't understand. We need to preserve these places for future generations. I don't believe the President has the authority to un-designate. These monuments and existing monuments should all be grandfathered in. These monuments should stay intact. They should not undo these places.

**BIA representative Anthony Rodman:** Let me go over the seven factors identified in Section 2 of the Executive Order 13792 of April 26, 2017. He then read out loud each of the seven Factors that the Secretary shall consider in making determinations regarding the National Monument designations under review.

**Valerie Switzler, Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs:** I agree with the Vice Chair of the Yakama Nation. Our people have dwelled at these places for thousands of years. She stated with emphasis, "These places are sacred. This is where our people go. No consideration is being given for what these places mean to our people."

**Tribal Council member, Stephen Kutz, Cowlitz Tribe:** I would like to begin with my questions with the seven factors noted in the Executive Order. I do not support factor "vii" which allows too much discretion and liberty for the Secretary. With regard to factor "ii" who makes this determination as to

the classification of these places? Whose opinion matters? The Secretary? What about the Tribes? The Gorge is a very precious, special place and there are those who want to develop it and harm it. Who is making the call about protecting these places? Who makes the call with factor “i”? I think many of the national monuments’ boundaries have been drawn too small. The boundary around Mount St. Helens is too small. Canadian companies want copper mines around Mount St. Helens. Who is making the decisions regarding the size? I think the monuments being reviewed are all the right size or they should be larger. What if the government or businesses want to pollute or develop or over use these places? Don’t our opinions count? He stated with emphasis that this is all about colonial exploitation. He stated that all of the rules and factors should be rewritten. He noted, “Looking over the list, I have been to some of these places, but not all. They should all be left the way they are and they are probably too small. They are irreplaceable and need to be preserved.” Kutz then added, that “Let’s talk about Hanford. It is important and the President just removed millions of dollars to fund the cleanup.” The waters are an important route for salmon—it is very important.” It is a very special place and it is more important than just a place for us.

**John Dossett, representing the National Congress of American Indians (NCAI):** The Antiquities Act was developed by President Roosevelt to protect and preserve Native Peoples’ special places. The Act was created to protect cultural resources that were sacred to Native People. I don’t know if a lot of people know that and it is important that these National Monument designations, many years later, continue the preservation of important places to Native People. Bears Ears is a great example of how five tribes are working together—and they don’t normally get along—with a management plan and they are co-managing with the Federal Government. We should preserve these current lands (monuments) for recognition of their past importance but also to preserve their future cultural uses. All of the monuments under review are historic landmarks and are significant and meet the requirement to be designated. Hanford Reach, Cascade Siskiyou, and Craters of the Moon all have strong cultural connections with the Tribes.

**Tribal Council Member Stephen Kunz, Cowlitz Tribe:** Stated with strong emphasis, “These are all sacred places. We don’t pinpoint places within them. We don’t want to pinpoint spots.” Kutz added, “What do they mean by an initial list? There are many other protected places like these and national park areas which we want to see protected from new people. These lands have been ours for thousands of years and these new people want to diminish, repurpose, or develop these lands. I am very concerned. I am concerned that this is a continued assault on places that are important to the Tribes which we shouldn’t have to identify and defend their protection.

**Brian Gunn, Colville/Chehalis/Sauk Suittle:** The Colville Tribe is concerned with lands adjacent to its northern boundaries. There are concerns that the Tribe will be able to continue to utilize these areas and that there will be safeguards to ensure the Tribes continued use and rights, especially the use of sacred areas for Tribal purposes and needs.

**Tribal Council Member, Stephen Kunz, Cowlitz Tribe:** I think we need to throw the rope out a little further than just here. The water is very important and we don’t know where all the fish go. We need to

protect these water areas and protect the fish. We have Hawaiians who live here and married into our Tribes. We need to speak for the Samoans and the Hawaiians and speak on their behalf to protect these areas. These native people have concerns as we do and we support them and their places.

The above was the last comment provided at this Listening Session.